Haemodynamic changes induced by submaximal exercise before a dive and its consequences on bubble formation
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Objective: To evaluate the effects of a submaximal exercise performed 2 h before a simulated dive on bubble formation and to observe the haemodynamic changes and their influence on bubble formation.

Participants and methods: 16 trained divers were compressed in a hyperbaric chamber to 400 kPa for 30 min and decompressed at a rate of 100 kPa/min with a 9 min stop at 130 kPa (French Navy MN90 procedure). Each diver performed two dives 3 days apart, one without exercise and one with exercise before the dive. All participants performed a 40 min constant-load submaximal and calibrated exercise, which consisted of outdoor running 2 h before the dive. Circulating bubbles were detected with a precordial Doppler at 30, 60 and 90 min after surfacing. Haemodynamic changes were evaluated with Doppler echocardiography.

Results: A single bout of strenuous exercise 2 h before a simulated dive significantly reduced circulating bubbles. Post-exercise hypotension (PEH) was observed after exercise with reductions in diastolic and mean blood pressure (DBP and MBP), but total peripheral resistance was unchanged. Stroke volume was reduced, whereas cardiac output was unchanged. Simulated diving caused a similar reduction in cardiac output independent of pre-dive exercise, suggesting that pre-dive exercise only changed DBP and MBP caused by reduced stroke volume.

Conclusion: A single bout of strenuous exercise 2 h before a dive significantly reduced the number of bubbles in the right heart of divers and protected them from decompression sickness. Declining stroke volume and moderate dehydration induced by a pre-dive exercise might influence inert gas load and bubble formation.

D ecompression sickness (DCS) is caused by circulating bubbles of inert gas in blood and tissues resulting from supersaturation during decompression. As exercise may increase the production of bubbles due to mechanical movement of body structures, intense physical exercise before diving has long been considered an additional risk factor for DCS. Recently, several studies have indicated that this notion needs updating. It has been reported that exercise training weeks before dives could reduce the incidence of neurological DCS in pigs and rats. Doppler-detected venous gas emboli (VGE) are widely used as an indicator of decompression stress in man. Although bubbles are frequent after symptom-free dives, the occurrence of many bubbles is clearly linked to a high risk of DCS. It has been demonstrated that aerobically trained runners seemed to be at lower risk for venous bubbling than sedentary participants. Furthermore, recent studies in rats have shown that a single bout of high-intensity exercise 20 h before a simulated dive reduced bubble formation and prevented death, with no effect at any other time—that is 48, 10, 5 and 0.5 h before the dive. A human study supported these animal data, in which 12 divers performed a single bout of submaximal aerobic exercise 24 h before a simulated dive. It is speculated that the main mechanism of exercise-induced suppression of bubble formation could be related to nitric oxide (NO) production. However, it is not clear why this effect could be seen only within a window approximately 24 h before the dive. In fact, we have previously shown in a study of 16 divers that a short pre-dive latency with a submaximal exercise performed 2 h before a simulated dive can also be protective. This work aims to confirm the previous study with a well-calibrated exercise. After reviewing the current hypothesis, this report is the first to suggest that haemodynamic changes and moderate dehydration induced by a pre-dive exercise might influence inert gas load and bubble formation.

PARTICIPANTS AND METHODS

Study population
Sixteen trained military divers, aged 27–39 years (mean (SD) age 33.6 (3.7) years), were included. All the participants were experienced divers having performed 100–3000 dives. Their body mass index varied between 21 and 27.1 kg/m. None of them had experienced DCS in the past. All experimental procedures were conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and were approved by the ethics committee of the University of Marseille (CCPFRB Marseille 1), Marseille, France. Each level of the study and pertaining potential risks were explained to the participants in detail, and all gave written informed consent before the experiment.

Measurements of maximal oxygen uptake
To assess individual exercise aptitude, each participant performed an incremental exhaust treadmill as follows: after 3 min of rest, all participants carried out a 4 min warm up run session at 8 km/h after which the treadmill speed was increased by 1 km/h every minute until volitional exhaustion. During all exercise tests, participants breathed through a mouthpiece to analyse expired gas, using breath-by-breath rapid response

Abbreviations: ACSA, aortic cross section area; AoVTI, aortic systolic flow velocity time integral; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; DCS, decompression sickness; KISS, Kisman integrated severity score; MBP, mean blood pressure; PEH, post-exercise hypotension; PRU, peripheral resistance units; SBP, systolic blood pressure; VGE, venous gas emboli
paramagnetic O₂ and infrared CO₂ analysers (Jaeger Oxycung Pro gas analyser, Erich JAEGER GmbH, Hoechberg, Germany). Minute ventilation (E, litre/min), oxygen consumption (O₂ ml/kg/min), carbon dioxide excretion (CO₂, ml/kg/min) and respiratory exchange ratio were calculated. Ventilatory variables used to define the ventilatory threshold were determined continuously by the moving average of eight respiratory cycles. The first ventilatory threshold (VT1) determination involved the analysis of the behaviour of CO₂ as a function of O₂, and corresponded to the breakpoint in the CO₂–O₂ relationship. The second ventilatory threshold (VT2) corresponded to a considerable E–CO₂ relationship increment. The identification of both ventilatory threshold was made by two experienced technicians. The average of the two independent determinations was taken. Heart rates corresponding to VT1 and VT2 (HR1 and HR2) were recorded and used for the following constant load exercise.

Exercise procedure
All participants performed a 40 min constant-load exercise, which consisted of an outdoor running beginning 2 h before the dive. Heart rates were controlled by using a Polar 4000 Sport Tester (Kempele, Finland). Each participant had to keep his HR = (HR1+HR2)/2 during the entire running session. After the exercise, the divers were allowed to drink water liberally.

Simulated dive protocol
The divers were compressed in a hyperbaric chamber (Sainte-Anne Hospital, Toulon, France) to 400 kPa at a rate of 150 kPa/min breathing air and remaining at pressure for 30 min. During bottom time, the participants exercised on a bicycle ergometer under aerobic threshold keeping their HR between 110–120 beats/min. They were decompressed at a rate of 100 kPa/min with a 9 min stop at 130 kPa (French Navy MM90 procedure).

Each diver performed two dives 3 days apart, one without exercise (protocol 1) and one with exercise 2 h before the dive (protocol 2). The order of the two dives was randomly allocated.

Bubble analysis
Circulating bubbles were detected by an experienced operator using a pulsed Doppler equipped with a 2 MHz probe (TCD Pioneer, EME/Nicolet, Madison, Wisconsin, USA) on the precordial area. Monitoring was performed every 30 min for 90 min after surfacing (first measurement at 30 min after the dive). During bubble detection, divers were supine for 3 min at rest, and then, to improve detection, two successive lower limb flexions were performed. The Spencer Scale was used to evaluate the signal of bubbles. The bubble grades presented are the maximum grades observed at bubble peak, usually observed 60 min after the dive. To integrate the bubble kinetics, the Kisman Integrated Severity Score (KISS) was calculated according to the following formula: KISS = (100*4/3)(t₃–t₂)(d₃²+(d₃+d₄)²) + (t₄–t₃)(d₄²+d₅)² + (t₅–t₄)(d₅²+d₆)², where t, d is the time of observation in minutes after reaching the surface, d the doppler score (grades 0–IV) observed at time t, and a = 3 (the parameter a takes into account that the bubble grade is not a linear measure of bubble quantity). This calculation allows one to distinguish between a diver with a single grade III score during four observations (III, 0, 0, 0) conducted over 2 h (KISS = 7) and another diver with four grade IIIIs during the same periods (KISS = 42.2). KISS was assumed to be a meaningful linearised measure of post-decompression intra-vascular bubble activity status that may be treated statistically.

Body weight measurements
Weight was determined to the nearest 0.01 kg (using model 15S, OHAUS, Pine Brook, New Jersey, USA), with the subject wearing only running shorts. Weight measurements have been achieved 10 min before and 1 h after each simulated dive (protocols 1 and 2), as well as 10 min before and 10 min after exercise procedures (protocol 2).

Echocardiographic and Doppler study
The ultrasonicographic examinations were carried out by an experienced investigator using a Doppler echocardiograph (Mylab 30CV, Esaote SpA, Genova, Italy) connected to a 2.5–3.5 MHz transducer array.

Investigations were performed in a quiet room with a stable environmental temperature (25°C) 1 h before and after each simulated dive (protocols 1 and 2), and 1 h before and after exercise procedures (protocol 2).

We combined two-dimensional echocardiography with pulsed Doppler to estimate the cardiac output. The heart rate was recorded by echocardiogram and the rate was averaged over 60 s. Cardiac output was derived from the aortic blood flow. Aortic cross-section diameter was measured by two-dimensional echocardiography from the left parasternal short axis view at the level of the aortic root.

Aortic cross-section area (ACSA) was calculated as ACSA = 3.14 × (diameter/2)². The aortic systolic flow velocity time integral (AoVTI) was measured by computer-assisted determination from the pulsed-wave Doppler profile of the aortic blood flow from the apical four-chamber view, allowing the calculation of stroke volume (SV = AoVTI/ACSA) and cardiac output (CO = SV × HR).

Sphygmomanometric blood pressure measurements on the right arm were obtained at the end of each echocardiographic examination. Mean arterial blood pressure (MBP) was calculated as MBP = DBP + 1/3 (SBP – DBP), where SBP and DBP were the systolic and diastolic arterial blood pressure respectively. Pulse pressure was defined as PP = SBP – DBP. Systemic vascular resistance was calculated as MBP divided by cardiac output and expressed in peripheral resistance units (PRUs, mm Hg/l/min).

Statistical analysis
Statistical tests were run on Sigma Stat 3.0 software. Each participant served as his own control. Data distribution was studied using a Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. For values obtained at two time points, a t test for paired data was used when the data were normally distributed. If not, Wilcoxon’s paired signed rank test was used. For values obtained at three or four time points, one-way repeated-measures analysis of variance was used when the data were normally distributed using the Holm–Sidak method for all pairwise multiple comparison procedures. If the distribution was not normal, comparisons were performed with a Friedmann’s test and the post hoc dichotomous comparisons with a Dunn’s test. Differences between groups were considered significant at p < 0.05.

RESULTS
Maximal oxygen uptake and ventilatory thresholds
The mean (SD) VO₂max was 51.7 (8.3) ml/kg/min with a mean (SD) HRmax at 190 (8) beats/min. Mean (SD) HR₁ was 164 (14) beats/min and mean (SD) HR₂ 177 (14) beats/min.

Detection of circulating bubbles
Table 1 shows the bubble grade after hyperbaric exposure to 400 kPa for 30 min with or without exercise before the dive. None of the divers had decompression sickness after the dives. The overall distribution of bubbles was not modified, the maximum bubble count (bubble peak) was always observed...
60 min after surfacing following the respective protocol (with or without exercise).

Endurance running (for 40 min) 2 h before the dive significantly reduced maximum bubble grades (p = 0.023) and KISS (p = 0.049). Two divers (numbers 11 and 16) showed an increase in venous bubble grade after performing the exercise.

**Body-weight measurements**

For protocol 1, we observed a significant reduction in weight after diving (mean = −0.28 kg, p<0.001). For protocol 2, we noted a significant decrease in weight after exercise (mean = −0.67 kg, p = 0.009); this reduction was partially corrected by post-exercise hydration (mean 0.31 kg, p = 0.017), but the final weight (after diving) remained significantly lower than the weight before exercise (mean = −0.41 kg, p = 0.01).

**Haemodynamic measurements**

Table 2 shows the hyperbaric exposure with and without exercise before the dive.

**Protocol 1**
Heart rate, SBP, pulse pressure and systemic vascular resistance remained unchanged after the dive. MBP, DBP, stroke volume and cardiac output decreased significantly.

**Protocol 2**
Heart rate increased significantly after exercise, but returned to the baseline after the dive. Stroke volume was significantly decreased after exercise and after the dive. MBP and pulse pressure were not significantly modified. MBP and DBP decreased significantly after the exercise and after the dive. Systemic vascular resistance remained unchanged after the exercise but increased after the dive.

**Comparison between protocol 1 and 2**
Similar decreases in stroke volume, cardiac output and arterial pressures were observed after the two hyperbaric exposures, with no significant difference between the two conditions.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grade</td>
<td>Bubble</td>
<td>Grade</td>
<td>Bubble</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>31.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>27.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>31.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>42.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>42.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>12.26</td>
<td>5.36</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>5.36*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

KISS, Kisman Integrated Severity Score.
*P<0.05.

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Protocol 2: Exercise</th>
<th>Protocol 1: Sedentary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate (beats/min)</td>
<td>62(2)</td>
<td>69(3)**††</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke volume (ml)</td>
<td>89(4)</td>
<td>82(4)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac output (l/min)</td>
<td>5.5(0.3)</td>
<td>5.6(0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>120(1)</td>
<td>114(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>67 +/- 1</td>
<td>63 +/- 1**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>85(1)**</td>
<td>80(1)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>53(1)</td>
<td>51(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRU (mm Hg/l/min)</td>
<td>13(0.6)</td>
<td>12(0.7)**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DBP, diastolic blood pressure; MBP, mean blood pressure; PP, pulse pressure; PRU, peripheral resistance unit; SBP, systolic blood pressure.
Values are mean (SEM).
*P<0.05 and **P<0.01 from baseline.
†P<0.05 and ††P<0.01 after the dive.
DISCUSSION

In our study, DBP and MBP decreased significantly 1 h after exercise before the beginning of the dive. After a single bout of dynamic exercise, profound changes occur in the mechanisms that regulate and determine arterial pressure, resulting in a post-exercise hypotension (PEH) that lasts nearly 2 h in healthy individuals. PEH is consistently elicited after 30–60 min bouts of submaximal exercise. In sedentary individuals, PEH after a single bout of aerobic exercise is due to a peripheral vasodilation with a drop in peripheral vascular resistance. The mechanisms responsible for post-exercise vasodilation have not been established definitively. Those that were proposed include inhibition of central sympathetic outflow, antagonism of vasoconstrictor neurotransmitter actions and generation, by exercise, of vasodilator substances such as NO, prostaglandins, histamine, natriuretic peptides, ATP and adenosine. The NO role needs to be analysed more thoroughly. Experimental studies suggest that bubbles originate as persistent bodies of undissolved gas called pre-existing gas nuclei. The main site for these gas nuclei could be the walls of the blood vessels, such as the contact between adjoining endothelial cells. As NO is a vasodilator and has anti-atherogenic properties, it is speculated that NO could increase the elimination of gaseous nuclei from which bubbles form. However, it has been shown that bubble production is increased by NO blockade in sedentary but not in exercised rats. In studies involving healthy young participants, post-exercise vasodilation was not reversed by NO synthase, excluding a role for exercise-induced NO production. In fact, it has been found that in endurance-trained women, PEH was the result of peripheral vasodilation, as was previously shown in sedentary individuals, whereas in endurance-trained men, it was caused by reduced cardiac output (due to a fall in stroke volume) and unchanged total peripheral resistance. In our study, all divers were endurance trained men with a mean VO$_{2\text{max}}$ around 52 ml/kg/min, and systemic vascular resistance remained unchanged after the exercise from baseline. This indicates that the exercise effect might be mediated by factors other than NO and peripheral vasodilation.

In our study, stroke volume significantly decreased after exercise. It is well established that a moderately intense exercise provokes dehydration resulting from sweat response. Declining stroke volume is the primary problem encountered with dehydration; it seems largely related to increases in heart rate and reductions in blood volume. Therefore, as exercise-induced hypovolaemia is present at the beginning of the dive, the inert gas load must be less important in tissues during the dive. The variables that determine the rate of inert gas uptake by any tissues in the body may be expressed as a simplified mass balance equation: $S_j(dP_j/dt) = Q/S_b/(P_a-P_v)$, where $S_j$ and $S_b$ are the solubilities of the gas in blood and tissue, $Q$ is the blood flow, $P_j$ the mean tissue gas tension, and $P_a$ and $P_v$ are the gas tensions in arterial and venous blood. The uptake or release of gas by a particular tissue depends on both the rate of blood flow to the tissue and the rate of gas diffusion into the tissue from blood. It may be seen that if the blood flow $Q$ is lower, the rate of inert gas uptake would be slower and consequently bubble formation would be reduced. In our study, exercise-induced hypovolaemia is present at the beginning of the dive, with a significant reduction in body weight (mean $-0.67$ kg) and stroke volume. During all the simulated dives (protocols 1 and 2), participants exercised on a bicycle ergometer keeping their heart rate constant. If stroke volume is reduced during protocol 2 but not during protocol 1, cardiac output is consequently lower in protocol 2 than in protocol 1, associated with a lower inert gas load and a lower bubble formation after decompression (see fig 1).

Hydration status is considered as a physiological factor that may influence DCS development, but this notion is suggestive and only few animal data support this evidence. To date, there are no data concerning pre-dive dehydration as a DCS risk factor in man. On an experimental basis, it is plausible that dehydration and haemoconcentration takes part in micro-circulatory perfusion changes when bubble formation occurs.
Haemodynamic changes and bubble formation

Broome et al. showed that acute pre-dive intravascular volume loading in pigs did not reduce the risk of DCS. However, Dahlman and Dromsky showed that normally hydrated pigs had a lower risk of DCS than those subjected to fluid intake restriction and diuretic administration.

Consequently, in our study we let the divers drink water ad libitum for ethical reasons.

One can debate the influence of the oral rehydration before the dive. Hjelde et al. showed that bubble formation after decompression was inversely proportional to serum surface tension, and it seems plausible that plasma surface tension might be increased in the well-hydrated diver. In fact, this hydration does not completely correct body-weight variations, and it is well established that rapid oral fluid replacement after dehydration does not effectively restore plasma volume or serum osmolality to the predehydration level within a few hours.

CONCLUSION

This report confirms that a single bout of strenuous exercise 2 h before a simulated dive significantly reduces the number of bubbles in the right heart of divers. Variations in the haemodynamic state with moderate hypovolaemia induced by a pre-dive exercise might influence inert gas load and bubble formation. To confirm this hypothesis, it would be of interest to conduct further studies with plasma volume measurements in trained and untrained divers. Moreover, as immersion is also susceptible to modifying the haemodynamic status, it would be interesting to achieve this survey within a field environment.
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