Respiratory health of elite athletes – preventing airway injury: a critical review
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ABSTRACT
Elite athletes, particularly those engaged in endurance sports and those exposed chronically to airborne pollutants/irritants or allergens, are at increased risk for upper and lower airway dysfunction. Airway epithelial injury may be caused by dehydration and physical stress applied to the airways during severe exercise hyperpnoea and/or by inhalation of noxious agents. This is thought to initiate an inflammatory cascade/repair process that, ultimately, could lead to airway hyperresponsiveness (AHR) and asthma in susceptible athletes. The authors review the evidence relating to prevention or reduction of the risk of AHR/asthma development. Appropriate measures should be implemented when athletes exercise strenuously in an attempt to attenuate the dehydration stress and reduce the exposure to noxious airborne agents. Environmental interventions are the most important. Non-pharmacological strategies can assist, but currently, pharmacological measures have not been demonstrated to be effective. Whether early prevention of airway injury in elite athletes can prevent or reduce progression to AHR/asthma remains to be established.

INTRODUCTION
This paper follows on from the May 2012 edition of BJSM which our group published a series of papers on the respiratory health of the elite athlete.1–9 The key points made by the authors in the previous issue were as follows: first, airway hyperresponsiveness (AHR/asthma) is the most common chronic medical condition experienced by both Summer and Winter Olympic athletes; second, those athletes sustaining high ventilation during exercise and/or those exposed to environmentally unfavourable conditions (such as cold dry air, polluted air or chlorinated indoor pools),5–7 are likely to incur injury to the airway epithelium during high-level exercise; third, in susceptible athletes, the repeated injury and repair process of the epithelium could lead to structural and functional changes within the airways and be the underlying cause for the development of AHR/asthma.5

This paper prepared by the same authors briefly presents the main respiratory conditions observed in elite athletes and indicates their likely origin. The second part focuses on the preventive strategies that can, or might be implemented by or for elite athletes to reduce the risk of airway injury. Experimental work supports the need for improved control of the environment in which athletes train and compete in order to limit injury derived from exposure to cold dry air and to noxious agents. As yet, it is not known if the pharmacological agents used in treating asthma and exercise-induced bronchoconstriction (EIB) are effective in preventing airway injury in athletes.

PREVALENCE AND ORIGIN OF AIRWAY DYSFUNCTION IN ATHLETES

Lower airway dysfunction

AHR and asthma
AHR, as defined by a positive bronchial provocation test to a physical stimulus (ie, exercise, dry air hyperpnoea or hyperosmolar aerosols) or to a pharmacological stimulus (ie, inhaled methacholine or histamine), and asthma are the most common chronic medical conditions in Olympic athletes, with a prevalence of 7 to 8%. However, large variations in prevalence exist between sports.5 It is clear that sports that involve strenuous endurance training requiring athletes to inspire large volumes of air, increase the risk of developing AHR/asthma. For example, Olympic Nordic combined and cross-country skiers, who sustain high levels of ventilation (up to 200 l/min) for prolonged periods and who compete often in very low ambient temperatures have a prevalence of asthma/AHR of ~15%.8 This is in contrast to a prevalence of less than 4% in their counterparts who alpine ski and ski jump, yet train in similar weather conditions but do not inhale large quantities of cold dry air. In addition, those athletes exposed to airborne irritants, pollutants or allergens while exercising are at increased risk for AHR/asthma. Over 17% of Olympic swimmers and 13% of synchronised swimmers have AHR/asthma.8 Breathing air containing chloramines (ie, by-products of chlorination) during the many hours of training in indoor pools appears to be the reason for the high prevalence of AHR/asthma in swimming sports. The finding that AHR was attenuated or even disappeared when swimmers stopped high-level training10 11 suggests that, for some subjects at least, the AHR is ‘exercise induced’. In contrast, in diving, a skill sport without an endurance training component but conducted mostly in indoor chlorinated pools, few athletes (~4%) have AHR/asthma. (IOC Independent Asthma Panel, 2002–2011)

Athletes training and competing in indoor skating rinks breathe relatively cold air and may be exposed to particulate matter (PM) and nitrogen oxides (NOx) from ice resurfacing machines powered by fossil fuels. Olympic speed skating, which
is an endurance sport conducted in a larger indoor skating venue, counts ~12% of athletes with AHR/asthma.8 Ice hockey players and figure skaters breathe similar air, but neither group can be considered as endurance trained. Nevertheless, their risk to develop AHR/asthma seems also increased,12 in part because of the poor air quality in indoor ice arenas.13 Olympic cyclists, who spend many hours training on the road and, who are often exposed to PM, NOX and ozone (O3) have a high prevalence of AHR/asthma (~17%).8 Unsurprisingly, Olympic triathletes who encounter the respiratory environments and the training schedules of both swimmers and cyclists have the highest percentage (~25%) of AHR/asthma.8

These observations on prevalence are consistent with the concept that the ventilatory demands of the sport and the training environment are two major determinants in the development of AHR/asthma in elite athletes. From a mechanistic point of view, breathing at high flow for prolonged periods causes significant dehydration and cooling of the airway mucosa. This dehydration is associated with release of inflammatory mediators that ultimately cause airway narrowing in those athletes with asthma.14 However, it is important to acknowledge that all athletes, whether they have asthma or not, may incur airway epithelial injury. During high-level exercise, severe hyperpnoea exposes the airway epithelium to increased shear stress and transmural pressure gradients. Those increased physical forces may then place the dehydrated epithelial cells at risk of sloughing or even complete detachment.3 Moreover, the repeated stretch and the compression of airway epithelial cells at high flow rates may negatively impact on the functioning of the epithelial cells.9 Although airway epithelium has the capacity to repair itself quickly, the repeated injury–repair process is thought to be responsible for structural and functional changes. Evidence for structural changes (airway remodelling) comes from bronchoscopie of elite swimmers15 and cross-country skiers.16 Moreover, the late development of AHR documented so often in elite athletes8 is in keeping with the concept that the ventilatory demands of the sport and the training environment are two major determinants in the development of AHR/asthma in elite athletes. Exercise-induced laryngeal obstruction encompasses conditions such as exercise-induced paradoxical arytenoid motion, exercise-induced laryngomalacia and vocal cord dysfunction (VCD). In elite athletes, VCD appears to be the principal cause for laryngeal obstruction during exercise, with a reported prevalence of ~5%.27 The large majority of cases of VCD (>80%) are found in female athletes27 and are often misclassified as asthma.28 While in athletes an overlap exists between exercise-induced laryngeal obstruction and EIB, it is important that both are objectively diagnosed before treatment is implemented.27 VCD is associated with the sudden paradoxical narrowing of the glottis which results in the immediate onset of dyspnoea and inspiratory stridor and can lead to hypoxaemia and poor performance. The precise aetiology of VCD is unknown; however, laryngeal hyperresponsiveness, laryngeal irritants and psychogenic factors are regarded as the main causes of this dysfunction.28 Gastro-oesophageal reflux disease (GORD) is a common trigger to VCD.29 GORD can be diagnosed accurately and precise measurements for acid and non-acid reflux can be made, and proton pump inhibitors will improve VCD.28

Cough
Cough is extremely common in athletes who exercise strenuously, especially in those who participate in winter sports.7 In cross-country skiers, the prevalence of respiratory symptoms can be as high as 86%, with cough being the most commonly reported symptom.30 While cough is often associated with EIB, more than half the athletes with no evidence of EIB report cough on exertion.31 Alongside asthma, any of the following factors might trigger cough during or after exercise in athletes: upper airway cough syndrome related to rhinitis, sinusitis, laryngitis or other upper airways conditions, GORD or exposure to sensitisers/respiratory irritants.32

Rhinitis
Rhinitis is a very common condition in athletes with a prevalence >80%.9 Most athletes will have an allergic component to their rhinitis and their condition will worsen under specific environmental conditions; exposure to aeroallergens, inhalation of irritants (O3, PM and by-products of chlorination) or exposure to cold dry air. In non-athletic individuals, intense training in unfa- vourable environments (such as chlorinated swimming pools) can also lead to an increase in nasal symptoms.34 The occurrence of symptoms may reduce an athlete’s ability to train and compete.

Upper respiratory tract infections (URTI)
Symptoms suggestive of URTI are responsible for 30 to 40% of visits to sports medicine clinics by elite athletes.9 Intense endurance training has been noted to be associated with an...
impaired immune system. This may explain why URTI are so commonly experienced by elite endurance athletes, particularly around the time of competition. However, only ~30% of symptomatic upper respiratory illnesses in elite athletes are due to infection and other causes for the illness are yet to be identified.

**STRATEGIES TO REDUCE THE IMPACT OF ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS ON AIRWAY INJURY**

Since injury to the airway epithelium, particularly to the small airways, is thought to initiate the cascade of events that ultimately leads to airway dysfunction in susceptible athletes, prevention strategies should aim at reducing airway injury. Although there is limited scope to modify the physical forces applied to the airway epithelium during high-level exercise (and hence, to reduce airway injury derived from mechanical stress), various strategies can be implemented to try to attenuate injury caused by dehydration stress and/or noxious agents.

**Cold air**

Exercising vigorously in a cold environment will augment injury to the airway epithelium because of both, the increased need to heat and humidify the inspired air, and the potential to increase the area of the airway surface becoming dehydrated. To prevent dehydration-induced airway injury, cold-weather athletes should employ strategies that increase temperature and water content of the inhaled air.

In the laboratory, an increase in temperature and water content from 4°C, 37% relative humidity (RH) to 25°C, 94% RH has been shown to limit disruption of the airway epithelium induced by high-level exercise. In the field, increasing the water content of the air inspired can be achieved, either naturally (ie, by raising absolute humidity/water content), through nasal breathing, or by the use of heat and moisture exchange (HME) devices either used as a mouth piece or as a mask. Theoretically, even a small increase in absolute humidity will reduce the rate of water loss from the airways and reduce potential for airway injury. During exercise, nasal breathing is impractical as breathing switches from nasal to oronasal when ventilation exceeds ~35 l/min. Consequently, the contribution of nasal breathing into the conditioning of inhaled air is negligible during high-level exercise. The only individual strategy left to cold-weather athletes to protect their airways is through the use of HME devices. A number of devices to warm the inspired cold air have been developed and vary from simple face masks to heat-exchange devices. Heat- and moisture-exchange devices recycle heat energy and moisture in the expired air to warm and humidify the inspired air. They can increase the inspired air temperature from minus 10°C to at least 19°C and thus diminish airway dehydration and heat and water loss. They have been shown to provide a considerable protective effect against EIB. Heat and moisture exchange devices should always be used during training at low and subfreezing ambient temperatures, but the increased airways resistance and increased dead space makes it difficult to train intensively for long periods, and limits their use during competition.

Athletes have limited control of the environment in which they train and compete. Nonetheless, sporting federations can play a key role by setting up appropriate thresholds. The Federation Internationale de Ski’s (FIS) website has a medical advisor’s recommendations of the lower limits of ambient temperature for competition that relate to cross-country skiing only. These are minus 16°C for races 30 km and longer, minus 18°C for shorter distance races and minus 20°C for sprint races. Information as to the marked effects of a wind chill factor are noted. However, the actual FIS rules differ somewhat, in that a forecast for the temperature to fall below minus 20°C is supposed to lead to a delay or cancellation of the race. Biathlon has similar rules; competitions must not start if the air temperature is below minus 20°C. If the temperature is below minus 15°C, the wind chill factor and humidity must be considered. This could result in a decision not to start if the wind is 10 km/h or greater at minus 15°C. In both sports, these rules are to protect athletes against extreme cold, not to reduce airway injury. If implemented, the recommendations of FIS’s medical advisor would assist in reducing airway injury in competitive cold-weather athletes.

**By-products of chlorination**

The reaction of chlorine-containing agents with organic nitrogen-containing compounds (eg, dirt, sweat, urine) brought into the pool water by users leads to the formation of various by-products, notably chloramines. Some of these are transferred to the atmosphere of swimming pools, either as gases or as droplets (if the surface of the water is churned up), and inhaled by the swimmers. Nitrogen trichloride (NCl₃), the common by-product of chlorination, is a well-known irritant that can cause acute disruption of the airway epithelium. Experimental data suggest that when NCl₃ concentration is maintained below 0.3 mg/m³, no short-term changes in lung function or airway epithelial permeability are observed in swimmers. It is therefore advisable that appropriate hygienic measures and ventilation systems are in place to maintain NCl₃ below this threshold at all times.

Modification of swimmers’ behaviour is important and includes the following: showering with soap before entering the pool, wearing a swim cap, using a swimsuit reserved exclusively for swimming, respecting personal hygiene precautions before entering the pool, bare feet zones, and removing make-up and other cosmetics. Elite swimmers and synchronised swimmers should avoid staying in a chlorinated atmosphere when undertaking out-of-water training. Sanitary authorities and swimming pool managers must ensure optimal air quality in pool environments. Adequate ventilation (ie, a flow rate of fresh air of at least 60 m³/h) should be maintained to reduce the concentration of chloramines accumulated in the air above the pool water. Ventilation equipment must be regularly maintained. Other measures, such as swimmers not crossing between dirty and clean areas, and the provision of a footbath containing an appropriate disinfectant could further assist. Finally, the safety of alternative chemical disinfectants to chlorine (such as ozone, bromide and chlorine dioxide) could be further explored to determine whether they are viable alternatives to chlorine.

**Other airborne pollutants**

Air polluted by combustion engines, especially freshly generated PM, is considered highly injurious to the airways. Dehydrated and damaged airways are believed to be more vulnerable to the deleterious effects of PM, particularly small and ultrafine PM (PM₂.₅) which can penetrate deeper into the lungs. Ozone and NO₂ are other pollutants that can provoke injury to the airways. High ventilation during strenuous exercise results in greater deposition of PM in the lungs.
and in higher concentrations of $O_2$ and NO$_X$ entering the airways, hence increasing the risk of airway injury.$^{60}$

Meticulous attention to indoor air quality in ice skating arenas, especially with regard to the levels of NO$_X$ and PM$_{10}$, is important to minimise airway injury and inflammation in ice hockey players and speed and figure skaters. This can be achieved by increasing the ventilation in these arenas$^{61}$ and by using electric powered rather than fossil-fuel powered ice resurfacing machinery. The latter measure was undertaken by the Organising Committee at the 2010 Vancouver Winter Olympic Games.$^9$ Moreover, at the 2008 Beijing Summer Games, an extensive program to improve air quality was undertaken by the Beijing Environmental Bureau, which included removing old high-polluting vehicles, switching from diesel to gas power buses and mandatory restrictions on use of private vehicles. This resulted in marked improvement in air quality, especially PM at the time of the Games.$^{62}$

Traffic emissions are a major source of pollutants, so that there is potential for problems for athletes using sporting fields in close proximity to major roadways$^7$ and for cyclists who can be heavily exposed when riding in the urban environment. It is important to establish a buffer zone from high-traffic routes where individuals may exercise without excessive exposure to pollutants. Exercise should be avoided in areas where PM levels exceed the standards set by Environmental Protection Authorities (EPA). Precautions to reduce the effects of PM inhalation include avoiding exercise near roads when traffic congestion is high and exercising at least 250 m from major roadways. Athletic venues, parks, and exercise areas near high-traffic roadways should have trees, preferably evergreens, placed between the road and the facility. This approach will increase atmospheric dilution and agglomeration which increases particle size and decreases the particle number, thus reducing the deposition in the lower airways and the potential for airway injury. Athletes should be cognisant of PM, $O_3$ and NO$_X$ concentrations when exercising outdoors. It would be prudent for cyclists, endurance runners and race walkers to plan to train early in the morning when these pollutants are generally at their diurnal low.

Fumes from fluorinated ski waxes emanating from daily hot waxing can contribute to airway injury in Nordic and alpine skiers.$^{63}$ Hot waxing results in ultrafine fluorine particles being released into the air in concentrations 25-fold higher than pre-waxing. Animal studies have shown that these fluorine particles are quite toxic.$^{64}$ Although this exposure does not occur during exercise, it occurs on a daily basis beginning early in the skier’s career. Skiers should be deterred from spending long hours in the waxing room and should make sure the area is always well ventilated.

Miscellaneous measures

In athletes who are atopic, have rhinitis, or have higher than normal levels of circulating immunoglobulin E (IgE), repeated injury–repair of the airway epithelium is thought to create a model of ‘passive sensitisation’ of the bronchial smooth muscle and increase the risk for AHR.$^{17,65}$ Consequently, athletes with known allergies/rhinitis should avoid training in environments where levels of airborne allergens are high.

Respiratory tract infections, including the common cold, are likely to increase the vulnerability of the airway epithelium to injury. Athletes should therefore endeavour to avoid contact with persons showing signs of upper airway infections, particularly while undertaking intensive training schedules and before major competitions. When athletes are members of sporting teams housed together, any team member who is developing a respiratory tract infection should be isolated as far as is practical. When possible, athletes should refrain from intense training or competition for up to a week after an infection.$^{66}$ Athletes with asthma should consider having an annual influenza vaccination.

OTHER STRATEGIES TO REDUCE EXERCISE-INDUCED AIRWAY INJURY IN ELITE ATHLETES

Non-pharmacological interventions

Warm-up exercises$^{67,68}$ and intermittent exercises$^{69,70}$ are well known to reduce the severity of EIB. The beneficial effects of these exercises are thought to be mediated by an increase in bronchial blood flow and in the rate of water return to the airway surface. It can therefore be anticipated that warm-up and intermittent exercises would also protect athletes against airway epithelial injury.

Pharmacological interventions

Limited experimental data are as yet available regarding the use of pharmacological agents to prevent airway injury. While animal studies suggest a potential beneficial effect of inhaled corticosteroids (ICS) to attenuate various aspects of airway remodelling,$^{71,72}$ variable results have been obtained in asthmatic patients.$^{73-75}$ Moreover, the only long-term study carried out on athletes (ie, in cross-country skiers) suggests that daily treatment with ICS does not have any beneficial effect on respiratory symptoms or AHR to methacholine.$^{76}$ Finally, implementing treatment with ICS at inception of asthma in early childhood in those genetically at risk failed to influence the natural history of the disease.$^{77,78}$ Therefore, the prophylactic use of ICS to prevent airway injury in athletes is not recommended.

The leukotriene receptor antagonist montelukast can be used for maintenance treatment of asthma and for prevention of EIB. The benefits of prescribing montekulast to athletes with asthma/AHR are however not universally accepted.$^{79,80}$ Nonetheless, montelukast has been shown to provide greater protection against bronchoconstriction caused by the inhalation of ice rink air containing high concentrations of PM$_{10}$ compared to the same air with lower concentrations of PM$_{10}$. This suggests that bronchoconstriction, and thus airway injury, may be leukotriene mediated. Further studies are needed to confirm the potential for montekulast to attenuate airway epithelial injury in those athletes routinely exposed to airborne pollutants.

Oxidative stress is a key component of pollutant-induced bronchoconstriction.$^{82,83}$ Therefore, antioxidant supplementation has been proposed as complementary therapy for athletes exposed to air pollutants. In amateur cyclists, a mixture of antioxidants conferred partial protection against ozone-mediated adverse effects.$^{84,85}$ Moreover, short-term supplementation with antioxidants (vitamin C and E) has been shown to reduce airway oxidative stress and epithelial disruption induced by high-level exercise in hot, humid and O$_3$-polluted environment in runners.$^{86}$ A protective effect of lycopene,$^{87}$ fish oil supplementation$^{88}$ and undenatured whey protein$^{89}$ has also been demonstrated in subjects with EIB exercising in non-polluted air. However, some of those results were not replicated in a population of young athletes with exercise-related breathing difficulties.$^{90}$ The long-term effects (both beneficial and detrimental) of chronic use of antioxidant supplementation on the
respiratory health of athletes are unknown at this time. Until further experimental data are obtained, athletes should not be recommended to use antioxidant supplementation to prevent airway injury.

Since atopy has been identified as a risk factor for asthma/ AHR in elite athletes, strategies aiming to limit allergic airway inflammation could be beneficial to the athletes. Recently, in a murine model of allergic asthma, L-arginine (the precursor of nitric oxide) has been shown to reduce the widening of intercellular spaces between adjacent bronchial epithelia as well as AHR, airway inflammation and various aspects of airway remodelling. Whether dietary L-arginine supplementation could be used in susceptible athletes (ie, those with disordered nitric oxide metabolism) to improve the health of the airway epithelium remains to be established.

In conclusion, there is compelling evidence that elite athletes are at increased risk for airway dysfunction. This risk however varies across sports, depending mainly upon the mechanical and dehydration stresses generated within the airways, and the level of noxious agents (ie, airborne pollutants, irritants or allergens) inhaled by athletes during exercise. Both dehydration stress and exposure to noxious agents are modifiable factors in the development of airway injury. Therefore, prevention strategies, such as the use of HME devices during training in cold environments, the fixation of appropriate thermal thresholds during competitions conducted in subfreezing conditions and the introduction of stricter regulations on air quality in indoor sportive arenas/swimming pools, should be enforced. Moreover, close monitoring of the lung function of elite athletes ‘at risk’ for AHR/asthma should be implemented. The efficacy of various pharmacological agents in inhibiting acute airway injury should be experimentally validated. Finally, there is an urgent need to conduct longitudinal studies in order to establish whether implementation of prevention strategies for airway injury are effective in reducing the risk for long-term development of airway dysfunction in elite athletes.
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