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ABSTRACT
Background FIFA has surveyed match injuries in its tournaments since 1998.

Aim To analyse the incidence and characteristics of match injuries incurred during the 2014 FIFA World Cup in comparison to previous FIFA World Cups.

Methods The chief physicians of the participating teams reported all newly incurred injuries of their players after the match on a standardised report form. 124 (97%) forms were returned.

Results A total of 104 injuries were reported, equivalent to an incidence of 1.68 injuries per match (95% CI 1.36 to 2.00). 64 (63.4%) injuries were caused by contact with another player. Thigh (26; 25%) and head (19; 18%) were the most frequently injured body parts. The most frequent diagnosis was thigh strain (n=18). Five concussions and three fractures to the head were reported. While most thigh strains (15/17; 88.2%) occurred without contact, almost all head injuries (18/19; 94.7%) were caused by contact. 0.97 injuries occurred without contact, almost all head injuries (18/19; 94.7%) were caused by contact. 0.97 injuries were reported. The incidence of match injuries in the 2014 FIFA World Cup was significantly lower than the average of the four preceding FIFA World Cups, both for all injuries (2.34; 95% CI 2.15 to 2.53) and time-loss injuries (1.51; 95% CI 1.37 to 1.65).

Conclusions The overall incidence of injury during the FIFA World Cup decreased from 2002 to 2014 by 37%. A detailed analysis of the injury mechanism is recommended to further improve prevention strategies.

INTRODUCTION
The FIFA World Cup, one of the most popular sporting events, is watched on television by millions of people who, in turn, try to mimic the performance of football stars. This has an impact on playing football as a leisure activity for footballers of both genders and different age groups around the globe. The main objective of injury surveillance is to reduce the injury rate by analysing the types and mechanism of injuries in order to design and implement preventive measures.

Starting with the 1998 FIFA World Cup, FIFA has surveyed match injuries at all subsequent FIFA tournaments and football competitions of the Olympic Games.1 2 This database now enables comparison between competitions for different age, gender and skill levels and over time.2 The aim of the present study was to analyse the change in incidence and characteristics of injuries of football players participating in the five FIFA World Cups from 1998 to 2014.

METHODS
The injury definition and data collection procedure were in accordance with the respective consensus statement for football injuries,4 and have been described before in detail.1 2 An injury was defined as “any musculoskeletal complaint (including concussion) incurred during a match that received medical attention from the team physician regardless of the consequences with respect to absence from the match or training.”1 2 As in previous FIFA World Cups, chief physicians of the 32 finalist teams were asked to report all injuries after each match on a specially designed injury report form. The injury report form was identical to the form used in 20025 and 20066 but slightly different to the one used in 20107 (which in addition included training injuries and illnesses) and in 19981 (which did not include information on time loss). Results of the FIFA World Cup 1998,8 2002,9 2006,6 and 20107 have been published previously.2

The 64 matches of the 2014 FIFA World Cup were played from June 12 to 17 July 2014 at 12 different venues of Brazil. At each of the five FIFA World Cups, the participating 32 finalist teams (each with 23 players) comprised a total of 736 players. The number of matches per team varied between 3 and 7.

Response rate, exposure time and incidences were calculated in accordance with the consensus statement and previous studies.1 8 Match exposure was calculated by multiplying 1.5 h by 11 players and by the number of returned forms. For incidence rates, 95% CIs were calculated as the incidence±1.96 times the incidence divided by the square root of the number of injuries. Data were processed using Excel (Microsoft 2008, V12.3.6) and SPSS (PASW Statistics, V18.0.3). Statistical methods applied were frequencies, cross-tabulations and χ² test. Significance was accepted at the 5% level.

RESULTS
A total of 104 injuries were reported, which is equivalent to an average of 1.68 injuries per match (95% CI 1.36 to 2.00) or 50.8 injuries per 1000 player hours (95% CI 40.0 to 60.6). The response rate was 97% (table 1).

Almost two-thirds of the injuries (64.4%) were caused by contact, and more than a third (34.9%, 22/63) of contact injuries—or 22.0% of all injuries—were caused by foul play based on the judgement of the team physician (table 2).

The injuries most frequently affected the lower extremity (68; 65.4%) followed by the head/neck (19; 18.3%), upper extremity (10; 9.6%) and the trunk (7; 6.7%). The most frequent diagnosis was a thigh strain (n=18), and all thigh strains were expected to result in time loss. Nine thigh strains were incurred during the first half, five in the second half and one during extra time. Most (15/17; 88.2%) thigh strains were incurred without
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Injuries to the head were diagnosed as laceration (n=6), concussion (n=5), contusion (n=4), fracture (n=3) or pain (n=1). Almost all head injuries were caused by contact (18/19; 94.7%); five were classified as foul play. Time loss in sport was not expected in 12 head injuries (including 3 concussions); however, one head injury (fracture and contusion) was classified as severe.

Approximately one injury per match was expected to result in absence from training or match (table 1). For eight injuries, the estimated duration of absence was more than 4 weeks: four

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Injuries with subsequent absence</th>
<th>France 1998</th>
<th>Korea/Japan 2002</th>
<th>Germany 2006</th>
<th>South Africa 2010</th>
<th>Brazil 2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Injuries per 1000 h (95% CI)</td>
<td>50.7 (41.1 to 60.3)</td>
<td>45.9 (36.8 to 55.0)</td>
<td>40.1 (31.4 to 48.8)</td>
<td>29.3 (21.9 to 36.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injuries per match (95% CI)</td>
<td>1.67 (1.35 to 1.99)</td>
<td>1.51 (1.20 to 1.80)</td>
<td>1.29 (1.01 to 1.57)</td>
<td>0.97 (0.72 to 1.22)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Number of matches, response rate, severity and incidence of injury in FIFA World Cups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Matches (n)</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response rate</td>
<td>124/128 (97%)</td>
<td>128/128 (100%)</td>
<td>128/128 (100%)</td>
<td>124/128 (97%)</td>
<td>124/128 (97%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Match hours documented</td>
<td>2046</td>
<td>2112</td>
<td>2112</td>
<td>2046</td>
<td>2046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injuries (n)</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injuries per 1000 player hours (95% CI)</td>
<td>72.8 (61.1 to 84.5)</td>
<td>81.0 (68.9 to 93.1)</td>
<td>68.7 (57.5 to 79.9)</td>
<td>61.1 (50.4 to 71.8)</td>
<td>50.8 (41.0 to 60.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injuries per match (95% CI)</td>
<td>2.40 (2.01 to 2.79)</td>
<td>2.67 (2.27 to 3.07)</td>
<td>2.27 (1.90 to 2.64)</td>
<td>2.02 (1.67 to 2.37)</td>
<td>1.68 (1.36 to 2.00)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Location, type and mechanism of injury in FIFA World Cups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Head, face, neck</td>
<td>16 (15%)</td>
<td>25 (15%)</td>
<td>13 (9%)</td>
<td>13 (10%)</td>
<td>19 (18%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper extremity, including shoulder</td>
<td>9 (9%)</td>
<td>8 (5%)</td>
<td>12 (8%)</td>
<td>12 (10%)</td>
<td>10 (10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trunk</td>
<td>9 (9%)</td>
<td>6 (4%)</td>
<td>15 (10%)</td>
<td>8 (6%)</td>
<td>7 (7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hip/groin</td>
<td>2 (2%)</td>
<td>11 (6%)</td>
<td>7 (5%)</td>
<td>6 (5%)</td>
<td>4 (4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thigh</td>
<td>21 (20%)</td>
<td>30 (18%)</td>
<td>21 (14%)</td>
<td>36 (29%)</td>
<td>26 (25%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knee</td>
<td>24 (23%)</td>
<td>22 (13%)</td>
<td>17 (12%)</td>
<td>9 (7%)</td>
<td>12 (12%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower leg</td>
<td>6 (6%)</td>
<td>29 (17%)</td>
<td>30 (21%)</td>
<td>19 (15%)</td>
<td>13 (12%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ankle</td>
<td>13 (13%)</td>
<td>25 (15%)</td>
<td>24 (17%)</td>
<td>15 (12%)</td>
<td>10 (10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foot</td>
<td>5 (5%)</td>
<td>14 (8%)</td>
<td>6 (4%)</td>
<td>7 (6%)</td>
<td>2 (2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>145*</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing/unclear</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of injury</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concussion</td>
<td>1 (1%)</td>
<td>4 (2%)</td>
<td>1 (1%)</td>
<td>1 (1%)</td>
<td>5 (5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fracture</td>
<td>3 (4%)</td>
<td>3 (2%)</td>
<td>1 (1%)</td>
<td>4 (3%)</td>
<td>6 (6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tendon or ligament rupture/ meniscus lesion</td>
<td>2 (2%)</td>
<td>1 (1%)</td>
<td>5 (3%)</td>
<td>1 (1%)</td>
<td>4 (4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sprain (dislocation)</td>
<td>10 (12%)</td>
<td>24 (14%)</td>
<td>24 (15%)</td>
<td>15 (12%)</td>
<td>8 (8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strain/muscle fibre rupture</td>
<td>19 (23%)</td>
<td>35 (21%)</td>
<td>20 (14%)</td>
<td>21 (17%)</td>
<td>25 (24%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contusion</td>
<td>34 (41%)</td>
<td>84 (50%)</td>
<td>74 (51%)</td>
<td>53 (43%)</td>
<td>39 (38%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laceration/abradion/blister</td>
<td>6 (7%)</td>
<td>12 (7%)</td>
<td>6 (4%)</td>
<td>9 (7%)</td>
<td>10 (10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>8 (10%)</td>
<td>6 (2%)</td>
<td>14 (10%)</td>
<td>20 (16%)</td>
<td>6 (6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing/unclear</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mechanism of injury

- Non-contact injuries: 45/167 (27%)
- Contact injuries: 122/167 (73%)
- Contact injury caused by foul: 59/115 (51%)
- Foul sanctioned by the referee: 28/54 (52%)

*One injury affected two body parts.
†Mechanism of injury was assessed with a different question.
Cups were not significant from 2002 to 2014. The incidences of individual FIFA World Cup injuries of the thigh). The incidence of all injuries and of time-loss injuries declined significantly from 2002 (0.70 per match; 95% CI 0.50 to 0.90) to 2014 (0.60 per match; 95% CI 0.41 to 0.79), the rate of contact injuries dropped significantly from 2002 (0.50 to 0.90) to 2014 (0.60 per match; 95% CI 0.41 to 0.79), the average rate of contact injuries dropped constantly and significantly from 1.91 per match (95% CI 1.57 to 2.25) in 2002 to 1.03 per match (95% CI 0.78 to 1.28) in 2014. The rate of injuries caused by foul play based on the judgement of the team physician also decreased significantly from 2002 (0.92 per match; 95% CI 0.69 to 1.15) to 2014 (0.35 per match; 95% CI 0.20 to 0.50; figure 1).

DISCUSSION

From a medical perspective, the objective is to prevent injuries by all possible means,7 beginning with the preparation for high-level competition.8–10 Surveillance of medical incidents during tournaments,1–6 and analysis of the injury mechanism after the event.11–15 Scientific evidence had even had an impact on the interpretation of the laws of the game: since 1998, tackling from behind should be sanctioned by a red card, and since 2006, elbow to head tackles should also be sanctioned by a red card.7 Both incidents have the potential of leading to severe injuries, and have now almost disappeared from the football game.7

Since 1998, the FIFA Medical Assessment and Research Centre (F-MARC) routinely performs injury surveillance at all FIFA competitions and football competitions of the Olympic Games.1–2 The methodology applied is well established, and served as the basis for the injury surveillance systems of other sports federations and the IOC.16–21 Its limitations and recommendations for improvements are outlined at the end of the discussion.

The incidence of head injuries and especially of concussions in the recent FIFA World Cup caused a lot of discussion. A video analysis of the five concussions showed that two were the result of head to head contact and one each of knee to head, head to ground and shoulder to head contact. These incidents were discussed among the FIFA medical experts, in particular with regard to the immediate management of concussion on the pitch. In consequence, the FIFA Executive Committee has approved the following proposal for the on-pitch assessment of players with a suspected concussion:

- Whenever a suspected incident of concussion occurs, the referee will have the ability to stop the game for three minutes, allowing the relevant team doctor to complete an on-pitch assessment and decide if the player has suspected concussion.
- The referee will only allow the injured party to continue playing with the authorisation of the team doctor, who will have the final decision.22

Although concussions are rare in football (a total of 12 concussions were reported during the last five FIFA World Cups), it is important to educate the team physicians on the appropriate management, in particular the immediate and permanent removal of the player from the pitch after obvious or even suspected concussion.23

Almost two-thirds of the injuries during the 2014 FIFA World Cup affected the lower extremity, with thigh strain being the most frequent diagnosis. This result is in accordance with previous studies on football injuries of professional male players, especially if time-loss injuries were analysed.4 6 24–27 Since thigh strains are mostly incurred without contact, it is recommended to include preventive exercises in the teams’ training routine. Cochrane reviews on the prevention of these injuries concluded that there is insufficient evidence on the effectiveness of interventions used to prevent hamstring injuries in football players,28 and very weak evidence for the effectiveness of interventions to reduce lower limb soft-tissue injury after intensive running.29 However, three recently published intervention studies showed that eccentric strength training reduced the risk of hamstring strains in male football players.30–32

Limitations and recommendations to improve the methodology

This study focused on acute match injuries; thus, chronic injuries, training injuries and illness/diseases were not registered. As shown in our study during the 2010 FIFA World Cup,24 training injuries were almost as frequent as match injuries, and illnesses

Figure 1 Number of injuries per match in FIFA World Cups 1998–2014 (all injuries (grey bars); injuries expected to result in time loss (black bars)); *information with regard to time loss was not documented during the 1998 FIFA World Cup.
affected about 12% of the players. It should be considered to expand the medical reports to training injuries and illnesses, to cover all impairments of players’ health during the tournament, as in the medical surveillance systems of IOC,33 34 IAAF (International Association of Athletics Federations)35 and FINA (Fédération Internationale de Natation)26.

Exposure time was calculated based on 22 players and a 90 min match for all FIFA World Cups.3 2 A more precise method would be to regard extra time or the actual playing time of each match.

Although the response rate in the 2014 and the previous FIFA World Cups was high (97–100%),3 the number of missing values should be further reduced, especially for estimated duration of time loss from sport. A follow-up of injured players until their return to full training and match play would improve the accuracy of this information and also provide the opportunity to specify or revise the diagnosis, which might not always be exact when reported immediately after the match. To the best of our knowledge, only the International Rugby Board Surveillance Study follows up injured players after their championships 36 37.

The FIFA injury surveillance system relies exclusively on information provided by the team physicians, and it cannot be excluded that some injuries were not reported or details were wrongly recorded. Video analysis seems to be the most objective measure to assess occurrence of injury, its mechanism and the related action of the referee. However, a comparison of the physicians’ injury reports and video footage performed during the 2006 FIFA World Cup showed that not all injuries reported by the team physicians could be found in the video footage, and not all on-pitch treatments seen in the video recording of the matches were reported as injuries by the team physicians.3 Nevertheless, video analysis is an important tool to study the injury mechanism and an objective source for a referee’s decision, and thus should be used to complement the team physicians’ injury reports.

CONCLUSION
The overall injury rate decreased from the 2002 to the 2014 FIFA World Cup by 37%. While the incidence of non-contact injuries did not change significantly, a significant reduction in the rate of contact injuries and of injuries caused by foul play was observed from the 2002 to the 2014 FIFA World Cup. Interventions to prevent non-contact injuries should be part of the training routine.

Team physicians have to be informed on the appropriate management of (suspected) concussion, in particular the immediate and permanent removal of the player from the pitch.

What are the new findings?
► The overall incidence of injury during FIFA World Cups constantly decreased from 2002 to 2014.
► While the incidence of non-contact injuries did not change significantly, a significant reduction in the rate of contact injuries and of injuries caused by foul play was observed from the 2002 to the 2014 FIFA World Cup.

How might it impact on clinical practice in the near future?
► More attention should be paid to the management of concussion on the pitch.
► Interventions to prevent non-contact injuries should be part of the training routine.
► The injury surveillance system should include a follow-up of injured players to specify the diagnosis and the exact duration of absence from sport. Video footage should be analysed with regard to injury mechanism and referee’s sanction.
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