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ABSTRACT
This is Part 2 of 5 in the series of evidence statements from the IOC expert committee on exercise and pregnancy in recreational and elite athletes. Part 1 focused on the effects of training during pregnancy and on the management of common pregnancy-related symptoms experienced by athletes. In Part 2, we focus on maternal and fetal perinatal outcomes.

BACKGROUND
This is Part 2 of 5 in the series of evidence statements from the IOC expert committee on exercise and pregnancy in recreational and elite athletes. Part 1 focused on the effects of training during pregnancy and on the management of common pregnancy-related symptoms experienced by athletes. In Part 2, we focus on maternal and fetal perinatal outcomes.

Despite the maternal health benefits of exercise, there is a long-standing concern about the potential adverse effects of maternal exercise on the developing fetus, labour and birth outcomes. There remains apprehension that selective redistribution of blood flow to the exercising maternal muscles may result in inadequate fetal oxygenation leading to ominous fetal heart rate (FHR) changes and possibly miscarriage. There have been concerns that exercise-induced changes in uterine blood flow and an associated reduction in nutrient supply may impact fetal birth weight, particularly in women training at elite levels. Finally, there has also been concern that strenuous exercise increases the tone of the pelvic floor muscles possibly leading to prolonged labour, pelvic floor and perineal trauma and need for instrumental delivery and caesarean section.

AIMS
This evidence statement, based on a systematic literature search, examines how strenuous exercise affects the fetus. It also addresses issues relating to birth, such as risk of preterm birth, prolonged labour and mode of delivery, including injuries to the pelvic floor muscles and the perineum.

METHODS
For each section of this evidence statement, a search strategy was performed using search terms, including ‘pregnancy’ OR ‘pregnant’ OR ‘postpartum’ AND ‘exercise’ OR ‘physical activity’ OR ‘leisure activity’ OR ‘leisure’ OR ‘recreation’ OR ‘recreational activity’ OR ‘physical fitness’ OR ‘occupational activity’ AND terms related to the condition under study (eg, ‘cesarean section’, ‘miscarriage’). Available databases were searched, with an emphasis on PubMed, Embase, Cochrane, PEDro, Web of Science and SPORTDiscus. In addition, existing guidelines with reference lists were scanned.

With regard to lifting (strength training), we draw on leisure-time and occupational studies to inform the review. However, there is a downside that occupational studies include select populations. The review of each topic followed the following order: prevalence of the condition in the general pregnant or postpartum population, prevalence in high-level exercisers or elite athletes, risk factors in the general population and in relation to exercise and sport, and effect of preventive and treatment interventions. The level of evidence and the grade of recommendations are according to the Cochrane handbook (table 1) for prevention and treatment interventions only. Given this paper addresses pregnancy, where sex is not specified assume that we refer to women.

Each member of the working group acted as a lead author of one or more topics and 1–3 other members were assigned to review each topic. A first full consensus draft was reviewed before and during the 3-day IOC meeting (27–29 September 2015), and a new version of each topic was submitted to the meeting chairs (KB and KMK) shortly after the meeting. Each topic leader made amendments before sending a new version for comments to the working group.

THE EFFECT OF EXERCISE ON THE FETUS
In this section, we consider the effects of exercise on FHR, risk of miscarriage, fetal growth, risk of preterm birth and Apgar scores at birth.

FHR and activity response to maternal exercise
Normal baseline FHR is between 110 and 160 bpm.3 FHR <110 bpm is recognised as fetal
The women exercised. These findings may suggest that high intensity exercise could compromise fetal well-being.12

Labour and delivery are physiologically challenging events for the fetus and mother. A pilot study was conducted to evaluate FHR responses to maternal exercise during labour, after the fetal membranes were ruptured. The recordings were obtained by direct fetal monitoring, with electrodes attached to the fetal scalp.13 Two Olympic-level athletes, who exercised strenuously daily throughout pregnancy, also exercised during their active phase of labour. During labour, the athletes performed a sub-maximal VO2 test (at 60% of their known VO2 max) for 4 and 4.5 min. The FHR recorded was normal prior to, during and after the exercise. Both athletes delivered vaginally and had no labour complications; the newborns had Apgar scores of 9 and 10 at 1 and 5 min. The newborns were developing normally when evaluated at 6 weeks of life. The combined effect of uterine activity during labour and moderate/strenuous exercise did not alter the uterine/placental perfusion or reserve, to trigger FHR abnormalities.

In all of these studies, FHR decelerations were transitory, and the FHR was typically returned to normal when exercise stopped. Although decelerations have been reported to occur during and after exercise, brief heart rate decelerations are rare and sporadic, and are thought to be inconsequential.5–10 No neonatal abnormalities in relation to these occurrences have been reported.

Level of evidence: although some of these studies in this area have been conducted in elite athletes, the studies are mostly case studies/series and the quality of evidence is therefore rated as very low.

Risk of miscarriage

Definition

Miscarriage (ie, spontaneous abortion or pregnancy loss) is the loss of a pregnancy during the first 20 weeks of the pregnancy.

Prevalence

Miscarriage is frequent, >10% in the general pregnant population, of which 80% occur in the first trimester (Committee on Practice Bulletins—Gynecology, 2015).14 The risk of miscarriage is most dependent on maternal age, with women <35 years having a risk of 5–7% and women over 40 years having a risk of 22%.5 The risk is higher with fertility treatment, but the major cause of miscarriage remains chromosomal abnormalities of the fetus. Independent of training routine, like all pregnant women, female athletes may also sustain a miscarriage. The question is whether a strenuous exercise routine increases the risk of miscarriage?

Strenuous activity and miscarriage

In a cohort of 92,671 women, of whom 3187 had experienced a miscarriage, 2351 were interviewed about their exercise habits.15 Data on exercise were obtained either during pregnancy or after an early miscarriage (<gestational week 22). HR was estimated for gestational week <11, 11–14, 15–18 and 19–22. The risk of miscarriage increased as the amount of exercise increased to a HR (ie, instantaneous risk over the study period) of 3.7 (95% CI 2.9 to 4.7) for women who exercised for >7 hours/week compared to non-exercisers. High-impact exercise (jogging, ball games and racquet sports) was associated with an increased risk of miscarriage of HR 3.7 (95% CI 2.9 to 4.7).

However, there was no association between exercise and the risk of miscarriage after 18-week gestation. In light of the potential for recall bias, evidence of a dose–response

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Underlying methodology</th>
<th>Quality rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Randomised trials; or double-upgraded observational studies</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downgraded randomised trials; or upgraded observational studies</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double-downgraded randomised trials; or observational studies</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triple-downgraded randomised trials; downgraded observational studies or case series/case reports</td>
<td>Very low</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
relationship between high-impact leisure-time exercise and early miscarriage is limited.15

Where there may be an increased risk for miscarriage is when there is intense exercise at the time of implantation.16 In a prospective study in which women planning first pregnancy were followed from termination of birth control until pregnancy (for up to six menstrual cycles), 162 women reported 181 pregnancies. Using prospectively collected structured diaries, the adjusted risk ratio (RR) for miscarriage was higher in women who reported higher than average physical strain on days 6–9 after the estimated date of ovulation (RR 2.5, 95% CI 1.3 to 4.6). There was no association with weekly average daily activity scores, suggesting that it was the intensity of the effort(s) around the implantation phase that was associated with the risk of miscarriage. The scant epidemiological data suggest that high-impact or high strain physical activity may be associated with a slightly higher risk of miscarriage during the fetal implantation phase.

Level of evidence: for a negative effect of strenuous exercise on miscarriage is low to moderate.

Lifting and miscarriage
In a large cohort of 71 500 women with 2886 miscarriages, the adjusted risk of early miscarriage (before 13 weeks) increased with increasing frequency of daily lifts and total burden lifted per day at work.17 The HRs were 1.38 (95% CI 1.10 to 1.74) for a total weight load per day of 101–200 kg, and 2.02 (95% CI 1.23 to 3.33) for a daily weight load >1000 kg, both compared with non-lifters. Late miscarriage (defined as 13–21 weeks) was associated with total daily load, but not with the number of lifts per day. There was no association between occupational lifting and stillbirth >22 weeks.18 19 Similarly, a retrospective study in 1752 women working in the textile industry found an increased risk of self-reported miscarriage in women who repeatedly worked in a crouching position (OR 1.82, 95% CI 1.14 to 2.93) compared to women in sedentary jobs. Women whose work provided light or medium physical activity had a lower risk of miscarriage.

Level of evidence: for a negative effect of lifting on miscarriage is low to moderate.

Light-to-moderate physical activity and miscarriage
A systematic review20 concluded that light to moderate intensity leisure-time physical activity does not increase the risk of miscarriage and may perhaps decrease it. There was limited evidence that leisure-time light-to-moderate physical activity and occupational physical activity (work related or household) may relate differently to miscarriage, although data are insufficient to draw robust conclusions.

Overall, it appears that in the general population, regular light-to-moderate physical activity does not increase the risk of miscarriage and may decrease the risk of miscarriage.22 There may be special circumstances related to the performance of strenuous exercise around the implantation period that may increase the risk of miscarriage.26

Level of evidence: in the absence of studies in elite athletes, female athletes who want to become pregnant may consider limiting the intensity of high-impact training routines in the week after ovulation, and refraining from repetitive heavy lifting efforts in the first trimester of pregnancy. However, these recommendations are based on low quality studies.

Fetal growth and the newborn’s birth weight
In non-pregnant athletes, high intensity exercise is associated with redistribution of blood flow away from the splanchnic organs towards the skeletal muscles. To meet the needs of the developing fetus, blood flow to the uterus during pregnancy increases from 50 ml/min in the first trimester to 300 ml/min in the third trimester. Therefore, there is a theoretical concern that regular, strenuous exercise in pregnancy, resulting in temporary redistribution of a portion of this blood flow, may impair fetal growth.

This theory has been proved in numerous animal species using forced exercise.21 However, the impact of maternal exercise on newborn birth weight in humans is less clear. A Cochrane review of 14 trials (1014 women)23 reported no significant change in birth weight with exercise. Moderate intensity exercise may reduce the risk of large-for-gestational-age and small-for-gestational-age babies.24 Regular strenuous exercise, particularly during the last trimester, generally leads to a lower birth weight (~200 g) than if not exercising (ref.). This lower birth weight reflects lower fat mass but a greater lean mass in newborns of exercisers, compared to non-exercisers.24

There is heterogeneity in the exercise regimens, including type, frequency and intensity of exercise of pregnant women.25 In contrast to sedentary women, those who exercised during pregnancy had a 31% lower risk of having a newborn >4000 g or >90th centile for gestational age (95% CI 0.55 to 0.86).26 Although the offspring of exercising women were, on average, 31 g smaller than those of inactive women (95% CI −57 to −4 g), there was no difference in the rate of having a baby <2500 g or less than the 10th centile for gestational age (OR 1.02, 95% CI 0.72 to 1.46).25

Clinical trials examining the effect of exercise on newborn birth weight have prescribed exercise regimens of at least 2–3, 30 min sessions per week. These regimens may not be strenuous enough to elicit the effects seen in animal models. There are no published trials evaluating newborn birth weight in elite athletes. Although the minor decrease in newborn weight is unlikely to be clinically significant following the exercise regimens used in the populations studied, caution is advised when extrapolating that minor impact to the elite athlete who exercises at a much higher frequency and intensity. Reducing the rate of macrosomia reduces the risk of shoulder dystocia, caesarean section, and childhood obesity.26 27

Level of evidence: high level of evidence that exercise during pregnancy reduces excessive birth weight, but lack of studies in elite athletes.

Physical activity and preterm birth
Definition
Preterm birth is defined as birth of a live-born infant prior to the completion of 37-week gestation (World Health Organization, 2012). Preterm birth can be categorised according to gestational age, aetiological pathway and clinical presentation.21

Prevalence and impact of preterm birth
An estimated 15 million babies (>10% of babies) are born preterm each year, and in most countries, the rates are rising.28 29 This is, in part, due to improvements in antenatal care and care during labour, which improve survival of preterm infants that would otherwise not have survived. In addition, >1 million babies die due to complications from preterm birth, making it the leading cause of newborn death and the second leading cause...
of death in children aged <5.28 29 Many other children are affected by disabilities resulting from their premature delivery.

Physical activity and preterm birth

The literature on physical activity and preterm birth is often separated by physical activity mode (occupational vs leisure), since findings tend to differ.

Observational studies—occupational physical activity: There remains some controversy in the literature regarding the impact (if any) of occupational physical activity (eg, long periods of standing, walking or lifting) on the risk of preterm birth. Studies reporting an effect of physical activity consistently show this effect to be low (absolute increase in risk of 1–4%).30-34

Observational studies—leisure-time physical activity: In the general obstetric population, there is no evidence of an association between leisure-time physical activity or exercise, and an increased risk of preterm birth.21 32–35

Most observational studies have not reported strenuous leisure-time physical activity. For studies that had sufficient statistical power to explore strenuous leisure activity (typically as any vs none), there was no effect or a modestly reduced risk of preterm birth.36 38-50

One small study exists describing preterm birth and physical activity among athletes.53 Among 131 well-conditioned recreational athletes with an uneventful first half of pregnancy, 87 continued to exercise regularly and 44 discontinued exercise before the second trimester. The incidence of preterm labour (not preterm birth) was similar in the two groups (9%).

Randomised clinical trials: In 2010, a Cochrane review of 14 trials that compared women who performed aerobic exercise with those who did not with respect to the risk of preterm birth (1014 women) concluded that generally the trials were small and not of high methodological quality.23 Eleven trials reported on pregnancy outcomes and the pooled estimate was not statistically significant (relative risk (RR) 1.82; 95% CI 0.35 to 9.57). Since then, at least six randomised trials have been published, with sample sizes ranging from 3534 to 32055 pregnant women.

In five of these recent studies,55-60 structured aerobic exercise classes were offered from early in pregnancy (8-week to 14-week gestation) to the end of pregnancy. Women in the intervention groups were compared to a usual care control group, with no differences in preterm birth rates between the groups in any study. In another study, sedentary women assigned to water aerobics had a similar risk of preterm birth to sedentary controls (RR 0.84; 95% CI 0.28 to 2.53).61

Level of evidence: moderate quality evidence, from randomised clinical trials (RCTs) and observational studies, to suggest that there are few effects of exercise on preterm birth rate in the general population. There have been no studies of elite athletes.

Apgar score

The Apgar score is a tool used by healthcare providers to evaluate the early neonatal adaptation to the extruterine environment.77 Apgar scores are commonly assigned at 1 and 5 min following birth, and evaluate the following neonatal characteristics: colour, heart rate, reflex irritability, muscle tone and respiration. The Apgar score has not been correlated with long-term development outcomes.60 Although, an Apgar score of 0–3 at 5 min may correlate with an increased risk of mortality.62

Few studies (although none in elite athletes), with low numbers of participants, have evaluated the impact of exercise on Apgar scores. There were conflicting results in two randomised studies of previously sedentary nulliparous women who received either usual care or a structured exercise programme. In one study, there was no difference in the mean Apgar scores at 1 min (7.5±1.3 vs 8.0±0.8, p=0.31) or 5 min (9.4±0.6 vs 9.6±0.4, p=0.08) between the control and exercising groups,63 whereas in the other study the 1- and 5-min Apgar scores were higher in the exercising group (p=0.036 and 0.015, respectively).64

Level of evidence: there is moderate evidence of no effect of exercise on Apgar score in the general population and no studies in elite athletes.

THE EFFECT OF EXERCISE ON DELIVERY

In this section, we consider the effect of exercise on the need for intervention in delivery.

Induction of labour, episiotomy and epidural anaesthesia

Population rates for induction of labour, episiotomy and epidural anaesthesia vary considerably by geographic location. Current rates for induction of labour in the USA, UK and Australia are between 20% and 25%.61 63

Rates of episiotomy, a procedure long considered ‘standard care’ in the USA and some other countries, have begun to decrease in many parts of the world. Despite this, variability is high—between 1995 and 2003, episiotomy rates ranged from 9.7% in Sweden to an estimated 100% in Taiwan.65 In the USA, rates have changed substantially, from 60.9% of all vaginal deliveries in 1979, to 24.5% in 2004. A review of vaginal deliveries not complicated by shoulder dystocia, fetal distress and FHR abnormalities from 510 US hospitals showed a continued drop in episiotomy rates—17% in 2006 and 12% in 2012.66 Similarly, anal sphincter laceration has decreased from 5% to 3% during the same time period.67

Based on eight randomised trials, a Cochrane review concluded that, compared to routine episiotomy, restrictive episiotomy resulted in less severe perineal trauma (RR 0.67, 95% CI 0.49 to 0.91), fewer healing complications (RR 0.69, 95% CI 0.56 to 0.85) and more anterior perineal trauma (RR 1.84, 95% CI 1.61 to 2.10), but no differences in severe vaginal trauma, painful intercourse, urinary incontinence or pain.68 Results were similar for mediolateral and midline episiotomy.

According to 2008 data, in the USA, 61% of women who delivered vaginally received an epidural or spinal anaesthetic.69 However, rates in many other developed countries are generally lower.70

Risk factors for induction, episiotomy and epidural anaesthesia

The increase in induction of labour has been attributed in large part to elective induction, which is motivated by a variety of reasons, from scheduling considerations to concerns for complications.71 Similarly, as highlighted by the summarised trends, episiotomy is also often elective in some regions, rather than indicated for fetal distress or other conditions requiring prompt delivery. The use of epidural anaesthesia depends on availability of this service, alternate methods of pain control available and the desires of women and their clinicians.

Induction, episiotomy and epidural anaesthesia and exercise

There are data, including randomised trial data, about the effect of structured physical activity programmes during pregnancy on these outcomes in non-athletes. We identified no data regarding these birth events specifically in athletes.

Data from 16 RCTs that evaluated the effect of structured physical exercise programmes during pregnancy on the course of labour and delivery were insufficient to draw conclusions.

Consensus statement

of death in children aged <5.28 29 Many other children are affected by disabilities resulting from their premature delivery.
about episiotomy, epidural anaesthesia and induction of labour.72 73 Previously, sedentary women assigned to a light-intensity resistance exercise training during the second and third trimesters of pregnancy had similar rates of epidural anaesthesia to those seen in controls.67 74 In a secondary analysis of a trial in which pregnant women were randomly assigned to either a 12-week exercise programme, conducted between 20-week and 36-week gestation, or standard care, there were no differences in the proportion of women who received epidural anaesthesia (36% vs 38%), oxytocin augmentation (51% vs 50%) or episiotomy (31% vs 24%).75

Level of evidence: there is moderate evidence that exercise does not increase rates of induction, episiotomy or epidural in the general population. No studies were found in elite athletes.

Prolonged labour
General muscular hypertrophy and increased muscle tone found in some muscular athletes are assumed to predispose the athlete to a prolonged second stage of labour, during which the mother works to push.76 The untested assumptions behind this belief are that these athletes also have hypertrophied pelvic floor muscles that, in turn, block the ability of the fetus to descend during pushing. Scoliosis indicates that elite athletes do have larger pelvic floor muscles than non-athletes.

The cross-sectional area and width of the pubococcygeus muscle measured on MRI was greater in a group of 10 athletes of labour in elite athletes.77 Pertinent to successful vaginal muscle measured on MRI was greater in a group of 10 athletes traction.78 Neither exercising the pelvic of labour in elite athletes.

At midpregnancy, increases the risk of operative delivery or ally during pregnancy, nor pelvic muscle strength and endurance during pushing. Scant data indicate that elite athletes do have larger pelvic floor muscles than non-athletes.

The cross-sectional area and width of the pubococcygeus muscle measured on MRI was greater in a group of 10 athletes compared with 10 controls.77 Pertinent to successful vaginal birth, there were no differences between the two groups in the cross-sectional area of the urogenital hiatus (the opening through which the fetus passes). In pregnant women studied at 37-week gestation, compared with non-exercisers, those who exercised 30 or more minutes three times per week had a larger levator hiatus area at rest and during pelvic floor muscle contraction.78 Neither exercising the pelvic floor muscles specifically during pregnancy, nor pelvic muscle strength and endurance at midpregnancy, increases the risk of operative delivery or severe perineal lacerations.79 These findings call into question the hypothesis that exercising regularly causes a ‘tighter’ pelvic floor that might impede childbirth. Conversely, it is possible that stronger abdominal muscles found in athletes might allow more effective pushing and a shorter second stage of labour.

No studies were identified that specifically addressed duration of labour in elite athletes.

Risk factors for prolonged second stage of labour
Prolonged second stage of labour is generally defined in nulliparous women as >3 hours with epidural anaesthesia and >2 hours without epidural anaesthesia. In multiparous women, prolonged second stage is defined as >2 hours with epidural anaesthesia and >1 hour without epidural anaesthesia. In a recent large US study, a prolonged second stage occurred in 9.9% and 13.9% of nulliparous women with and without epidural anaesthesia, and in 3.1% and 5.9% of multiparous women with and without epidural anaesthesia, respectively.80 81

Risk factors for a prolonged second stage include fetal head position that is not occiput anterior, fetal size, epidural anaesthesia and parity.82 83 A systematic review of five studies, including 879 patients,84 concluded that data are insufficient to draw conclusions about the effect of body position during pushing in women with epidural anaesthesia on the duration of second stage or on the need for instrumented deliveries.84

Obesity, a clear risk factor for prolonged first stage of labour, appears to have less impact on the second stage of labour.85 Relationship between physical activity and the duration of labour Women who exercised during their pregnancies had similar lengths of labour as sedentary women.86 However, these exercise programmes were generally performed for 45 min per day 1–3 times per week and cannot be extrapolated to programmes of greater intensity.87 A recent systematic review of 16 RCTs that evaluated the effect of structured physical exercise programmes during pregnancy on the course of labour and delivery concluded that data were insufficient to draw conclusions regarding the effect of exercise on the duration of labour.88

Studies published since the previous systematic review may provide additional insights regarding the effect of physical activity on the course of labour and delivery. Pregnant women randomly assigned to either an exercise intervention involving a 1-hour physical conditioning programme three times weekly throughout pregnancy, or control had a shorter first stage of labour (389 vs 516 min) but no difference in the second stage of labour.89 Having a higher VO2 during an exercise test performed at 35–37 weeks, a reflection of aerobic fitness was associated with a shorter duration of first stage of labour in 40 nulliparous women.90 A secondary analysis of a trial in which pregnant women were randomly assigned to either a 12-week exercise programme conducted between 20-week and 36-week gestation, or standard care, found no difference in the proportion of women with prolonged active second stage (included active pushing only). However, the exercise group had, on average, a longer, albeit clinically insignificant, second stage (44 compared to 38 min).73

Taken together, these findings suggest that physical activity during pregnancy either decreases or has no effect on the duration of labour.79 89

Level of evidence: there is moderate evidence that physical activity does not increase first or second stage of labour. We identified no data regarding prolonged labour specifically in elite athletes.

Acute and elective caesarean delivery
Teasing out differences between caesarean delivery rates by level of exercise is difficult, given the huge variation in caesarean rates globally. The WHO defined as the ideal caesarean delivery rate (the rate that balanced harms and benefits of performing or not performing caesarean) as between 10% and 15%. Countries with caesarean rates below 10% were considered to show underuse, whereas countries with caesarean rates above 15% were considered to show overuse. Fifty-four countries had caesarean rates below 10%, whereas 69 had rates above 15%. Fourteen countries had caesarean rates between 10% and 15%.

The effect of exercise during pregnancy on rates of caesarean delivery Primary risk factors for caesarean delivery include nulliparity, obesity (with a linear relationship between body mass index categories and risk), cervical dilatation at admission to the labour unit, advanced maternal age and certain medical complications of pregnancy, such as pre-eclampsia.91

Several RCTs have shown no effect of moderate exercise on caesarean delivery. In one trial, previously sedentary women assigned to light-intensity resistance exercise training during the second and third trimesters of pregnancy had similar rates of caesarean delivery to controls (15.3% vs 15.7%).92 In another trial that assessed the effect of a physical conditioning programme throughout pregnancy, there was also no difference in the incidence of caesarean delivery between those assigned to
this programme and the controls (11.7% vs 14.4% of women with follow-up).75 Cohort and cross-sectional studies suggest that moderate exercise either protects against caesarean delivery or has no impact on this outcome.51 53 55 56 75 88 92–94 In a group of well-conditioned women who regularly performed aerobics or running before pregnancy, those who continued to exercise at or above 50% of their preconception level throughout pregnancy had a lower incidence of caesarean delivery than those who discontinued their regular exercise before the end of the first trimester (6% vs 20%).75 Similarly, pregnant women who self-selected into a high-level exercise group during pregnancy had a lower incidence of caesarean delivery (6.7% vs 23.1% in the low exercise group, and 19.0% in the medium exercise group).96–98

In a cross-sectional study of 3006 women in the third trimester, those who reported exercising 150 min or more per week had no statistically significant reduction in the odds of caesarean delivery (0.86; 95% CI 0.69 to 1.07) compared with those who exercised <60 min/week.98 In a cohort study of 1342 women who delivered at term, compared with women exercising less than once per week, there were no differences in the caesarean delivery rates of women who reported exercising 1–4 times per week (RR 0.89; 95% CI 0.69 to 1.15) or 5 or more times per week during the last 3 months of pregnancy (RR 1.04; 95% CI 0.66 to 1.64) after adjustment for parity, gestational age and hypertension.99

Based on evidence from systematic reviews:
1. Overall, women in exercise groups had a lower risk of caesarean delivery (RR 0.85, 95% CI 0.73 to 0.99).31
2. Low-to-moderate levels of physical activity during pregnancy among healthy pregnant women modestly increase the chance of normal delivery.99

**Level of evidence:** there is inconsistent evidence on the effect of exercise during pregnancy on caesarean section and no studies on elite athletes.

### Risk of pelvic floor injury
In this section, we outline the evidence for pelvic floor injury during labour.

The pelvic floor
The pelvic floor provides the support needed to maintain continence and prevent pelvic organ prolapse. The structures of the pelvic floor include the bony pelvis, muscular and connective tissue supports and the endopelvic fascia.76 The muscular supports include the pelvic diaphragm, made up of the levator ani muscle (LAM) and coccygeus muscle as well as the urogenital diaphragm (also known as the perineal membrane), comprised of smaller perineal muscles. Terminology representing the LAM varies, but most nomenclature systems include the pubococcygeus and ischiococcygeus muscles in this muscle group.

**Definition of LAM defect**
The pubococcygeus muscle is the LAM most affected by vaginal birth. This muscle stretches more than three times its normal length during delivery of the fetal head68 and can also be injured by avulsion from its origin near the symphysis; related nerves may also be stretched. Avulsion injuries, also known as LAM tears or LAM defects, can be partial or complete and are often referred to as minor or major. Multiple definitions of LAM defect exist and definitions differ depending on the modality used to detect them (generally ultrasound and MRI).100 Reproducibility varies widely and reliability is improved when observers trained by each other are used.101

### Incidence of LAM defects
Ten to 20% of primiparous women demonstrate an LAM defect on MRI or ultrasound.102 We found no information about the incidence of LAM defect in athletes.

**Significance of LAM defect**
Since identifying LAM defects requires special expertise, research about these defects and clinical correlates is in its infancy. In the first year postpartum, LAM defects were associated with lower pelvic floor muscle strength, lower pelvic floor muscle endurance, various types of pelvic organ prolapse, urinary incontinence and a sense that the vagina was too loose, but not with fecal incontinence, fecal urgency or a sensation of a lump in the vagina.103–105

LAM defect is associated with significant pelvic organ prolapse in middle-aged women,106–113 but data are inconclusive about whether LAM defect increases the risk for future stress urinary incontinence remote from delivery.114

### Risk factors for LAM defect
This incidence of LAM defect increases to 50% in women whose deliveries were complicated by specific delivery variables, including forceps delivery, anal sphincter tear, large fetal head circumference, increased fetal weight and prolonged second stage.113 We found no studies on whether physical activity is associated with LAM defect.

LAM and LAM defects in athletes
Scant data suggest that athletes have larger LAM than non-athletes, based on MRI or ultrasound measurements.102 109 115–117 However, this may not correlate with increased LAM strength. In one study of a non-pregnant group, pelvic floor muscle strength, as assessed by manometer, was lower in a group of 30 athletes than in 10 non-athletes.77 We identified no data regarding LAM defects specifically in athletes.

### Risk of anal sphincter tear
In this section, we outline evidence regarding anal sphincter injury during labour.

**Classification of perineal tears**
Anal sphincter tears (also known as third- and fourth-degree perineal tears) involve injury to the perineum that extend to at least part of the anal sphincter and may involve the entire external sphincter, internal sphincter and anorectal mucosa.118

**Incidence of anal sphincter tear**
The incidence of anal sphincter tear varies widely, depending on definition, method of ascertainment, diligence about detection and population studied, and generally ranges from 3% to 20%.76 119

**Risk factors for anal sphincter tear**
Midline episiotomy is associated with a 3% risk of anal sphincter injury. Consequently, a mediolateral approach is more commonly used when episiotomy is required.120–122 However, the angle of episiotomy matters. Suture angles of 40–60° were in a ‘safe zone’ and reduced the risk of anal sphincter injury by 40–50% compared with spontaneous tears.123 In addition to midline episiotomy, other risk factors for anal sphincter tear include first vaginal delivery, shorter perineal length, perineal...
oedema, instrumented deliveries, prolonged second stage of labour, birth weight >4000 g and fetal occipitoposterior presentation. With the exception of forceps deliveries, which carry a 7% risk of anal sphincter tear, the other risk factors are associated with a 2–4% risk. 70

Significance of anal sphincter injury
Anal sphincter injury increases the risk of anal incontinence in the early and late postpartum periods. Six months after delivery, 23% of women with an anal sphincter tear reported bowel incontinence compared with 13.4% of controls. The incidence of worse bowel control was nearly 10 times higher in women with fourth-degree tears than in those with third-degree tears. 124 Five to 10 years after childbirth, women who sustained an anal sphincter tear were 2.3 times (95% CI 1.27 to 4.26) more likely to report anal incontinence than those who did not sustain a tear (19% vs 10%). 121 124 This increased risk attenuates in older age as other risk factors for anal incontinence take priority.

Anal sphincter tear in athletes
We identified no data on anal sphincter tears specifically in elite athletes. However, in a prospective cohort study, pregestational physical inactivity increased the risk of anal sphincter tears (found in 4.3% of women delivered vaginally) sixfold (OR 6.1, 95% CI 1.6 to 22.9) after adjusting for other factors. However, these data should be interpreted with caution as only 12 of 19 women with an anal sphincter tear completed information about pregestational physical inactivity. 120 In contrast, a secondary analysis of data from a randomised trial in which pregnant women were randomly assigned either to a 12-week low-impact exercise programme that included pelvic floor muscle training conducted between 20-week and 36-week gestation, or standard care, found no differences in the proportion of women who sustained an anal sphincter tear (6% in both groups). 123

Prevention of anal sphincter tears
Based on eight randomised or quasi-randomised trials involving 11 651 women, a recent Cochrane review concluded that warm compresses (RR 0.48, 95% CI 0.28 to 0.84 (two studies, 1525 women)) and perineal massage during labour (RR 0.52, 95% CI 0.29 to 0.94 (two studies, 2147 women)) reduced the incidence of anal sphincter tears. 126 Another Cochrane review that included four randomised or quasi-randomised trials (2497 women) concluded that antenatal digital perineal massage did not reduce the incidence of anal sphincter tears. 79

Level of evidence: there are no studies on the effect of regular exercise during pregnancy for the prevention of LAM or perineal tears, and no studies in elite athletes.

Other parts in the 5-part series: exercise and pregnancy in recreational and elite athletes
In addition to this Part 2, there are four other parts of IOC expert group meeting. Part 1: exercise in women planning pregnancy and those who are pregnant. 1 Parts 3–5 will be published in 2017 issues of BJSM.
Part 3: Guidance on returning to exercise in the postpartum period.
Part 4: Research directions.
Part 5: Recommendations for health professionals and active women.
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