

Table 1. Descriptives and methodological quality of the included intervention studies (sorted from strong to weak, in alphabetical order)

Source	Study design	Sample characteristics (baseline)	Confounders	Blinding	AP measure	CP measure	Analytical sample	Quality	Main results
Ahamed et al., 2007	Cluster RCT, Action Schools! BC (AS! BC) Intervention + Control, Canada Duration: 16 months Type: classroom-based PA Bout duration: 15 min Frequency: 5 days/week Intensity: can't tell Control: 2 x 40 min of regular PE	Age: 9-11 years N = 396	Accounted for gender, ethnicity, PA score and baseline differences in AP	Assessors: yes Participants: can't tell	Canadian Achievement Test (e.g. mathematics, reading and language)		>70% ~50% female	Strong	PA: intervention > control (approximately 47 min/week) AP: intervention = control
Chaya et al., 2012	RCT, Intervention, India Duration: 3 months Type: 1) Yoga	Age: 7-9 years N = 200 ~49% female	Accounted for gender, age, BMI, SES and baseline CP	Assessors: yes Participants: can't tell		Malin's intelligence scale for Indian children	Cohort retention: >90% Analytical sample: >90%	Strong	PA: no information CP: yoga = PA group [all children showed improved

	<p>Bout duration: 45 min Frequency: 6 days/week Intensity: can't tell</p> <p>2) PA group (passive stretching and aerobic exercise) Bout duration: 45 min Frequency: 6 days/week Intensity: can't tell</p>								<p>performance on comprehension, arithmetic, vocabulary and object assembly scores at 3 (posttest) and 6 months (baseline - follow-up) compared to the baseline scores]</p>
Donnelly et al., 2009	<p>Cluster RCT, Physical Activity Across the Curriculum (PAPC) Intervention + Control, US</p> <p>Duration: 3 years Type: physically active academic lessons Bout duration: 10 min Frequency: 5 days/week,</p>	<p>Age: 7-9 N = 1527</p>	<p>Accounted for gender, age, BMI, AP and daily PA</p>	<p>Assessors: yes</p> <p>Participants: can't tell</p>	<p>Wechsler Individual Achievement Test 2nd Edition assessing mathematics reading, writing and oral language skills</p>		<p>>90 %**</p> <p>~57% female</p> <p>**refers to % of participants that completed the intervention; outcomes were</p>	<p>Strong</p>	<p>PA: intervention > control (13% more active)</p> <p>AP: intervention > control for composite academic scores of reading, mathematics and spelling</p>

	<p>amounting to 90 min/week Intensity: MVPA</p> <p>Control: Regular academic lessons and regular PE (60 min/week)</p>						measured in a subsample of 454 participants		
Ericsson, 2008	<p>CT, Bunkeflo project, Sweden</p> <p>Duration: 3 years Type: Daily PE + 60 min adapted motor training/week (if needed) Bout duration: 45 min Frequency: 5 days/week Intensity: can't tell</p> <p>Control: 2 PE lessons (45 min each) per week</p>	Age: 7-9 years N = 251	Accounted for age, parental education level, baseline CP and AP and leisure PA	Assessors: can't tell Participants: can't tell	Standardized grades for literacy (Swedish) and mathematics; qualification for upper secondary school (teacher report)	Conner's questionnaire measuring teacher's and parent's conceptions of children's attention ability and impulse control	100%	Strong	<p>PA: no information</p> <p>intervention > control for motor skills improved (year 1 and year 3)</p> <p>CP: intervention > control for attention measures (year 1 and year 2, not in year 3)</p> <p>AP: Literacy and Intervention > control for mathematics (year 2)</p>

<p>Gao et al., 2013</p>	<p>CT, Dance Dance Revolution (DDR) Intervention, US</p> <p>Duration: 2 years Type: aerobic activities (15 min) and dance activities (15 min) Bout duration: 30 min Frequency: 3 days/week Intensity: MVPA</p> <p>Control: Unstructured recess</p>	<p>Age: 8-11 years N = 268</p>	<p>Accounted for gender, age, BMI, fitness and baseline AP</p>	<p>Assessors: can't tell</p> <p>Participants: can't tell</p>	<p>Standardized school grades on reading and mathematics</p>		<p>Cohort retention: >60%</p> <p>Analytical sample: >75%</p> <p>~42% female**</p> <p>**analytical sample</p>	<p>Strong</p>	<p>PA: Fitness: intervention > control</p> <p>AP: intervention > control for mathematics scores (year 1 and year 2) Intervention = control for reading</p>
<p>Hillman et al., 2014</p>	<p>RCT, FITKids Intervention, US</p> <p>Duration: 9 months Type: aerobic + 45-55 min skill theme (not exercise) Bout duration: 70 min Frequency: 5 days/week Intensity: light to MVPA</p>	<p>Age: 7-9 N = 221</p> <p>~ 46% female</p>	<p>Accounted for age, pubertal timing fitness, BMI and IQ</p>	<p>Assessors: yes</p> <p>Participants: can't tell</p>		<p>Modified flanker task and color-shape switch task</p>	<p>Cohort retention: >90%</p> <p>Analytical sample: 100%</p>	<p>Strong</p>	<p>PA: intervention > control for fitness; mean heart rate during the PA section of the intervention was 137 beats/minute</p> <p>CP: Attentional inhibition (flanker task): - intervention > control for accuracy</p>

	Control: Regular PE curriculum (can't tell)								<p>scores and P3 amplitude and P3 latency; - intervention = control for response time:</p> <p>Cognitive flexibility (switch-task): - intervention > control for performance accuracy and P3 amplitude (heterogeneous trials); - intervention = control for performance accuracy and P3 amplitude (homogenous trials)</p>
Kirk et al., 2014	CT, Intervention, US Duration: 6 months Type: academically active lessons Bout duration: 2 x 15 min Frequency: 5 days/week Intensity: light to MVPA	Age: 3-4 years N =72 53% female	Accounted for gender, age, weight and baseline AP	Assessors: can't tell Participan ts: can't tell	Growth and Development Indicators (picture naming, rhyming, alliteration)		100%	Strong	<p>PA: intervention > control for PA level</p> <p>AP: - intervention > control for scores on picture naming task and alliteration administration (3 and 6 months);</p>

	Control: Similar academic lessons without the PA component								- intervention = control for rhyming administration
Resaland et al., 2016	RCT, Norway Duration: 7 months Intervention with 3 components (a/b/c) Frequency/Bout duration: a) 90 min/week physically active educational lessons in school playground b) 5 min/day PA breaks during classroom lessons c) 10 min/day PA homework Intensity: 25% of PA was vigorous PA Control: Regular PE/PA curriculum (135 min/week)	Age: mean 10.2 years 57 elementary schools N = 1129	Accounted for age, sex, parents' education level, weight, height, BMI, baseline AP and baseline PA	Assessors: can't tell Participants: can't tell	Academic performance numeracy, reading, English using standardized Norwegian Tests		~95%	Strong	PA: accelerometry AP: intervention = control for all AP measures; subgroup intervention > control for numeracy

<p>Schmidt et al., 2015</p>	<p>RCT, intervention program; Switzerland</p> <p>Duration: 6 weeks Type: 1) cognitively engaging team games intervention (high demands on cognitive engagement and physical exertion) and 2) one aerobic intervention (low cognitive engagement but high physical exertion) Bout duration: 45 min Frequency: 2 days/week Intensity: MVPA</p> <p>Control: Standard PE consisting of low cognitive engagement and</p>	<p>Age: 10-12 years N = 118</p> <p>55% female</p>	<p>Accounted for gender, age, SES, BMI, baseline PA and AP</p>	<p>Assessors: partially</p> <p>Participants: can't tell</p>		<p>Non-spatial n-back (updating) Flanker test (inhibition) Mixed cued flanker (shifting)</p>	<p>>90%</p>	<p>Strong</p>	<p>PA: Team group and aerobic intervention > control for physical exertion and physical fitness. No group differences for time spent being physically active.</p> <p>CP: - intervention = control for inhibition and updating - team games (1) > aerobic intervention (2) or control group, for shifting</p>
------------------------------------	---	---	--	---	--	--	----------------	---------------	--

	low physical exertion demands								
Subramanian et al., 2016	<p>RCT, Structured PA Intervention program, India</p> <p>Duration: 6 months Type: Structured PA (aerobic) Bout duration: 120 min Frequency: 6 days/week Intensity: can't tell</p> <p>Control: Unstructured PA for the same bout, frequency and duration as the intervention group</p>	<p>Age: 12-17 years N = 451</p> <p>44% female</p> <p>Both the intervention and the control group are divided in athletes and non-athletes.</p>	Accounted for gender, age, height, weight, baseline PA and baseline CP	<p>Assessors: yes</p> <p>Participants: can't tell</p>		<p>Two target letter cancellation test (LCT)</p> <p>Trail Making test A and B (TTA and TTB)</p> <p>Ruff Figural fluency test (RFFT)</p>	>75%	Strong	<p>PA: No information</p> <p>CP: intervention > control for LCT time, TTB and RFFT</p> <p>intervention = control for LCT omission, LCT commission, TTA</p>
Telford et al., 2012	<p>Cluster RCT, specialist-Taught Intervention, Australia</p> <p>Duration: 2 years Type: Specialist-Taught PE</p>	<p>Age: 8-9 years N = 750</p>	Accounted for gender, age, BMI, baseline AP and baseline PA	<p>Assessors: can't tell</p> <p>Participants: can't tell</p>	Literacy and numeracy test		<p>~83%</p> <p>49% female</p>	Strong	<p>PA: intervention = control</p> <p>AP: intervention > control for numeracy</p>

	<p>Bout duration: 45-50 min Frequency: 2 days/week Intensity: MVPA + 50-60 min of PE per week delivered by the classroom teacher</p> <p>Control: 150 min per week of PE lessons taught by classroom teachers as well as sports teachers</p>								
Beck et al., 2016	<p>CT, 2 types of motor-enriched learning activities, Denmark</p> <p>Duration: 6 weeks (T0/T1) Follow-up after 8 weeks after intervention stopped (T2) Frequency: 3 days/week</p>	<p>Age: mean 7.5 years N = 165</p> <p>Intervention (1) GMM 55% male N = 55</p> <p>Intervention (2) FMM: 57% male, N = 53</p> <p>Control 49% male</p>	<p>Accounted for age, gender, bilingualism, BMI, fitness, gross motor skills, fine motor skills, executive functions, visuo-spatial short-term memory and</p>	<p>Assessors: can't tell</p> <p>Participants: can't tell</p>	<p>Mathematical achievement test (arithmetics and geometry)</p>		>80%	Moderate	<p>PA: Children attending classes: all groups >90% objectively measured HR zone in subgroup: MVPA HR GMM > FMM/CO</p> <p>AP: <i>Total group</i> GMM > FMM (T0 – T1)</p> <p><i>Normal learners</i></p>

	<p>Bout duration: 60 min Intensity: can't tell</p> <p>Intervention (1) Gross Motor Math GMM: inter-limb gross motor movements, involving a large range of movements while solving mathematical problems</p> <p>Intervention (2) Fine Motor Math FMM: using fine motor activity to manipulate LEGO bricks supporting mathematical principles and procedures.</p> <p>Control: Conventional math teaching</p>	N = 57	phonological short-term memory						GMM>FMM/CON (T0-T1) GMM>CON (T0-T2)
--	--	--------	--------------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

<p>Bunketorp-Kall et al., 2015</p>	<p>Quasi-experimental design, “School-in Motion” intervention program, Sweden</p> <p>Duration: 3 years Type: aerobic Bout duration: 30-45 min Frequency: 2 days/week Intensity: can’t tell</p>	<p>Age: 5-12 years N = 545</p>	<p>Accounted for gender, age, SES, baseline AP and PA</p>	<p>Assessors: can’t tell</p> <p>Participants: can’t tell</p>	<p>National test results in mathematics, Swedish and English (“pass” or “fail”)</p>		<p>>60%</p> <p>~48% female</p>	<p>Moderate</p>	<p>PA: intervention > control</p> <p>AP: Intervention > control for passing national tests in Swedish and mathematics in girls (not boys); Intervention = control for English grades.</p>
<p>Butzer et al., 2015</p>	<p>CT, “Kripalu Yoga in the Schools” Intervention, US</p> <p>Duration: 12 weeks Type: Yoga Bout duration: 35-40 min Frequency: 2-3 days/week Intensity: can’t tell</p> <p>Control: 35 - 40 min of PE for 2 - 3 times per week, for a total duration of 12</p>	<p>Age: 14-16 years N = 115</p>	<p>Accounted for gender and age</p>	<p>Assessors: can’t tell</p> <p>Participants: can’t tell</p>	<p>Standardized GPA</p>		<p>>80%</p> <p>~ 56% female</p>	<p>Moderate</p>	<p>PA: no information</p> <p>AP/CP: Both groups showed a downwards decline in GPA between the 1st and 4th quarter. However, the decline between the 1st (baseline) quarter and the 3rd quarter (intervention was delivered) was more pronounced for the control than the yoga group. The effect of</p>

	weeks, as well as theory over sports								yoga practice did not last after the practice was discontinued.
Castelli et al., 2011	<p>Pre-posttest design, part of the FITKids Intervention, US</p> <p>Duration: 9 months Type: PA sessions Bout duration: 70 min Frequency: 5 days/week Intensity: light to MVPA +45-55 min skill theme</p> <p>Control: none</p>	Age: 8-9 years N = 59 *	Not Applicable	Assessors: yes Participants: can't tell	Wide Range Achievement Test (WRAT)	<p>Kaufman Brief Intelligence Test (KBIT)</p> <p>Trail making A and B</p> <p>The Stroop Color-Word test</p>	100% 44% female	Moderate	<p>PA: not applicable</p> <p>AP/CP: Children who spent more time exercising above the pre-specified target heart zone performed better at tasks related to executive functions (Trial making B and Stroop Color-Word) after the intervention. Mean heart rate during PA sessions was related to performance on the Trial making A task.</p>
Chaddock et al., 2013	<p>RCT, FITKids Intervention, US</p> <p>Duration: 9 months Type: aerobic Bout duration: 70 min</p>	Age: 8-9 N = 32 ~57% female *	Accounted for gender, age, SES, pubertal timing, physical fitness and IQ scores	Assessors: yes Participants: can't tell		Modified flanker task with 3 conditions: congruent, incongruent and No Go	~72%	Moderate	<p>PA: intervention > control for VO₂max (physical fitness)</p> <p>CP: intervention = control</p>

	<p>Frequency: 5 days/week Intensity: light to MVPA +45-55 min skill theme</p> <p>Control: Regular PE curriculum</p>								<p>[Posthoc tests guided by a-priori hypothesis showed higher improvements in performance between the pretest and posttest in the intervention group, for the flanker task, measuring attention and interference control. Children in the intervention group showed decreases in right anterior prefrontal cortex activation. Children in the intervention group showed similar anterior frontal brain patterns and incongruent accuracy rates to a group of college-aged young adults]</p>
Chang et al., 2013	<p>CT, Coordinative exercise Intervention, Taiwan</p>	<p>Age: 6-7.5 years N = 26 50% female</p>	<p>Accounted for gender, age, height, weight, SES, baseline physical fitness</p>	<p>Assessors: can't tell</p>		<p>Eriksen flanker task</p>	<p>Can't tell</p>	<p>Moderate</p>	<p>PA: moderate intensity group > low intensity group for fitness</p>

	<p>Duration: 8 weeks Type: moderate intensity coordinative exercise Bout duration: 35 min Frequency: 2 days/week Intensity: moderate</p> <p>Group 2: Duration: 8 weeks Type: low intensity coordinative exercise Bout duration: 35 min Frequency: 2 days/week Intensity: low</p>		and soccer experience	Participants: can't tell					<p>CP: moderate intensity group = low intensity group; all children, regardless of group membership had higher accuracy and faster reaction times, particularly for the task condition requiring inhibitory control. The behavioural results were accompanied by larger P3 amplitudes and shorter P3 latencies, a neural marker of better use of attentional resources.</p>
Costigan et al., 2016	<p>RCT, New Zealand</p> <p>Duration: 8 weeks Frequency: 3 times/weeks Bout duration: 8-10 min Intensity: high intensity</p>	<p>Age: 14-16 years N = 65</p>	Accounted for age, weight, height and BMI	Assessors: yes Participants: can't tell		Trail making test (visual attention, speed, scanning, speed of processing and mental	95% (Executive Function available)	Moderate	<p>PA: Measured using heart rate monitors (average bpm). AEP: 148 RAP: 155 No information on control.</p>

	<p>Interventions (delivered during PE lessons (2) and lunch time (1):</p> <p>(1) AEP (Aerobic Exercise Program): gross motor cardiorespiratory exercises</p> <p>(2) RAP (Resistance and aerobic exercise program): a combination of cardiorespiratory and body weight resistance training exercises</p> <p>Control: usual PE and lunchtime activities</p>					flexibility)			CP: intervention = control
Donnelly et al., 2017	<p>RCT, US</p> <p>A+PAAC type: integrated PA with academic instruction.</p> <p>Duration: 3 years</p>	<p>Age: mean 8.1 years</p> <p>17 schools (9 intervention)</p>	<p>Accounted for BMI, household income, cardiovascular fitness and</p>	<p>Assessors: yes</p> <p>Participants: can't tell</p>	<p>Wechsler Individual Achievement Test (WIAT-III)</p>		77%	Moderate	<p>PA: Direct Observations (SOFIT)</p> <p>AP: intervention = control</p>

	<p>Frequency: 2 times/day, 5 days/week Bout duration: 10 min Intensity: 4-5 METs</p> <p>Control: Regular curriculum</p>	, 8 control schools); N = 584	baseline AP score						
Erwin et al., 2017	<p>CT, classroom-based PA Intervention + Control, US</p> <p>Duration: 20 weeks Type: classroom-based PA Bout duration: 20+ min Frequency: 5 days/week Intensity: can't tell</p> <p>Control: 2 x 30 min PE per week and 1 x 30 min recess session per day</p>	Age: 8-9 years N = 29	Can't tell	Assessors: can't tell Participants: can't tell	<p>Curriculum based measurements for reading fluency and mathematics</p> <p>Aptitude, grades for mathematics and reading</p> <p>Standardized test scores and classroom behaviour</p>		100%	Moderate	<p>PA: no information</p> <p>AP: intervention > control for reading fluency and mathematics scores and for standardized reading and mathematics scores.</p>

Fedewa et al., 2015	<p>CT, Physically active academic lessons, Intervention, US</p> <p>Duration: 8 months Type: physically active academic lessons Bout duration: 20 min Frequency: 5 days/week Intensity: can't tell</p> <p>Control: Regular school curriculum</p>	<p>Age: 8-11 years N = 460</p> <p>% female (can't tell)</p>	<p>Baseline scores AP and IQ</p>	<p>Assessors: can't tell</p> <p>Participants: can't tell</p>	<p>Standardized grades in reading and mathematics</p>	<p>Raven's Standard Progressive Matrix (SPM)</p>	<p>> 95%</p>	<p>Moderate</p>	<p>PA: intervention > control for steps during the period between winter and fall only (seasonal-related effects)</p> <p>AP: intervention > control for reading and mathematics</p> <p>CP: intervention = control for fluid intelligence</p>
Fisher et al., 2011	<p>RCT, Scotland</p> <p>Duration: 10 weeks Type: high intensity PE (mostly aerobic) Bout duration: 60 min Frequency: 5 days/week</p>	<p>Age: 6-7 years N = 71</p> <p>55% female</p>	<p>Accounted for gender, age SES and baseline CP</p>	<p>Assessors: yes</p> <p>Participants: yes</p>		<p>The Cognitive Assessment System (CAS; (planning, attention, perceptual processing, memory)</p>	<p>Cohort retention: >90%</p> <p>Analytical sample: >80%</p>	<p>Moderate/Weak</p>	<p>PA: intervention > control for total PA and time spent exercising at MVPA intensity levels</p> <p>CP: intervention > control for error rate in a</p>

	<p>Intensity: 44% sedentary and 20% MVPA</p> <p>Control: Duration: 10 weeks Type: regular PE (balance/coordinate) Bout duration: 60 min Frequency: 2 days/week Intensity: 61% sedentary and 9% MVPA</p>					<p>Cambridge Neuropsychological Test Battery (Spatial Memory Span and Spatial Working Memory)</p> <p>Attention Network Test</p>			<p>spatial working memory task and scores on the cognitive problems and inattention subscale</p>
<p>Galotta et al., 2015</p>	<p>RCT, Italy</p> <p>Duration: 5 months Frequency: 2 days/week Bout duration: 60 min Intensity: various intensities</p> <p>Interventions: (1) Traditional PA (endurance, strength, flexibility)</p>	<p>Age: 8-11 years</p> <p>3 primary schools</p> <p>N = 230 (total sample)</p> <p>Traditional N = 78</p>	<p>Accounted for BMI, body fat, and baseline values CP</p>	<p>Assessors: can't tell</p> <p>Participants: can't tell</p>		<p>D2 test of attention</p>	<p>67%</p>	<p>Moderate</p>	<p>PA: no information</p> <p>CP: intervention = control</p>

	(2) Coordinative PA (coordination, dexterity) Control: No PA	Coordinative PA N = 83 Control N = 69							
Hagins and Rundle, 2016	RCT, US Duration: 1 academic year (9 months) Frequency: 2 days/week Bout duration: 45 min Intensity: light PA Intervention: Mindfulness and yoga-based exercises Control: PE class (weightlifting, stationary biking, fitness exercises, games (e.g. soccer/volleyball))	9 th -11 th grade students (public high school) N = 112	Accounted for age, gender, weight, BMI, cardiovascular fitness, race/ethnicity, socio-economic status, AP previous year and class participation	Assessors: no Participants: can't tell	GPA		100%	Moderate	- intervention = control for GPA - intervention > control for GPA after controlling for participation rate

	Measurements 1, 5 and 9 months after start of the intervention								
Kirk and Kirk, 2016	<p>Cohort analytic, Head Start Intervention, US</p> <p>Academic lessons using moderate PA</p> <p>Duration: 8 months Frequency: 5 days/week Bout duration: 2 times 30 min Intensity: various intensities</p> <p>Control: Measurements at baseline, 4 and 8 months</p>	<p>Age: mean 4.1 years</p> <p>2 Preschools, 4 classes N = 56</p> <p>Intervention N = 40</p> <p>Control N = 16</p>	Accounted for baseline values AP, gender, age and BMI	<p>Assessors: no</p> <p>Participants: can't tell</p>	Literacy skills (picture naming, alliteration, rhyming) using early literacy individual growth and development indicators		<p>96%</p> <p>Intervention: N =39</p> <p>Control: N =15</p>	Moderate	<p>PA: Direct Observations (SOFIT) of fitness instruction time, teacher report</p> <p>AP: - intervention = control for picture naming - intervention > control for rhyming and alliteration after 8 months</p>
Koutsandréou et al., 2016	<p>CT, intervention program, Germany</p> <p>Duration: 10 weeks after school program</p>	<p>Age: 9-10 years N = 99</p> <p>55% female</p>	Accounted for gender, age, BMI, pubertal status, physical fitness and baseline CP	<p>Assessors: can't tell</p> <p>Participants: can't tell</p>		The auditory Letter Digit Span	>70%	Moderate	<p>PA: - heart rate: motor coordination intervention and aerobic intervention > control, - mean heart rate: aerobic > motor</p>

	<p>Type: one motor demanding exercise (coordination) intervention and one aerobic intervention Bout duration: 45 min Frequency: 3 days/week Intensity: MVPA</p> <p>Control: regular PE lessons, 3 x 45 min per week + assisted homework sessions</p>								<p>CP: - pre-post differences in working-memory performance: motor coordination and aerobic intervention > control - posttest performance: motor coordination intervention > control</p>
Kvalo et al., 2017	<p>RCT, Norway</p> <p>Active School Duration: 10 months Intervention <i>Regular PE/PA</i> 3 x 45 min <i>Physically active academic lessons</i> 2 x 45 min <i>Physically active homework</i> 5 x 10 min</p>	<p>Age: 9-10 years</p> <p>9 primary schools</p> <p>N =679</p>	<p>Accounted for number of children participating in extracurricular sports, living conditions surrounding school area, size, participation in PA leader program, gender, BMI,</p>	<p>Assessors: no</p> <p>Participants: can't tell</p>		<p>Executive functions (1) attention/inhibition/self-control/mental speed using the Stroop Golden color-word test (word reading/color naming/interference);</p>	>60%	Moderate	<p>PA: Min (self-reported by schools) intervention vs control Regular PE: 80 vs 60 PA: 68 vs 44 Physically active academic lessons: 78 Physically active homework: 39 Physical activity during recess: 26</p> <p>CP:</p>

	<p><i>Physical activity during recess</i> 5 x 10 min In total 325 min/week Intensity: various intensities</p> <p>Control Regular PE/PA (135 min/week)</p>		waist circumference and baseline scores CP			(2) verbal semantic fluency; (3) working memory using the intelligence test WAIS-IV (digit span forward/backward); (4) attention, psychomotor execution, mental flexibility using the Trail Making Test			intervention = control for all the tests
Mavilidi et al., 2016	<p>RCT, Australia</p> <p>Duration: 1 week Frequency: 3 times/8 days Bout duration: 10 min</p>	<p>Age: mean 4.9 years</p> <p>8 childcare centers</p>	Accounted for pre-test scores	<p>Assessors: no</p> <p>Participants: can't tell</p>	Knowledge test, directly related to the content of the interventions		<p>97%</p> <p>Intervention (1) N = 28; Intervention (2) N =</p>	Moderate	<p>PA: (counts/minute and MVPA)</p> <p>- unintegrated > integrated</p> <p>- Integrated/unintegrated > control</p>

	<p>Intensity: can't tell</p> <p>Interventions (1) integrated PA condition (2) unintegrated PA condition</p> <p>Control: No PA involved in the learning task</p> <p>Measurement at baseline, 1 week and 6 weeks</p>	<p>N = 90 (male: N = 45)</p>					<p>29 Control N = 30</p>		<p>AP: intervention (integrated) > control intervention (unintegrated condition) = control</p>
<p>Mavilidi et al., 2017</p>	<p>RCT, Australia</p> <p>Duration: 4 weeks Frequency: 1/week Bout duration: 10 min Intensity: can't tell</p> <p>Interventions: (1) Intervention – integrated: performing physical activities related to the learning task</p>	<p>Age: mean 4.9 years</p> <p>7 childcare centers</p> <p>N = 90 (female N = 45, male N = 45)</p>	<p>Accounted for age, gender, ethnicity, socio-economic status and baseline score CP</p>	<p>Assessors: no</p> <p>Participants: can't tell</p>	<p>Knowledge test, directly related to the content of the interventions</p> <p>1) Free-recall test 2) Cued-recall test</p>		<p>91%</p>	<p>Moderate</p>	<p>PA: Measured using accelerometers: MVPA and average activity counts/minute</p> <p>AP: Children in the integrated condition performed better in the immediate post-test compared to the delayed post-test (6 weeks later)</p>

	<p>(2) Intervention - non-integrated: performing physical activities not related to the learning task</p> <p>Control: no physical activities involved in the learning task</p> <p>Measurements at baseline, 4 weeks, 10 weeks</p>								
McClelland et al., 2015	<p>CT, Move4words Intervention, UK</p> <p>Duration: 3 months Type: aerobic and perceptual-motoric Bout duration: 20 min Frequency: 5 days/week Intensity: can't tell</p> <p>Control: Regular PE</p>	Age: 10-11 years N = 1955	Accounted for age and baseline AP	Assessors: can't tell Participants: can't tell	National examinations (KS2 SATs, math and language) – calculated as % of participants who exceeded level 4		>80%	Moderate/Weak	<p>PA: no information</p> <p>AP: intervention > control for national math and English scores (79% of the children in the intervention group passed the exam, with a 20% increase compared to 3 years before the intervention; 66% of the children in the control group reached</p>

									this target, that is a 7% increase from baseline measures)
	<p>One-group time-series trial, Move4words Intervention, UK</p> <p>Duration: 3 months Type: aerobic and perceptual-motoric Bout duration: 20 min Frequency: 5 days/week Intensity: can't tell</p> <p>Control: Regular PE</p>	<p>Age: 7-10 years N = 113</p>	<p>Accounted for age and baseline AP</p>		<p>National curriculum levels in reading, writing and math</p>		>80%	Moderate/Weak	<p>PA: no information</p> <p>AP: 63% increase in reading rate, 88% increase in math progress and 19% increase in writing progress rate in the intervention group.</p>

	<p>Cohort-analytic, Move4words Intervention, UK</p> <p>Duration: 3 months Type: aerobic and perceptual-motoric Bout duration: 20 min Frequency: 5 days/week Intensity: can't tell</p> <p>Control: Normal PE lessons, can't tell the duration or intensity</p>	<p>Age: 7-10 years N = 51</p>	<p>Accounted for age and baseline AP</p>		<p>National curriculum levels in reading</p>		<p>Can't tell</p>	<p>Moderate/Weak</p>	<p>PA: no information</p> <p>AP: intervention > control for reading scores; participants in the intervention group were one year ahead in terms of reading scores than the control group.</p>
<p>van der Niet et al., 2016</p>	<p>Quasi-experimental design, additional PA during lunch time + Control, Netherlands</p> <p>Duration: 5.5 months Type: aerobic exercise and cognitively engaging activities</p>	<p>Age: 8-12 N = 112 50% female</p>	<p>Accounted for gender, age, SES, BMI, baseline PA and CP</p>	<p>Assessors: yes Participants: no</p>		<p>Golden version of the Stroop test, Visual Memory Span test, the Digit Span test, Trail making test and the Tower of London</p>	<p>>85%</p>	<p>Moderate</p>	<p>PA: intervention = control for physical fitness measures</p> <p>CP: - intervention > control for stroop test and digit span test scores;</p>

	<p>Bout duration: 30 min Frequency: 2 days/week Intensity: MVPA</p> <p>Control: standard 2 x PE per week</p>								- intervention = control for remaining CP measures
Pesce et al., 2013	<p>CT, Intervention, Italy</p> <p>Duration: 6 months Type: 1) specialist-led PE (G2) 2) specialist-led PE (G3), including cognitively engaging PE lessons Bout duration: 60 min Frequency: 1 day/week Intensity: MVPA</p> <p>Control: generalist-led PE (G1)</p>	<p>Age: 3-5 and 6-10 years N = 530</p>	<p>Accounted for gender, age, SES, baseline PA and baseline CP</p>	<p>Assessors: can't tell</p> <p>Participants: can't tell</p>		<p>Cognitive Assessment System, assessing planning and attention</p>	<p>47% (5-10 years) ~49% female</p>	<p>Moderate</p>	<p>PA: G3 > G1 and G2, for physically active time and time spent in MVPA intensity (G1 (12 min), G2 (23 min) and G3 (15 min))</p> <p>CP: G3 > G1 and G2, typically developing children in the specialist lead cognitively engaging PE group showed more pronounced improvement in the total attention scale, in particular in the receptive attention subscale</p>

<p>Pesce et al., 2016a</p>	<p>CT, Intervention, Italy</p> <p>Duration: 6 months Type: aerobic, coordinative and cognitively engaging Bout duration: 60 min Frequency: 1 day/week Intensity: MVPA</p> <p>Control: 1 hour of PE per week, delivered by the classroom teacher</p>	<p>Age: 5-10 years N = 920</p> <p>~50% female</p>	<p>Accounted for gender, age, BMI, weight, spontaneous outdoor play and baseline measures (motor and CP)</p>	<p>Assessors: yes</p> <p>Participants: no</p>		<p>Random Number Generation (RNG) task, assessing inhibition and working memory updating (N =460)</p> <p>Cognitive Assessment System, assessing attention (N =460)</p>	<p>50%</p>	<p>Moderate</p>	<p>PA: intervention = control for mean heart rate and % time spent in moderate PA</p> <p>CP: - intervention > control, for the cognitive test measuring inhibition (RNG task) - intervention = control all other tests</p>
-----------------------------------	---	---	--	---	--	--	------------	-----------------	---

Pesce et al., 2016b	RCT, Italy Duration: 6 months Type: Intervention Experimental life skills program integrated into a multisport approach to PE Frequency: 2/week Bout duration: 1 hour Intensity: various intensities Control: Traditional PE	Age: mean 14.5 years N = 109 Senior high school, 7 classes (4 experimental/3 control) volunteered for participation	Accounted for physical fitness, ball-sport skill, executive function and life skills	Assessors: yes Children: yes		Inhibition and updating information/working memory using random number generation	83%	Moderate	PA: not measured CP: intervention > control for inhibition, favouring the intervention = control for all other outcomes measures
Quach et al., 2016	RCT, US Duration: 8 weeks Type: Interventions (replacing regular PE lessons) (1) Meditation (2) Hatha Yoga Daily home practice Frequency: 2 days/week	Age: mean 13.2 years (12-15) Public junior high school; N = 198	Accounted for age, grade, class period, race, yoga or meditation experience and number of extracurricular activities	Assessors: can't tell Children: can't tell		Working Memory using Automated Operation Span Task (OSPAN score)	87%	Moderate	PA: logbook to monitor home practice CP: intervention = control

	<p>Bout duration: 45 min</p> <p>Control Regular PE lessons</p>								
Telles et al., 2013	<p>RCT, Yoga and physical exercise intervention, India</p> <p>Duration: 3 months Type: yoga or physical exercise Bout duration: 45 min Frequency: 5 days/week Intensity: can't tell</p>	<p>Age: 8-13 years N = 98</p> <p>~ 39% female</p>	<p>Accounted for gender, age, BMI and SES</p>	<p>Assessors: yes</p> <p>Participants: can't tell</p>	<p>Teacher's rating of AP</p>	<p>Stroop Color-naming task</p>	<p>>85%</p>	<p>Moderate</p>	<p>PA: no information</p> <p>AP: yoga = physical exercise</p> <p>CP: yoga = physical exercise</p>
Alesi et al., 2016	<p>Pre-post design, After-school Football Exercise Program Intervention + Control, Italy</p> <p>Duration: 6 months Type: football exercise program</p>	<p>Age: 8-10 N = 44</p> <p>100% male</p>	<p>Accounted for age, SES and baseline CP</p>	<p>Assessors: can't tell</p> <p>Participants: can't tell</p>		<p>BVN 5-11 test battery, including the Forward and Backward Digit Span, the Corsi Block Test, the Visual Discriminatio</p>	<p>Can't tell</p>	<p>Weak</p>	<p>PA: intervention > control for agility skills.</p> <p>CP: intervention > control for posttest scores at the Corsi Block Tapping Task and</p>

	<p>Bout duration: 75 min Frequency: 2 days/week Intensity: MVPA</p> <p>Control: standard 2 x PE lesson per week</p>					n task and the Tower of London		<p>Visual Discrimination accuracy and time</p> <p>intervention = control for posttest scores at the Backward Digit Span and Tower of London task</p>
Ardoy et al., 2014	<p>CT, Education for Fitness (EDUFIT) intervention, Spain</p> <p>Duration: 4 months Type: group 1: PE, group 2: intensive PE Bout duration: 55 min Frequency: 4 days/week Intensity: MVPA</p> <p>Control: 2 x 55 min PE lessons per week</p>	<p>Age: 12-14 years N = 67</p> <p>~ 36% female</p>	<p>Accounted for gender, age, pubertal timing, attendance and baseline performance</p>	<p>Assessors: no</p> <p>Participants: can't tell</p>	<p>Average score of core school subjects (e.g. mathematics, language and other subjects such as English, natural sciences)</p>	<p>The medium version of the Spanish Overall and Factorial Intelligence Test</p>	<p>Cohort retention: ~92%</p> <p>Analytical sample: >70%</p>	<p>Weak</p> <p>PA: Group 2 > Group 1 and control group</p> <p>AP/CP: Group 2 > Group 1 and control group, for indicators of cognitive performance and overall academic achievement.</p>

<p>Coe et al., 2006</p>	<p>CT, Sports, Intervention, US</p> <p>Duration: Approximately 4 months Type: PE Bout duration: 55 min Frequency: 5 days/week Intensity: MVPA</p> <p>Control: no PE during 4 months</p>	<p>Age: 11-12 years N = 229</p>	<p>Accounted for age, gender and ethnicity</p>	<p>Assessors: can't tell</p> <p>Participants: can't tell</p>	<p>Unstandardized grades (mathematics, science, English and world studies)</p>		<p>>90%</p> <p>49% female</p>	<p>Weak</p>	<p>PA: no difference between groups</p> <p>AP: intervention = control</p>
<p>Fredericks et al., 2006</p>	<p>CT, intervention, South Africa</p> <p>Duration: 8 weeks Type: group 1: balance and coordination (experimental), group 2: free roaming (play), group 3: playing</p>	<p>Age: 5 -7 years N = 58</p>	<p>Accounted for gender, age, native language and baseline AP/CP</p>	<p>Assessors: can't tell</p> <p>Participants: can't tell</p>	<p>Grades in drawing, reading and mathematics</p>	<p>Aptitude test for school beginners (8 subtests measuring various cognitive domains)</p>	<p>>90%</p> <p>60% female</p>	<p>Weak</p>	<p>PA: no information</p> <p>AP/CP: experimental > play/toy for performance on a spatial test, reading and math than the groups</p>

	<p>with table-top toys while seated (toy) Bout duration: 20 min Frequency: 5 days/week Intensity: can't tell</p> <p>Control: regular PE (C)</p>								
Hsieh et al., 2017	<p>CT, Taiwan</p> <p>Duration: 8 weeks Type: After-school gymnastics lessons (gross motor skills) in the weekends Frequency: 2 days/week Bout duration: 90 min Intensity: MVPA</p> <p>Control: maintain daily routines</p>	<p>Age: 7-10 years N = 44</p>	<p>Accounted for age, sex, BMI, SES, IQ, amount of PA, physical fitness, motor skills and extracurricular classes</p>	<p>Assessors: Yes</p> <p>Participants: can't tell</p>		<p>1. Spatial working memory using a modified version of the delayed match-to-sample test (accuracy and reaction time)</p> <p>2. Event-related potential (P3 component): amplitude and latency</p>	Can't tell	Weak	<p>PA: heart rate monitor (136 bpm) in subsample and ratings of perceived exertion (11.8)</p> <p>CP: response accuracy improved regardless following intervention regardless working memory demands. P3 amplitude was larger at the parietal site after gymnastics training regardless of the task difficulty</p>

<p>Hollar et al., 2010</p>	<p>Quasi-experimental design, Healthier Options for Public Schoolchildren (HOPS) Intervention, US</p> <p>Duration: 2 years Type: classroom-based PA Bout duration: 10-15 min Frequency: 5 days/week Intensity: can't tell</p> <p>Control: 1 school of similar SES and demographics to the intervention schools</p>	<p>Age: 6-13 years N = 4588</p>	<p>Accounted for gender, age and baseline AP</p>	<p>Assessors: can't tell</p> <p>Participants: can't tell</p>	<p>Florida Comprehensive Achievement Test</p>		<p><30%</p> <p>[30% refers to total analytical sample, AP measured in a subsample of 350 children]</p> <p>% female (can't tell)</p>	<p>Weak</p>	<p>PA: not objectively measured and introduced in the second year as part of the intervention</p> <p>AP: intervention > control for mathematic scores</p>
<p>Hunter et al., 2014</p>	<p>RCT, Active Kids Active Minds (AKAM), Intervention, Australia</p> <p>Duration: 5 months Type: aerobic (PE, Smart Moves (PA</p>	<p>Age: 9-10 N = 107</p> <p>50% female</p>	<p>Accounted for gender, age, SES (same school) and baseline CP</p>	<p>Assessors: yes</p> <p>Participants: can't tell</p>	<p>Average school grades for the first two semesters</p>	<p>Cognitive assessment System (CAS) for planning, attention, simultaneous and successive processes</p>	<p>60%</p>	<p>Weak</p>	<p>PA: No direct comparison between the groups. PA at posttest is lower than at pretest, for both groups. The intervention group had lower levels of PA than the control.</p>

	<p>delivered by classroom teacher) and AKAM (running, games, etc.) Bout duration: 60 min Frequency: 5 days/week Intensity: moderate</p> <p>Control: Approximately 5x30 min a school week of PA through PE and Smart moves</p>								<p><i>Mean time spent in moderate intensity in the intervention group during AKAM classes was 15 min/day.</i></p> <p>AP: intervention = control</p> <p>CP: intervention = control</p>
Lakes et al., 2013	<p>CT, Healthy for Life Intervention, US</p> <p>Duration: 9 months Type: PE and Taekwondo Bout duration: 40-45 min Frequency: 5 days/week (3 PE and 2 Taekwondo) Intensity: moderate</p> <p>Control:</p>	<p>Age: 12-13 years N = 60</p> <p>~50% female</p>	<p>Accounted for gender, age, BMI, ethnicity and baseline questionnaire scores</p>	<p>Assessors: yes</p> <p>Participants: can't tell</p>		<p>Hearts and Flowers Executive Function Test</p> <p>Parent-Rated Inhibitory (Attentional and Behavioral) Control Questionnaire</p>	Can't tell	Weak	<p>PA: no information</p> <p>CP: intervention > control for accuracy (log-transformed) on the congruent trials and for behavioral control (as rated from their parents)</p>

	5 x 40-45 min PE per week					e, known as SWAN)			
Mavilidi et al., 2015	<p>CT, “Embodying knowledge through whole or partial physical exercises” Intervention, Australia</p> <p>Duration: 10 weeks Type: 4 conditions: integrated physical exercise, non-integrated physical exercise, gesturing physical exercise and conventional condition Bout duration: 15 min Frequency: 2 days/week Intensity: 4 conditions: MVPA (partial), MVPA (partial), light, sedentary</p>	<p>Age: 4-5 years N = 125 ~ 49% female</p>	Can't tell	<p>Assessors: can't tell</p> <p>Participants: can't tell</p>		Free-recall and cued-recall test of 14 Italian words	>85%	Weak	<p>PA: Integrated and non-integrated condition > gesturing and conventional condition; for time spent in MVPA</p> <p>CP: Free recall - integrated condition > non-integrated, gesturing and conventional condition</p> <p>Cued recall - integrated condition > gesturing and conventional condition. - non-integrated condition > conventional condition - gesturing condition > conventional condition</p>

<p>Mohammad et al., 2013</p>	<p>Pre-post design, Rope skipping Intervention, Iran</p> <p>Duration: 3 months Type: Rope skipping Bout duration: 45 min Frequency: 1 day/week Intensity: moderate</p> <p>Control: none</p>	<p>Age: 9-10 years N = 84</p> <p>100% female</p>	<p>Not Applicable</p>	<p>Assessors: can't tell</p> <p>Participants: can't tell</p>	<p>School grades (class point average)</p>		<p>Can't tell</p>	<p>Weak</p>	<p>PA: no information</p> <p>AP: improved school grades after rope skipping</p>
<p>Mullender-Wijnsma et al., 2016</p>	<p>Cluster RCT, Fit and Academically Proficient at school Intervention + Control, Netherlands</p> <p>Duration: 2 years [22 weeks/year] Type: aerobic Bout duration: 20-30 min Frequency: 3 days/week Intensity: MVPA (not measured)</p>	<p>Age: 8-9 N = 499</p> <p>45% female</p>	<p>Accounted for gender, age, SES (proxy measure) and baseline AP</p>	<p>Assessors: no</p> <p>Participants: no</p>	<p>Two math tests (speed and general math skills), two language tests (reading and spelling)</p>		<p>>70%</p>	<p>Weak</p>	<p>PA: no information</p> <p>AP: - intervention = control for reading after the 1st and 2nd year - intervention = control for spelling and mathematics after the 1st year - intervention > control for spelling and mathematics after the 2nd year</p>

	Control: Regular scholastic curriculum								- intervention > control for ability on math retrieved from a child academic monitoring system after the 1 st and the 2 nd year
Reed et al., 2013	Pre-posttest design, intervention, US Duration: 9 months Type: aerobic Bout duration: 45 min	Age: 7-14 years N = 470 50% female	Accounted for gender, age and baseline CP	Assessors: no Participants: can't tell		Raven's Standard Progressive Matrices (SPM)	~ 90%	Weak	PA: intervention > control for fitness CP: - Elementary school: Males, but not

	<p>Frequency: 5 days/week Intensity: can't tell</p> <p>Control: Grade 2 to 5: 1 x 45 min/week of PE and grade 6 to 8: 5 x 50 min/ week of PE in the fall semester only</p>					Perceptual speed test			<p>females, improved their scores in certain sections of the Fluid intelligence test (section D) after the intervention as compared to males in the control school. Females, but not males, in the intervention group improved significantly on section 2 and 3 of the perceptual speed test.</p> <p>- Middle school: females in the intervention group improved significantly on some sections of the fluid intelligence test (B, C, D and E). Males in the intervention group showed this effect only for section E</p>
Riley et al., 2016	Cluster RCT, "Encouraging Activity to Stimulate Young	Age: 10-12 years N = 240	Accounted for gender, age, BMI, baseline CP and AP	Assessors: yes	Standardized mathematics	Time spent 'on-task' during the	100%	Weak	PA: intervention > control for counts per minute and time spent in

	<p>(EASY) Minds” Intervention, Australia</p> <p>Duration: 6 weeks Type: Mathematics integrated with PA lessons Bout duration: 60 min Frequency: 3 days/week Intensity: mostly sedentary, light to MVPA</p> <p>Control: Regular scholastic curriculum</p>	41% female		Participants: can’t tell	progressive achievement test	mathematics lessons			<p>MVPA (increase of 2.6%).</p> <p>AP: - intervention > control for time spent “on-task” - intervention = control for mathematics aptitude and performance</p>
Sallis et al., 1999	<p>RCT, Sports, Play and Active Recreation for Kids (SPARK) Intervention + Control, US</p> <p>Duration: 2 years Type: PE classes delivered by trained teachers (T) or PE</p>	Age: 9-10 years N = 1538	Accounted for gender, ethnicity and baseline grades	Assessors: yes Participants: can’t tell	Standardized academic achievement scores (MAT6 and MAT7)		<50% ~48% female	Weak	<p>PA: no information</p> <p>AP: All scores declined from baseline to posttest, except the reading scores in the S group in the 1st cohort, which increased.</p>

	<p>specialist (S); 15 min of health-fitness and 15 min of skill-fitness activity Bout duration: 30 min Frequency: 3 days/week Intensity: can't tell</p> <p>Control: regular PE (C)</p>								<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Basic battery: T < S, C (2nd cohort only) - Language: T < C (1st cohort), T, C < S (2nd cohort) - Mathematics: no differences - Reading: S > C (1st cohort), T < C (2nd cohort)
Shore et al., 2014	<p>CT, School-based pedometer intervention (SBPI) referred to as the Helping Youth Pursue Physical Activity and Exercise (HYPPE) Intervention, US</p> <p>Duration: 6 weeks Type: Increased PA opportunities, including access to group lessons (martial arts, sport specific training),</p>	<p>Age: 11-12 years N = 113 ~58% female</p>	<p>Accounted for gender, age, ethnicity and baseline accrued steps</p>	<p>Assessors: can't tell Participants: can't tell</p>	<p>Unstandardized grade point average (GPA)</p>		>80%	Weak	<p>PA: intervention > control for number of accrued daily steps (12307 vs 10608). Furthermore, there were no intervention related improvements in physical fitness</p> <p>AP: intervention = control for academic achievement</p>

	<p>daily 'prompts' to achieve a specific amount of steps, enhanced PE curriculum (10 lessons) and lifestyle training</p> <p>Bout duration: achieve 10.000 steps/day</p> <p>Frequency: 5 days/week</p> <p>Intensity: can't tell</p> <p>Control: Standard school curriculum, plus encouragement to achieve 2000 steps a day</p>								
Sjowall et al., 2017	<p>CT, Sweden</p> <p>Duration: 2 school years</p> <p>Type: Besides regular PE (2 x 60 min) additional high intensity PA</p>	<p>Age: 6-13 years</p> <p>2 schools</p> <p>N = 470</p>	<p>Accounted for gender, age, fitness and baseline values CP</p>	<p>Assessors: can't tell</p> <p>Participants: can't tell</p>		<p>Working Memory test using (spatial and verbal), arithmetic task</p>	<p>Can't tell</p>	<p>Weak</p>	<p>information = control</p>

	<p>Frequency: 3 days/week Bout duration: 40 min Intensity: high intensity</p> <p>Control: Regular PE (2 x 60 min)</p>								
Spitzer and Hollmann, 2013	<p>Quasi-experimental design, intervention, Germany</p> <p>Duration: 4 months Type: exercise (e.g. basketball, soccer, hockey, handball) and dance lessons (hip-hop) Bout duration: 30 min Frequency: 3 days/week Intensity: can't tell</p> <p>Control: regular PE lessons</p>	<p>Age (mean) 12.5 (intervention) and 13 (control) years [range unknown] N = 44</p>	Can't tell	<p>Assessors: no for school grades, can't tell for the d2 test</p> <p>Participants: can't tell</p>	School grades in German (literacy), English and mathematics	D2 test of attention	Can't tell	Weak	<p>PA: no information</p> <p>AP: intervention > control for German grades. No intervention effect for mathematics or English grades.</p> <p>CP: intervention = control</p>

<p>Quasi-experimental design, intervention, Germany</p> <p>Duration: 4 months Type: games Bout duration: 30 min Frequency: 3 days/week Intensity: can't tell</p> <p>Control: regular PE lessons</p>	<p>Age: mean 12.4 (intervention) and 12.3 (control) years [range unknown] N = 148</p>	<p>Can't tell</p>	<p>Assessors: no for school grades, can't tell for the d2 test</p> <p>Participants: can't tell</p>	<p>School grades in German (literacy), English and mathematics</p>	<p>D2 test of attention</p>	<p><60% ~38% female</p>	<p>Weak</p>	<p>PA: no information</p> <p>AP: intervention > control for mathematics grades; intervention = control for English and German grades;</p> <p>CP: intervention > control for d2 scores</p>
<p>Pre-posttest design, intervention, Germany</p> <p>Duration: 4 months Type: aerobic Bout duration: can't tell Frequency: 1 day/week Intensity: MVPA</p> <p>Control: none</p>	<p>Age: mean 11.6 years [range unknown] N = 53 40% female</p>	<p>Can't tell</p>	<p>Assessors: no for school grades, can't tell for the d2 test</p> <p>Participants: can't tell</p>		<p>Teacher's observation on focus and concentration</p>	<p>Can't tell</p>	<p>Weak</p>	<p>PA: no information</p> <p>CP: Teachers reported that children were more focused after jogging</p>

Tarp et al., 2016	<p>Cluster-RCT, Learning, Cognition and Motion (LoCoMotion), Denmark</p> <p>Duration: 20 weeks Different components 1) PA in academic subjects (daily) 2) scheduled PA during recess (weekly) 3) PA homework (5-10 min) (daily) 4) active transportation (2-week cycling campaign) (daily)</p> <p>Intensity: various intensities</p> <p>Control: normal practices (no additional PA)</p>	<p>Age: mean 12.7-13.1 years</p> <p>16 schools throughout Denmark (13 schools recruited by external collaborator and 3 schools who volunteered)</p> <p>N = 855</p>	<p>Accounted for ethnic origin, pubertal development, baseline AP and CP and overweight status</p>	<p>Assessors: no</p> <p>Participants: no</p>	<p>Custom made mathematics test</p>	<p>Cognitive control measured by a modified Eriksen flanker task</p>	<p>>65%</p>	<p>Weak</p>	<p>PA: intervention = control for overall PA levels and daily min spent in MVPA</p> <p>AP: intervention = control for mathematics skills</p> <p>CP: - intervention = control for response accuracy and reaction time on congruent or incongruent trials - intervention = control for accuracy interference; control > intervention for reaction time interference scores. (larger reduction in interference score)</p>
--------------------------	--	---	--	--	-------------------------------------	--	----------------	-------------	---

RCT = randomized controlled trial; CT = controlled trial [both RCT or CT designs are classified as strong]; MVPA=moderate to vigorous physical activity, PE=physical education, PA=physical activity; Sample refers to the characteristics of the target population at baseline, * = undecided due to study sample being part of larger dataset reported elsewhere; AP=academic performance; CP=cognitive performance (categories chosen based on information in article); GPA = Grade Point Average; Confounders refer to possible differences in baseline between the intervention and

control group, if and how authors have accounted for differences (e.g. baseline AP/CP, SES, age, gender); Blinding: yes= assessor/participants are not aware of the research question, no=assessors/participants are aware of the research question, can't tell = there is no information on whether the assessors/participants were blinded (in case (A) assessors and children are not blinded, or (B) assessors are not blinded and information on children is missing (can't tell) this item is scored 'weak'; in case both children and assessors are rated 'can't tell' this item was scored 'moderate'; in case assessors were blinded this item was scored as 'strong'); Analytical sample refers to the percentage of the sample that was included in the analysis, Cohort retention refers to the % of participants who completed the study;

Quality refers to the global rating of the intervention (STRONG (at least two high-quality ratings, no weak ratings), MODERATE (less than two strong ratings, no more than one weak rating) and WEAK (two or more weak ratings);

Colors in table refer to the quality rating: green = strong; blue = moderate; red = weak