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ABSTRACT

Aim To determine the epidemiology of isolated syndesmotic injuries in professional football players.

Methods Data from 15 consecutive seasons of European professional football between 2001 and 2016 contributed to the dataset of this study. Match play and training data from a total of 3677 players from 61 teams across 17 countries have been included. Team medical staff recorded player exposure and time loss injuries. Injury incidence was defined as the number of injuries per 1000 player-hours. Injury burden was defined as number of days absence per 1000 player-hours. Seasonal trends for isolated syndesmotic injury incidence, isolated syndesmotic injury proportion of ankle ligament injuries and isolated syndesmotic injury burden were analysed via linear regression.

Results The isolated syndesmotic injury incidence was 0.05 injuries per 1000 hours of exposure (95% CI 0.04 to 0.06) or one injury per team every three seasons. The injury incidence during match play was 13 times higher compared with during training, 0.21 (95% CI 0.16 to 0.26) and 0.02 (95% CI 0.01 to 0.02), respectively. Out of the 1320 ankle ligament injuries registered during the 15 seasons, 94 (7%) were diagnosed as isolated syndesmotic injuries. An annual increase in injury incidence was observed (R²=0.495, b=0.003, 95% CI 0.001 to 0.004, P=0.003). However, no significant annual change of injury burden was observed (R²=0.033, b=0.032, 95% CI −0.073 to 0.138, P=0.520). Seventy-four per cent of the injuries were contact related, and the mean (±SD) absence following an isolated syndesmotic injury was 39 (±28) days.

Conclusions The incidence of isolated syndesmotic injuries in elite professional European football annually increased between 2001 and 2016.

INTRODUCTION

Ankle syndesmosis injury may occur in many forms, commonly classified into isolated ankle syndesmosis injury or with an associated fibula fracture. An isolated injury may occur to any one of the three distinct ligaments (the anterior inferior tibiofibular ligament, the interosseous tibiofibular ligament and the posterior inferior tibiofibular ligament) but will most commonly involve the anterior inferior tibiofibular ligament. The most common mechanisms of syndesmotic ligament injury are ankle external rotation and hyperdorsiflexion, causing the talus to rotate in the mortise and the fibula to rotate externally and moving posteriorly and laterally, providing stress to the anterior inferior tibiofibular ligament.

Isolated syndesmotic injuries occur more commonly in athletes than in the general population. Certain sports are characterised by a higher proportion of ankle syndesmosis injuries; these include boot immobilised sports such as skiing and ice hockey, as well as collision sports such as American football, wrestling and rugby.

For football, however, epidemiological data on isolated syndesmotic injuries is limited. Mauntel et al.13 studied isolated syndesmotic injuries in 25 sports during six seasons and described the incidence rate, injury mechanism, recurrence and time to return to activity of non-professional football players. Due to differences in competition level, speed of the game, body shape of the players and playing calendar, it is expected that epidemiology and aetiology of syndesmotic injuries differ between non-professional and professional players. A better understanding of how and when professional players incur these injuries may help the development of preventive strategies as well as providing important data regarding expected return to play times.

We aimed to determine the injury incidence and epidemiology of isolated syndesmotic injuries of the ankle in professional football players over a 15-year period. In addition, we assessed the time to return to competition following an injury.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

This is a substudy of a long-term prospective cohort study evaluating men’s professional football in Europe since 2001. The current study includes data from 15 consecutive seasons of European professional football between 2001 and 2016. During the study period, a total of 3677 players from 61 teams representing 17 countries have been included (table 1).

EXPOSURE AND INJURY REGISTRATION

All first team players in included teams were invited to participate in the study. Participation was voluntary, and written informed consent was obtained at the time of study inclusion. At the beginning of every season, teams appointed a contact person within each respective medical team to be responsible for collecting data and communicating with the study group. During the study period, all individual player exposure during supervised training sessions and matches was recorded on standard attendance records. In addition, all time...
loss injuries that occurred were registered on standard injury cards containing information about type of injury and circumstances of the injury occasion (ie, injury mechanism, affected side, time of injury and reinjury) (table 2). Each month, the appointed contact person reported the attendance records and injury cards to the study group. All injuries were given a diagnostic code by the study group containing information about type of injury and circumstances of loss injuries that occurred were registered on standard injury cards.

The annual changes in injury incidence, injury burden and syndesmotic injury proportion (proportion of all ankle ligament injuries that were diagnosed as syndesmotic injuries) were analysed using linear regression. In these analyses, injury incidence, injury burden and syndesmotic injury proportion were used as dependent variables in separate analyses, while season was used as the independent variable in all analyses. In addition, injury incidence, injury burden and syndesmotic injury proportion in match play were also analysed using linear regression with season included as the independent variable. Analyses of training injuries specifically were not performed since the number of injuries during training were few.

To reduce possible effects of large temporary variations between seasons, moving averages (MA) of two consecutive seasons were also used as dependent variables in similar linear regression analyses. All analyses were two sided, and the significance level was set at P<0.05.

### RESULTS

**Isolated syndesmotic injury incidence**

The overall isolated syndesmotic injury incidence over the study period was 0.05 injuries per 1000 hours of exposure (95% CI 0.04 to 0.06) or one injury per team every three seasons. The injury incidence during match play was 13 times higher compared with the incidence during training, 0.21 (95% CI 0.16 to 0.26) and 0.02 (95% CI 0.01 to 0.02), respectively (relative risk 12.6;...
95% CI 8.12 to 19.65). A significant annual increase in isolated syndesmotic injury incidence in general ($R^2=0.495$, $b=0.003$, 95% CI 0.001 to 0.004, $P=0.003$) as well as in match play ($R^2=0.354$, $b=0.013$, 95% CI 0.002 to 0.023, $P=0.019$) was observed over the 15 seasons (figure 1).

The sensitivity analyses, using MAs of two consecutive seasons, also showed an annual increase in syndesmotic injury incidence in general ($R^2=0.822$, $b=0.003$, 95% CI 0.002 to 0.004, $P<0.001$) as well as in match play ($R^2=0.751$, $b=0.015$, 95% CI 0.009 to 0.020, $P<0.001$).

**Isolated syndesmotic injury proportion of all ankle ligament injuries**

Out of the 14,653 injuries registered during the 15 seasons, 1,950 (13%) affected the ankle with 1,320 (9%) ankle ligament injuries. Out of these 1,320 injuries, 94 (7%) were diagnosed as syndesmotic injuries. An annual increase of the proportion of syndesmotic injuries (proportion of all ankle ligament injuries that were diagnosed as syndesmotic injuries) was observed ($R^2=0.601$, $b=0.006$, 95% CI 0.003 to 0.009, $P=0.001$) (figure 2). The proportion of syndesmotic injuries during match play also increased annually ($R^2=0.430$, $b=0.006$, 95% CI 0.002 to 0.010, $P=0.008$).

The sensitivity analyses, using MAs of two consecutive seasons, also showed an annual increase in the proportion of syndesmotic injuries in general ($R^2=0.818$, $b=0.006$, 95% CI 0.004 to 0.008, $P<0.001$) and in match play ($R^2=0.758$, $b=0.006$, 95% CI 0.004 to 0.009, $P<0.001$).

**Injury patterns**

Seventy per cent of the syndesmotic injuries occurred during match play and the remaining 30% during training. Being tackled was responsible for one-third of the syndesmotic injuries. The
remaining injuries were accounted for by: twisting/turning (13%), landing from a jump (10%), collisions (5%), being kicked (5%), tackling (4%) and other (10%), and for 20% the mechanism was unknown. Seventy-four per cent of the injuries involved contact of some kind and 54% affected the dominant leg (defined as the preferred kicking leg). Seven per cent were considered reinjuries. No significant differences were found between the proportion of injuries occurring during 15 min periods of each half (0–15, 16–30 and 31–45 min) and the 33% that would be expected if the injuries were evenly distributed between the different thirds of the match halves (figure 3).

**Injury severity and absence**

More than 90% of the syndesmotic injuries were classified as moderate to severe (causing more than 1 week absence) with 57% being severe (causing more than 1 month absence). The mean (SD) absence following a syndesmotic injury was 39 (28) days and the median (25th and 75th percentiles) was 34 days (19 and 52).

**Isolated syndesmotic injury burden**

A total of 3652 days of absence due to syndesmotic injuries were reported over the study period, representing an injury burden of 1.8 days absent per/1000 hours of exposure. The injury burden due to match exposure was 18 (RR 18.22; 95%CI 16.86 to 19.68) times higher compared with training (8.8 days absent/1000 match hours vs 0.5 days absent per 1000 training hours). There were no significant annual changes in injury burden in general ($R^2=0.033$, $b=0.032$, 95%CI $-0.073$ to 0.138, $P=0.520$) or in match play ($R^2=0.003$, $b=0.060$, 95%CI $-0.598$ to 0.718, $P=0.847$).

Similarly, no annual change in general ($R^2=0.059$, $b=0.028$, 95%CI $-0.043$ to 0.099, $P=0.405$) or in match play ($R^2=0.005$, $b=0.050$, 95%CI $-0.389$ to 0.488, $P=0.809$) was shown when the two-season MA of injury burden was analysed (figure 4).

**DISCUSSION**

The incidence figures indicate that an isolated syndesmotic injury in professional football is a relatively rare event. Despite this, the injury incidence during match play seems to have increased over the past 15 seasons. Return to play after injury took on average greater than 5 weeks.

**Comparison with other sports**

Isolated syndesmotic injuries are more common in collision sports and those that involve rigid immobilisation of the ankle in a boot. In a cohort consisting of National Collegiate Athletic Association (NCAA) American football players, the incidence of syndesmotic injury during games was 1.6 per 1000 athlete exposures (defined as one athlete participating in one practice or competition in which there was a possibility for athletic injury). Flik et al collected injury data from 12 NCAA Division I ice hockey teams over one season and found that the game injury was 0.93 per 1000 athlete exposures. For rugby, the injury rate per 1000 hours of exposure was 0.89 in Rugby Union and 0.46 in Rugby League. In our study, the syndesmotic injury incidence rate during match play over the study period was 0.21 injuries per 1000 hours of exposure. Accounting 90 min exposure for each match played, the incidence of syndesmotic injury during games was 0.32 per 1000 athlete exposures. Hence, the risk of incurring a syndesmotic injury playing football is lower compared with American football, ice hockey or rugby.

**Professional versus non-professional football players**

Mauntel et al described the epidemiology of isolated syndesmotic injuries among college student-athletes in 25 sports over...
six seasons. Similarly with our findings, the injury incidence during match play was 0.34 per 1000 athlete exposures, and the injury incidence during training was 0.047 per 1000 athlete exposures. Compared with professional players, fewer injuries were caused by contact (74% among professional players vs 56% among non-professional players). Interestingly, the absence following an isolated syndesmotic injury was in 80% of the injuries less than 21 days. Previous studies, including ours, have described an absence ranging from 30 days to 62 days.\(^7\)\(^21\) A possible explanation could be that some lateral ankle sprains may have been misdiagnosed and diagnosed as syndesmotic injuries instead. Unfortunately, the authors did not describe the methods used for diagnosing the injury.

**Yearly increase in injury incidence**

Over the 15 seasons, an annual increase in isolated syndesmotic injury incidence in general (0.003 injuries per 1000 hours) as well as in match play (0.013 injuries per 1.000 hours) was observed (figure 1). This might be a reflection of the fact that today’s healthcare providers have greater suspicion for the injury, or perhaps because of the more frequent use of MRI or diagnostic arthroscopy.\(^24\)\(^26\) Another explanation could be a general philosophical change in the way clubs attend to player complaints.\(^27\) Nonetheless, our data showed that being tackled caused most injuries, and the injury happened 13 times more frequently during match play then during a training session. Hence, we propose that the increase in annual injury incidence is caused by a more aggressive style of play during matches over the 15 years.

**Low proportion of syndesmotic injury**

Isolated syndesmotic injuries accounted for 7% of all ankle ligament injuries. The reported proportion of isolated syndesmotic injuries among overall ankle ligament injuries ranges from 18% to 74%.\(^6\)\(^7\)\(^8\)\(^10\)\(^21\)\(^23\)\(^30\)\(^36\) This variation can be explained by the fact that some sports have extrinsic risk factors associated with syndesmotic injury. Skiers and ice hockey players wear boots causing rigid immobilisation of the ankle leading to high-torque external rotation of the foot,\(^5\)\(^7\)\(^8\) and American football is often played on artificial turf instead of natural surfaces.\(^10\)\(^21\)\(^23\)\(^28\)\(^30\) Another plausible explanation is that an isolated syndesmotic injury can be frequently misdiagnosed as an ankle sprain.

**Injury burden**

The average absence from play following a syndesmotic injury was 39 days. This is in line with findings from previous studies that reported prolonged time to return to play after a syndesmotic injury, ranging from 30 days to 62 days.\(^7\)\(^21\)\(^23\) In contrast, following lateral ankle sprains, the absence has been reported over 15 days.\(^7\)\(^21\)\(^23\) In addition, over the course of 15 seasons, we found no change in injury burden despite the injury incidence having increased. Hence, the time to return to play after injury over the past 15 seasons has decreased. A reason for this decrease could be that recent research on treatment strategies and diagnosing the severity of the injury may have lead to improved outcomes.\(^11\)\(^23\)\(^32\)\(^36\) Nevertheless, to reduce the risk and consequences of this injury to a team, club medical staff should be conscious of whether the injury is stable or unstable since each requires different treatment strategies.\(^33\) Appropriate management of syndesmotic injuries leads to an earlier return to play.\(^21\)\(^30\) In addition, use of ankle braces,\(^35\) referees being stricter while judging player tackling and changes to game play rules, such as sliding, may help reduce the injury incidence rate.

**Strengths and study limitations**

The strength of this study is the large homogenous dataset prospectively collected among 61 professional football teams. Having many teams working together provides robust data from which to draw conclusions.\(^16\)\(^35\) There are, however, a few limitations. We were not able to capture data on possible confounders and these could therefore not be included in our analyses. First, the injury form did not capture the examination findings or diagnostic tests results to classify syndesmotic injuries beyond identifying a lack of fracture or provide information on associated injuries. Second, the diagnosis was made by the medical staff of each football team and thus subject to the biases and experience of different physicians. Increasing awareness of the diagnosis of ‘syndesmosis injury’ may explain part of the trend to increased incidence. Third, we did not capture data on pitch or weather conditions at the time of injury. Fourth, we did not capture data on player medical history (ie, previous syndesmotic or ankle ligament injury). Fifth, there was no information available on how players were treated (ie, conservatively or surgically). These data would have been useful to provide better perspective with respect to interpreting absentee time following the injury.

**CONCLUSION**

Our findings indicate a significant increase in the incidence of isolated syndesmotic injuries in professional football players. We speculate this is likely caused by more aggressive playing style during matches. The average return to play time following injury exceeded 5 weeks, and there was no change found in injury burden over 15 seasons. We recommend club medical staff to be conscious of the nature of the injury to reduce the consequences of such injuries to a team. In addition, intensifying preventative work can help reduce the injury incidence rate.
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**What are the findings?**

- Injury incidence during match play has increased over the past 15 seasons.
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