Preventing overdiagnosis and the harms of too much sport and exercise medicine

Daniel J Friedman,¹ Karim M Khan²

Do I really need this test, treatment or procedure? What are the downsides? What happens if I do nothing? And are there simpler, safer options?

These four questions, promoted by Choosing Wisely Canada, featured prominently at the two 2018 conferences, Too Much Medicine in Helsinki, Finland (figure 1), and the sixth annual Preventing Overdiagnosis conference in Copenhagen, Denmark. Over 600 of the world's leading researchers and thinkers in preventing overdiagnosis came together for two weeks in August 2018 to highlight the problems caused by medical excess and to identify evidence-informed practices to wind back the harms of too much medicine.

This education review aims to bring the sport and exercise medicine reader up to date on this topic. An expanded version with additional references and resources is provided in the online supplementary file.

Too many people are being overdiagnosed, leading to overtreatment and wasted resources that could be better spent preventing or treating genuine illness. While debates about its definition continue, narrowly defined, overdiagnosis refers to deviations, abnormalities, risk factors and pathologies that would never cause symptoms or early death. It relates to problems of overmedicalisation and disease mongering, resulting in what one keynote speaker described as a 'tsunami of overtreatment'.

As a review in *BMJ* discovered¹ (figure 2), many factors drive overdiagnosis and cause harm, including cultural beliefs that 'more is better', financial incentives, expanding disease definitions and lowering treatment thresholds. While clinicians have been, and will forever be, challenged to balance Hippocratic notions of beneficence and non-maleficence, the

scales are heavily tipped by the underlying influence of industry—such as Big Pharma, divisions of the media more interested in promotion than journalism, and medical journals serving professional rather than public interest. Attempting to summarise discussions at these recent

conferences, we saw three specific problems: (1) Vested interests have too often replaced the best interests of patients. (2) Clinicians are being misinformed by flawed guidelines. (3) A lack of systemic transparency (regarding funding, impact and outcomes) is restricting clinicians in providing the best quality care for patients. Pollution, whether intentional or not, occurs at multiple points in the flow of healthcare information.

Here we introduce three themes from the conferences.

YOU'RE SICK, YOU JUST DON'T KNOW IT YET

'What is disease' may seem like an elementary question, perhaps taught on day

Figure 1 You can review highlights from the Too Much Medicine symposium on Twitter @TooMuchMed.

Figure 2 Mapping the drivers of overdiagnosis to possible solutions. COI, conflict of interest; OD, overdiagnosis; OU, overuse. (first published in *BMJ*) (adapted from Pathirana *et al.*¹)

¹School of Medicine, Monash University, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

²Department of Family Practice and School of Kinesiology, Faculties of Medicine and Education, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada

Correspondence to Daniel J Friedman, School of Medicine, Monash University, Melbourne, Victoria 3800, Australia; ddfriedman@gmail.com

BJSMP Plus @BJSMPPlus · Aug 22

Disease is the absence of health 🤒

Health is the absence of disease 😊

...circular definitions create imprecision 😞

#PODC2018 Prof. Allen Frances

Figure 3 Disease implies the converse of 'complete' health (whatever that means!).

BJSMP Plus @BJSMPPlus · Aug 21

What is driving overdiagnosis of **low back pain**? OVERTREATMENT

What is driving OVERTREATMENT?

- 🕒 Lack of time
- 👨‍🏫 Insufficient training
- 💰 Financial incentives
- ❓ Uncoordinated care
- 🐱 Vested interests

Figure 4 What is driving the rising prevalence of chronic low back pain?

one of medical school, yet defining disease and its absence proves to be a circular, slippery slope (figure 3). The WHO definition of health as 'a state of complete physical, mental and social well-being and not merely the absence of disease or infirmity'² ignores that our perceptions of health and disease are context-dependent and change over time. At what point in their lives has anyone been 'completely' healthy?

Disease can refer to 'a combination of signs and symptoms, phenomena associated with a disorder of function or structure or illness associated with a specific cause'.³ There are, however, no

universally accepted criteria for defining disease, and so how do we differentiate 'real' diseases from human behaviours or experiences that happen to be 'abnormal' or unpleasant? We don't.

Consider low back pain (LBP)—the number one cause of disability worldwide, which accounts for 83 million years lived with disability each year.⁴ Is LBP a disease? Or is it in fact a symptom? LBP can flag serious pathology, such as malignancy or infection, but for most individuals it is a benign problem without specific aetiology. Many individuals who consult their doctor or physiotherapist will experience no clinical benefit from receiving

a diagnostic label. And if 'labelled', they may be more likely to adopt the sick role and suffer costly invasive treatments (eg, spinal implants) that have questionable value (figure 4).

Medicalising ordinary life may be better described as *disease mongering*—that is, widening the boundaries of treatable illness to expand markets to sell medication and provide medical procedures/services. In what one speaker described as 'the corporate construction of disease', Big Pharma sponsors disease definitions (eg, Allergan and dry eyes; GSK and heartburn; GSK and restless leg syndrome) and promotes them to both prescribers and patients (figure 5). By magnifying all aspects of an ordinary ailment, upgrading mild symptoms to severe, and marketing risk as disease, disease mongering can augment population-wide fear and infect the healthy with lasting perceptions of ill-health.

Expanded disease definitions and lowered diagnostic thresholds means that it will soon be possible for everyone to be sick and require pharmacological intervention. If changes to recent guidelines highlighted at the conferences are any indication, it will not be long before prehypertension and prediabetes are preceded by prehypertension and preprediabetes, and every one of us is categorised into a class of disease severity. According to the new American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association hypertension guidelines,⁵ one in every two American adults will soon be classified as having high blood pressure. All-encompassing diagnostic criteria enable healthy people to be labelled with lifelong disease, encouraging the treatment of the 'worried well' and the overutilisation of finite medical resources.

IF YOU'RE NOT SICK, YOU JUST HAVEN'T HAD ENOUGH TESTS

Thanks to rapid technological advances, we are increasingly able to find cancers, abnormal anatomy and risk factors for disease such as elevated blood pressure or cholesterol. Screening helps reveal low-risk abnormalities earlier, long before they cause symptoms or require treatment (if at all). While the overdiagnosis of breast, prostate and thyroid cancers through screening are common examples, there are similar concerns with musculoskeletal imaging (figure 6).

Imaging asymptomatic knees, shoulders and backs without indications of serious underlying pathology can lead to costly incidental findings, or

BJSMP Plus @BJSMPPlus · Aug 16

Big pharma's guide to DEVELOPING A STRONG DISEASE BRAND:

1. Create a memorable acronym for the disease abc
2. Create a symptom quiz or checklist ✓
3. Provide guidance for "how to ask your doctor" 📄

@TooMuchMed #TMM

Figure 5 Disease branding helps establish a condition as legitimate and serious.

BJSM Plus

@BJSMPlus

Following

Imaging findings in ASYMPTOMATIC individuals

Think before you image! #overdiagnosis
#PODC2018

Figure 6 Prof Paul Glasziou, a former Director of the Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine in Oxford, currently at Bond University in Australia, explaining the consequences of screening programmes and unnecessary imaging during his keynote at Preventing Overdiagnosis 2018.

'incidentalomas' (a neologism combining the term 'incidental' [benign] and common tissue pathology suffix 'oma'). While few coincidental diagnoses may be beneficial, many findings lead to unnecessary anxiety and a cascade of clinical follow-up (figure 7). The more we test, the more we will find.

A pill for every ill

Physicians are armed with a prescription pad, so they tend to prescribe medication. Surgeons are equipped with a scalpel, so they operate. Give us a hammer and everything looks like a nail. We all start medical school with a goal to help people, and so when the potential opportunity arises, we

use the tools we have to intervene. 'I can or I *must* fix it' is a learnt response from years of studying abnormal pathology and pharmacological mechanisms of

BJSM Plus @BJSMPlus · Aug 20

X-rays are ordered for 70-95% of MSK ankle cases, but <20% have a fracture

Imaging every foot/ankle injury is inappropriate #opportunitycosts
#ottawaanklerules

#PODC2018 @PreventingODx

Figure 7 The Ottawa Ankle Rules can help clinicians determine whether a patient with foot or ankle pain should undergo X-rays to diagnose a possible fracture and reduce costly, unnecessary imaging. MSK, musculoskeletal.

action. The real question is: Why are we not taught that doing nothing is sometimes the best approach? And whatever happened to watchful waiting or conservative management?

Big Pharma promises a pill for every ill, and medical device companies provide a tool for every procedure. We note that for federal government approval (e.g. Food and Drug Administration), devices have a lower bar to reach than do medications. Magic bullets and quick fixes are much easier to sell than physical activity, nutrition, mindfulness and other unattractive lifestyle interventions—all lumped together in the last 30 seconds of a 15-minute consultation while the patient is getting up to walk out the door.

The topic of many presentations at the conferences, Choosing Wisely is a campaign that aims to engage doctors and patients in decisions about potentially unnecessary medical tests, treatments and procedures (figure 8). It started in the USA in 2012 and has since expanded internationally. The initiative encourages medical specialty societies (sport and exercise medicine included) to identify five tests and procedures that could potentially be avoided to reduce the harms of too much medicine.

Orthopaedic surgery received some heavy criticism at the conferences and many speakers were quick to highlight the unnecessary procedures that continue to be performed on trusting patients. It has already been over 15 years since we found out that for patients with osteoarthritis of the knee, arthroscopic lavage or debridement are no better than placebo.⁶ More recently, Professor Teppo Järvinen (@shamteppo), the Chair of this year's Too Much Medicine symposium, and his research team also demonstrated the lack of efficacy of common surgical procedures for degenerative meniscal tears⁷ and shoulder impingement.⁸ As more than 600 conference attendees found out, a surgical fix does not always fix the problem.

BJSM Plus @BJSMPPlus · Aug 20
Dutch Choosing Wisely recommendation:

'No arthroscopic surgery and no MRI for patients of 50 years and older with knee degenerative knee complaints'

#Deimplementation

@PreventingODx #PODC2018

Figure 8 Do you really need that MRI or knee scope?.

BJSM Plus @BJSMPPlus · Aug 23
That's a wrap from Preventing Overdiagnosis 2018 #PODC2018

Thank you to @PreventingODx and everyone involved for a first class conference!

Don't miss out on Sydney 2019!

Figure 9 Save the date: The seventh international Preventing Overdiagnosis conference #PODC2019 will be in Sydney, 5–7 December 2019.

An uphill battle

Professor Allen Frances, an American psychiatrist known for chairing the task force that produced the fourth revision of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual, framed the uphill battle against too much medicine as a 'David vs Goliath story'. The forces that benefit from maintaining and increasing overdiagnosis have seemingly endless resources to promote it, and the relative few supporting evidence-based best practice have pocket money in comparison. And while overdiagnosis may be the most important story in health today, it struggles to find its way onto the front pages. We need to amplify and disseminate the conferences' important messages beyond the echo chamber of academic circles. We need to work out how to fire the slingshots, because

'the goliath is very big and we are awfully small'.

Several major medical journals have dedicated special editions solely to articles discussing the harms of too much medicine, such as the *BMJ*'s Too Much Medicine campaign and *JAMA*'s Internal medicine's Less is More series. There are books, podcasts and even annual conferences (figure 9), yet efforts to reduce overdiagnosis are still hindered by clinicians' and patients' lack of awareness of the problem.

We must all play our part to prevent overdiagnosis. Global action is needed from all stakeholders. Clinicians can start by:

- Embracing a healthy dose of scepticism and taking a more questioning approach to healthcare.

- Adopting more thoughtful management approaches and referral practices that empower patients to engage in shared decision making based on the best available evidence.
- Advocating for more transparent healthcare systems (funding, impact and outcomes) and models that do not promote fee-for-service.

Because when it comes to medicine, sometimes *less is more*.

Twitter Follow Daniel Friedman at @ddfriedman

Acknowledgements The authors thank Dr Ray Moynihan for comments on a draft of this manuscript.

Contributors DJF was responsible for conception and initial draft of the manuscript. KMK was involved in drafting and critical revision of the manuscript, as well as final approval of the version to be published.

Funding The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests KMK is the Editor in Chief of *BJSM* and was at arm's length (and blinded) from the review process in *BJSM*.

Patient consent Not required.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

OPEN ACCESS

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2019. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

- Additional material is published online only. To view please visit the journal online (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2018-100039>).

To cite Friedman DJ, Khan KM. *Br J Sports Med* 2019;**53**:1314–1318.

Accepted 4 November 2018
Published Online First 5 December 2018

Br J Sports Med 2019;**53**:1314–1318.
doi:10.1136/bjsports-2018-100039

REFERENCES

- 1 Pathirana T, Clark J, Moynihan R. Mapping the drivers of overdiagnosis to potential solutions. *BMJ* 2017;**358**:j3879.
- 2 Grad FP. The preamble of the constitution of the World Health Organization. *Bull World Health Organ* 2002;**80**:981–4.

- 3 Campbell EJ, Scadding JG, Roberts RS. The concept of disease. *Br Med J* 1979;2:757–62.
- 4 Murray CJ, Vos T, Lozano R, *et al.* Disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) for 291 diseases and injuries in 21 regions, 1990–2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *Lancet* 2012;380:2197–223.
- 5 Whelton PK, Carey RM, Aronow WS, *et al.* 2017 ACC/AHA/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/AGS/APhA/ASH/ASPC/
- NMA/PCNA Guideline for the prevention, detection, evaluation, and management of high blood pressure in adults. A report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Hypertension* 2018;71:e127–e248.
- 6 Moseley JB, O'Malley K, Petersen NJ, *et al.* A controlled trial of arthroscopic surgery for osteoarthritis of the knee. *N Engl J Med* 2002;347:81–8.
- 7 Sihvonen R, Paavola M, Malmivaara A, *et al.* Arthroscopic partial meniscectomy versus sham surgery for a degenerative meniscal tear. *N Engl J Med* 2013;369:2515–24.
- 8 Paavola M, Malmivaara A, Taimela S, *et al.* Subacromial decompression versus diagnostic arthroscopy for shoulder impingement: randomised, placebo surgery controlled clinical trial. *BMJ* 2018;362:k2860.