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ABSTRACT

Objectives To assess the effects of exercise interventions for preventing falls in older people living in the community.

Selection criteria We included randomised controlled trials evaluating the effects of any form of exercise as a single intervention on falls in people aged 60+ years living in the community.

Results Exercise reduces the rate of falls by 23% (rate ratio (RaR) 0.77, 95% CI 0.71 to 0.83; 12,981 participants, 59 studies; high-certainty evidence). Subgroup analyses showed no evidence of a difference in effect on falls on the basis of risk of falling as a trial inclusion criterion, participant age 75 years+ or group versus individual exercise but revealed a larger effect of exercise in trials where interventions were delivered by a health professional (usually a physiotherapist). Different forms of exercise had different impacts on falls. Compared with control, balance and functional exercises reduce the rate of falls by 24% (RaR 0.76, 95% CI 0.70 to 0.81; 7,920 participants, 39 studies; high-certainty evidence). Multiple types of exercise (commonly balance and functional exercises plus resistance exercises) probably reduce the rate of falls by 34% (RaR 0.66, 95% CI 0.50 to 0.88; 1,374 participants, 11 studies; moderate-certainty evidence). Tai Chi may reduce the rate of falls by 19% (RaR 0.81, 95% CI 0.67 to 0.99; 2,655 participants, 7 studies; low-certainty evidence). We are uncertain of the effects of programmes that primarily involve resistance training, dance or walking.

Conclusions and implications Given the certainty of evidence, effective programmes should now be implemented.

INTRODUCTION

At least one-third of community-dwelling people over 65 years of age fall each year, and the rate of fall-related injuries increases with age. Falls can have serious consequences, such as fractures and head injuries. Falls are associated with reduced quality of life, and can have psychological consequences: fear of falling and loss of confidence that can result in self-restricted activity levels leading to a reduction in physical function and social interactions. Paradoxically, this restriction of activities may increase the risk of further falls by contributing to deterioration in physical abilities.

A previous Cochrane Review found exercise as a single intervention, prevents falls, and to be the most commonly tested single fall prevention intervention. Economic evaluations accompanying randomised trials have found exercise to be a cost effective fall-prevention strategy. Exercise interventions have been found to be effective when delivered in a group-based setting or on an individual basis. The optimal features of successful fall prevention exercise programmes are not yet clear, but programmes that are multicomponent (eg, target both strength and balance), and programmes that include balance training appear to be particularly effective.

An update of the effects of exercise interventions on falls is warranted given the number of new trials published, the increasing number of older people living in the community and the major long-term consequences associated with falls and fall-related injuries to both the individual and to society. Different exercise programmes may have different effects on falls and so careful analysis of the impact of different programmes is crucial to optimise the prescription of exercise interventions and inform public health promotion initiatives for healthy ageing.

This systematic review of randomised controlled trials (RCTs) aimed to assess the effects of exercise interventions for preventing falls in older people living in the community when compared with control. The present report focuses on the review’s primary outcome, rate of falls. Please refer to the full Cochrane Review for reports of other outcomes as well as more detailed methods, descriptions of included studies and forest plots.

METHODS

Protocol

The protocol for this review was published.
affected by particular clinical conditions that increase the risk of falls, such as stroke, Parkinson’s disease, multiple sclerosis, dementia, hip fracture and severe visual impairment. Several of these topic areas are covered by other Cochrane Reviews.\textsuperscript{11,12} We acknowledge that some individuals with these (and other) health conditions may be included in studies of the general community; these we included. This review included all exercise interventions tested in trials that measured falls in older people. The intention was to include trials where exercise was a single intervention as opposed to a component of a broader intervention. We included trials where an additional low-contact intervention (eg, information on fall prevention) was given to one or both groups if we judged that the main purpose of the study was to investigate the role of exercise.

**Information sources and search**

Our search extended the searches performed up to February 2012 in Gillespie 2012. We searched: the Cochrane Bone, Joint and Muscle Trauma Group Specialised Register (February 2012 to 2 May 2018); the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials. (CENTRAL) (Cochrane Register of Studies Online) (2012 Issue 2 to 2018 Issue 5); MEDLINE (including Epub Ahead of Print, In-Process & Other Non-Indexed Citations and MEDLINE Daily) (January 2012 to 30 April 2018); Embase (March 2012 to 2018 Week 18); the Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature (CINAHL) (February 2012 to 2 May 2018); and the Physiotherapy EvidenceDatabase (PEDro) (2012 to 2 May 2018), using tailored search strategies. We did not apply any language restrictions. In MEDLINE, we combined subject-specific search terms with the sensitivity-maximising and precision-maximising version of the Cochrane Highly Sensitive Search Strategy for identifying randomised trials (Lefebvre 2011). The search strategies for CENTRAL, MEDLINE, Embase, CINAHL and PEDro are shown in Appendix 2 of Sherrington 2019.\textsuperscript{9} We also searched the WHO International Clinical Trials Registry Platform (WHO ICTRP) and ClinicalTrials.gov for ongoing and recently completed trials (May 2018) (see Appendix 2 of Sherrington 2019).\textsuperscript{9} We checked reference lists of other systematic reviews as well as contacting researchers in the field to assist in the identification of ongoing and recently completed trials.

**Study selection**

Pairs of review authors (CS, AT, NF, ZAM) screened the title, abstract and descriptors of identified studies for possible inclusion. From the full text, two review authors (CS, AT, NF, ZAM) independently assessed potentially eligible trials for inclusion and resolved any disagreement through discussion. We contacted authors for additional information as necessary.

**Data collection process**

Pairs of review authors (CS, AT, NF, ZAM, GW) independently extracted data using a pretested data extraction form (based on the one used in Gillespie 2012).\textsuperscript{9} We extracted data from both newly included trials and those included in Gillespie 2012. For the latter trials, however, we primarily extracted information and data for additional outcomes that were not collected previously for Gillespie 2012. Disagreement was resolved by consensus or third party adjudication. Review authors were not blinded to authors and sources. Review authors did not assess their own trials.

**Data items**

Full details of data extracted are shown in Sherrington 2019.\textsuperscript{9} The present publication focuses on the primary outcome, the rate of falls. We grouped similar exercise interventions using the fall prevention classification system (taxonomy) developed by the Prevention of Falls Network Europe (ProFaNE).\textsuperscript{13} For simplicity the ProFaNE category gait, balance, co-ordination or functional task training was referred to as balance and functional exercises. Full details are shown in Appendix 1 of Sherrington 2019.\textsuperscript{9}

**Risk of bias and certainty of evidence**

Pairs of two review authors (CS, AT, NF, ZAM, GW) independently assessed risk of bias using Cochrane’s Risk of bias tool as described in the Cochrane Handbook.\textsuperscript{14} We constructed and visually inspected funnel plots. We used the Grading of Recommendations, Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) approach to assess the quality of evidence.\textsuperscript{15} Using GRADEPro GDT,\textsuperscript{16} we assessed the certainty of the evidence as ‘high’, ‘moderate’, ‘low’ or ‘very low’ depending on the presence and extent of five factors: risk of bias; inconsistency of effect; indirectness; imprecision; and publication bias. We prepared ‘Summary of finding’ tables. We used standardised qualitative statements to describe the different combinations of effect size and the certainty of evidence.\textsuperscript{17}

**Synthesis of results**

We reported the treatment effects for rate of falls as rate ratios (RaRs) with 95% CIs. We assessed heterogeneity of treatment effects by visual inspection of forest plots and by using the Chi\textsuperscript{2} test (with a significance level at p<0.10) and the I\textsuperscript{2} statistic. For our primary comparison, we pooled data from all relevant trials without stratification.

We undertook subgroup analyses to compare the effect of exercise on falls in trials that did and did not use an increased risk of falls as an inclusion criterion and in trials with predominantly older populations (defined by inclusion criteria 75 years or above, lower range limit more than 75 years, or mean age minus one SD more than 75 years) compared with those with predominantly younger populations. We also assessed the impact of individual versus group-based exercise, exercise delivered by people with different qualifications (eg, health professionals vs trained fitness leaders) and the different ProFaNE exercise intervention categories. We used the test for subgroup differences available in Review Manager 2014 to determine whether there was evidence for a difference in treatment effect between subgroups. We carried out 10 sensitivity analyses to explore the stability of the results.

### RESULTS

#### Study selection

Figure 1 shows the flow of records.\textsuperscript{9} In brief, after downloading 8007 potentially eligible new records and 359 studies from previous reviews, the final round of study selection (based on 235 reports) resulted in the inclusion of 108 studies (194 reports), the exclusion of 21 studies (23 reports) and identification of 16 ongoing studies.

#### Study characteristics

The 108 included studies were all RCTs and involved 23 407 participants. The majority of trials were individually randomised and nine were cluster randomised. The median number of participants randomised per trial was 134 (IQR 65–262). The included trials were carried out in 25 countries, the most common being Australia (19 trials), USA (18 trials), Japan (11 trials) and the UK (seven trials). Overall, 77% of included participants were women. All participants were women in 28 trials and men in one trial. The average of average participant ages in the included trials was 76 years. Sixty included studies (56%) specified a history of falling or evidence of one or more risk factors for falling in their inclusion...
criteria. Seventy-two trials (67%) excluded participants with cognitive impairment, either defined as an exclusion criterion or implied by the stated requirement to be able to give informed consent.

Risk of bias within studies
We judged the risk of bias in generation of the allocation sequence as low in 67% (n=72/108) of trials, unclear in 33% (n=36/108) and high in zero trials. We assessed the methods of concealment of the allocation prior to group assignment as low risk of bias in 67% (n=72/108), unclear in 33% (n=36/108) and high in the remaining 95% (n=5/108) of trials. In the majority of studies (90%, n=97/108) it was not possible to blind the personnel and participants to group allocation. As the likelihood of awareness of group allocation introducing performance bias was not clear, we assessed the risk of bias for non-blinding as unclear for these trials. We judged the impact of performance bias as low in 5% (n=5/108) of trials, unclear in 95% (n=97/108) of trials and high in 0% (n=6/108) of trials. We judged the risk of detection bias in relation to the methods of ascertainment of the rate of falls to be low in 40% (n=43/108), high in 21% (n=23/108) and unclear in 39% (n=42/108) of the included trials. We judged the risk of bias due to incomplete outcome data to be low in 53% (n=57/108), unclear in 20% (n=22/108) and high in the remaining 27% of trials (n=29/108). We assessed the risk of bias due to selective reporting of falls outcomes as low in 12% (n=13/108) of studies, unclear in 40% (n=43/108) and high in 48% (n=52/108). We assessed 58% of included studies (n=63/108) as being at low risk of bias in the recall of falls (ie, falls were recorded concurrently using recommended methods of monthly diaries or postcards). We judged the risk of bias to be high in 27% of trials (n=29/108), in that ascertainment of falling episodes was by participant recall, at intervals during the study or at its conclusion. In 15% of trials (n=16/108) the risk of bias was unclear, as retrospective recall was for a short period only, or details of ascertainment were not described.

Overall effects of exercise (all types)
Exercise (all types) reduces the rate of falls by 23% compared with control (rate ratio (RaR) 0.77, 95% CI 0.71 to 0.83; 12 981 participants, 59 studies, I²=55%; high-certainty evidence). See table 1.

Subgroup analysis by falls risk at baseline, found there was probably little or no difference in the effect of exercise (all types) on the rate of falls in trials where all participants were at an increased risk of falling (RaR 0.80, 95%CI 0.72 to 0.88; 6858 participants, 30 studies, I²=56%) compared with trials that did not use increased risk of falling as an entry criterion (RaR 0.74, 95%CI 0.65 to 0.84; 6123 participants, 29 studies, I²=53%); test for subgroup differences: Chi²=0.90, df=1, p=0.34, I²=0%.

Subgroup analysis by participant age found there was probably little or no difference in the effect of exercise (all types) on the rate of falls in trials where participants were aged 75 years or older (RaR 0.83, 95%CI 0.72 to 0.97; 3376 participants, 13 studies, I²=54%) compared with trials where participants were aged less than 75 years (RaR 0.75, 95%CI 0.69 to 0.82; 9605 participants, 46 studies, I²=55%); test for subgroup differences: Chi²=1.36, df=1, p=0.24, I²=27%.

Subgroup analyses found there may be no difference in the effect of exercise (all types) on the rate of falls in trials where interventions were delivered in a group setting (RaR 0.76, 95%CI 0.69 to 0.85; 8163 participants, 40 studies, I²=62%) compared with trials where
Table 1 Summary of findings. Rate of falls outcome (falls per person-years) for types of exercise

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of exercise</th>
<th>Follow-up range</th>
<th>Illustrative comparative risks* (95% CI)</th>
<th>Relative effect (95% CI)</th>
<th>No. of participants (studies)</th>
<th>Certainty of the evidence (GRADE)</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exercise† (all types) versus control‡ (eg, usual activities)</td>
<td>3 to 30 months</td>
<td>Control: All studies population 850 per 1000§ 655 per 1000 (604 to 706) Not selected for high risk population 605 per 1000§ 466 per 1000 (430 to 503) Selected for high risk population 1200 per 1000§ 924 per 1000 (852 to 996)</td>
<td>Rate ratio 0.77 (0.71 to 0.83)¶</td>
<td>12 981 (59 RCTs)</td>
<td>High**</td>
<td>Overall, there is a reduction of 23% (95% CI 17% to 29%) in the number of falls. Guide to the data: If 1000 people were followed over 1 year, the number of falls in the overall population would be 655 (95% CI 604 to 706) compared with 850 in the group receiving usual care or attention control. In the unselected population, the corresponding data are 466 (95%CI 430 to 503) compared with 605 in the group receiving usual care or attention control. In the selected higher-risk population, the corresponding data are 924 (95%CI 852 to 996) compared with 1200 in the control group.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance and functional exercises†† versus control‡ (eg, usual activities)</td>
<td>3 to 30 months</td>
<td>Control: All studies population 850 per 1000§ 646 per 1000 (595 to 689) Specific exercise population 930 per 1000§ 707 per 1000 (651 to 754)</td>
<td>Rate ratio 0.76 (0.70 to 0.81)</td>
<td>7920 (39 RCTs)</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Overall, there is a reduction of 24% (95% CI 19% to 30%) in the number of falls. Guide to the data based on the all-studies estimate: If 1000 people were followed over 1 year, the number of falls would be 646 (95% CI 595 to 689) compared with 850 in the group receiving usual care or attention control.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resistance exercises§§ versus control‡ (eg, usual activities)</td>
<td>4 to 12 months</td>
<td>Control: All studies population 850 per 1000§ 969 per 1000 (570 to 1675) Specific exercise population 630 per 1000§ 719 per 1000 (423 to 1242)</td>
<td>Rate ratio 1.14 (0.67 to 1.97)</td>
<td>327 (5 RCTs)</td>
<td>Very low</td>
<td>The evidence is of very low certainty, hence we are uncertain of the findings of an increase of 14% (95% CI 33% reduction to 97% increase) in the number of falls. Guide to the data based on the all-studies estimate: If 1000 people were followed over 1 year, the number of falls would be 969 (95% CI 570 to 1675) compared with 850 in the group receiving usual care or attention control.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D (Tai Chi) exercise*** versus control‡ (eg, usual activities)</td>
<td>6 to 17 months</td>
<td>Control: All studies population 850 per 1000§ 698 per 1000 (570 to 842) Specific exercise population 1020 per 1000§ 827 per 1000 (684 to 1010)</td>
<td>Rate ratio 0.81 (0.67 to 0.99)</td>
<td>2655 (7 RCTs)</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Overall, there may be a reduction of 19% (95% CI 1% to 33%) in the number of falls. Guide to the data based on the all-studies estimate: If 1000 people were followed over 1 year, the number of falls may be 689 (95% CI 570 to 842) compared with 850 in the group receiving usual care or attention control.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D (dance) exercise‡‡‡ versus control‡ (eg, usual activities)</td>
<td>12 months</td>
<td>Control: All studies population 850 per 1000§ 1139 per 1000 (833 to 1556) Specific exercise population 800 per 1000§ 1072 per 1000 (784 to 1464)</td>
<td>Rate ratio 1.34 (0.98 to 1.83)</td>
<td>522 (1 RCT)</td>
<td>Very low</td>
<td>The evidence is of very low certainty, hence we are uncertain of the findings of an increase of 34% (95% CI 2% reduction to 83% increase) in the number of falls. Guide to the data based on the all-studies estimate: If 1000 people were followed over 1 year, the number of falls may be 1139 (95% CI 833 to 1556) compared with 850 in the group receiving usual care or attention control.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General physical activity (including walking)††† versus control‡ (eg, usual activities)</td>
<td>12 to 24 months</td>
<td>Control: All studies population 850 per 1000§ 969 per 1000 (561 to 1675) Specific exercise population 670 per 1000§ 764 per 1000 (443 to 1320)</td>
<td>Rate ratio 1.14 (0.66 to 1.97)</td>
<td>441 (2 RCTs)</td>
<td>Very low</td>
<td>The evidence is of very low certainty, hence we are uncertain of the findings of an increase of 14% (95% CI 34% reduction to 97% increase) in the number of falls. Guide to the data based on the all-studies estimate: If 1000 people were followed over 1 year, the number of falls may be 1139 (95% CI 833 to 1556) compared with 850 in the group receiving usual care or attention control.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple categories of exercise (often including, as primary interventions: gait, balance, and functional (task) training plus resistance training†††† versus control‡ (eg, usual activities)</td>
<td>2 to 25 months</td>
<td>Control: All studies population 850 per 1000§ 561 per 1000 (425 to 748) Specific exercise population 1180 per 1000§ 779 per 1000 (590 to 1039)</td>
<td>Rate ratio 0.66 (0.50 to 0.80) $$$</td>
<td>1374 (11 RCTs)</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Overall, there is probably a reduction of 34% (95% CI 12% to 50%) in the number of falls. Guide to the data based on the all-studies estimate: If 1000 people were followed over 1 year, the number of falls would probably be 561 (95% CI 425 to 748) compared with 850 in the group receiving usual care or attention control.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1 Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of exercise</th>
<th>Follow-up range</th>
<th>Illustrative comparative risks* (95% CI)</th>
<th>No. of participants (studies)</th>
<th>Certainty of the evidence (GRADE)</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>de Range</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up</td>
<td></td>
<td>Relative effect (95% CI)</td>
<td>Corresponding risk</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRADE Working Group grades of evidence. High certainty: We are very confident that the true effect lies close to that of the estimate of the effect. Moderate certainty: We are moderately confident in the effect estimate. The true effect is likely to be close to the estimate of the effect, but there is a possibility that it is substantially different. Low certainty: Our confidence in the effect estimate is limited. The true effect may be substantially different from the estimate of the effect. Very low certainty: We have very little confidence in the effect estimate. The true effect is likely to be substantially different from the estimate of the effect.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise is a physical activity that is planned, structured and repetitive and aims to improve or maintain physical fitness. There is a wide range of possible types of exercise, and exercise programmes often include one or more types of exercise. We categorised exercise based on the Prevention of Falls Network Europe (ProFaNE) taxonomy that classifies exercise type as: (i) gait, balance, and functional [task] training; (ii) strength/ resistance (including power); (iii) flexibility; (iv) three-dimensional (3D) exercise (eg, Tai Chi, Qigong, dance); (v) general physical activity; (vi) endurance; and (vii) other kind of exercises. The taxonomy allows for more than one type of exercise to be delivered within a programme.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control intervention is one that is not thought to reduce falls, such as general health education, social visits, very gentle exercise, or ‘sham’ exercise not expected to impact on falls.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The all-studies population risk was based on the number of events and the number of participants in the control group for this outcome over the 59 RCTs. We calculated the risk in the control group using the median falls per person-year for the subgroups of trials for which a) an increased risk of falls was not an inclusion criterion (29 RCTs, 6123 participants), or b) increased risk of falls was an inclusion criterion (30 RCTs, 6858 participants).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subgroup analysis found no difference based on whether risk of falls was an inclusion criterion or not (test for subgroup differences: χ²=0.90, df=1, p=0.34, I²=0%).</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There was no downgrading, including for risk of bias, as results were essentially unchanged with removal of the trials with a high risk of bias on one or more items.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Using Prevention of Falls Network Europe (ProFaNE) taxonomy, gait, balance, and functional [task] training is gait training = specific correction of walking technique; changes of pace, level and direction; balance training = transferring bodyweight from one part of the body to another or challenging specific aspects of the balance systems; functional training = functional activities, based on the concept of task specificity. Training is assessment-based, tailored and progressed. Exercise programs included in this analysis contained a single primary exercise category (gait, balance, and functional [task] training); these exercise programs may also include secondary categories of exercise.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>The all-studies population risk was based on the number of events and the number of participants in the control group for this outcome over the 59 all-exercise types RCTs. The specific exercise population risk was based on the number of events and the number of participants in the control group for this outcome over the 5 RCTs.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Using Prevention of Falls Network Europe (ProFaNE) taxonomy, resistance training is any type of weight training (contraction of muscles against resistance to induce a training effect in the muscular system). Resistance is applied by body weight or external resistance. Training is assessment-based, tailored and progressed. Exercise programmes included in this analysis had resistance training as the single primary exercise category; these exercise programmes may also include secondary categories of exercise.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>The all-studies population risk was based on the number of events and the number of participants in the control group for this outcome over the 59 all-exercise types RCTs. The specific exercise population risk was based on the number of events and the number of participants in the control group for this outcome over the 7 RCTs.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§§Using Prevention of Falls Network Europe (ProFaNE) taxonomy, movement training is gait training = specific correction of walking technique; changes of pace, level and direction; balance training = transferring bodyweight from one part of the body to another or challenging specific aspects of the balance systems; functional training = functional activities, based on the concept of task specificity. Training is assessment-based, tailored and progressed. Exercise programmes included in this analysis contained a single primary exercise category (gait, balance, and functional [task] training); these exercise programmes may also include secondary categories of exercise.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§§The all-studies population risk was based on the number of events and the number of participants in the control group for this outcome over the 59 all-exercise types RCTs. The specific exercise population risk was based on the number of events and the number of participants in the control group for this outcome over the 39 RCTs.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§§§Using Prevention of Falls Network Europe (ProFaNE) taxonomy, Tai Chi, Qigong, dance; general physical activity; endurance; and (vii) other kind of exercises. The programmes of ten included, as the primary intervention, gait, balance, and functional (task) training plus resistance training. The exercise programmes may also include secondary categories of exercise.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§§§The all-studies population risk was based on the number of events and the number of participants in the control group for this outcome in the sole RCT.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§§§§Using Prevention of Falls Network Europe (ProFaNE) taxonomy, physical activity is any movement of the body, produced by skeletal muscle, that causes energy expenditure to be substantially increased. Recommendations regarding intensity, frequency and duration are required in order to increase performance. Exercise programmes included in this analysis had general physical activity (including walking) training as the single primary exercise category; these exercise programmes may also include secondary categories of exercise.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§§§§The all-studies population risk was based on the number of events and the number of participants in the control group for this outcome over the 59 all-exercise types RCTs. The specific exercise population risk was based on the number of events and the number of participants in the control group for this outcome over the 39 RCTs.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§§§§§Using Prevention of Falls Network Europe (ProFaNE) taxonomy, taxonomised exercises were Tai Chi, Qigong, dance; general physical activity; endurance; and (vii) other kind of exercises. The programmes of ten included, as the primary intervention, gait, balance, and functional (task) training plus resistance training. The exercise programmes may also include secondary categories of exercise.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§§§§§§Using Prevention of Falls Network Europe (ProFaNE) taxonomy, taxonomised exercises were Tai Chi, Qigong, dance; general physical activity; endurance; and (vii) other kind of exercises. The programmes of ten included, as the primary intervention, gait, balance, and functional (task) training plus resistance training. The exercise programmes may also include secondary categories of exercise.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§§§§§§§Using Prevention of Falls Network Europe (ProFaNE) taxonomy, taxonomised exercises were Tai Chi, Qigong, dance; general physical activity; endurance; and (vii) other kind of exercises. The programmes of ten included, as the primary intervention, gait, balance, and functional (task) training plus resistance training. The exercise programmes may also include secondary categories of exercise.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§§§§§§§§Using Prevention of Falls Network Europe (ProFaNE) taxonomy, taxonomised exercises were Tai Chi, Qigong, dance; general physical activity; endurance; and (vii) other kind of exercises. The programmes of ten included, as the primary intervention, gait, balance, and functional (task) training plus resistance training. The exercise programmes may also include secondary categories of exercise.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§§§§§§§§§Using Prevention of Falls Network Europe (ProFaNE) taxonomy, taxonomised exercises were Tai Chi, Qigong, dance; general physical activity; endurance; and (vii) other kind of exercises. The programmes of ten included, as the primary intervention, gait, balance, and functional (task) training plus resistance training. The exercise programmes may also include secondary categories of exercise.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§§§§§§§§§§Using Prevention of Falls Network Europe (ProFaNE) taxonomy, taxonomised exercises were Tai Chi, Qigong, dance; general physical activity; endurance; and (vii) other kind of exercises. The programmes of ten included, as the primary intervention, gait, balance, and functional (task) training plus resistance training. The exercise programmes may also include secondary categories of exercise.</td>
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interventions were delivered individually (RR 0.79, 95% CI 0.71 to 0.88; 4818 participants, 21 studies, I²=35%); test for subgroup differences: \( \chi^2 = 0.21, df = 1, p = 0.65, I^2 = 0\%\).

Subgroup analysis by exercise type showed a variation in the effects of the different types of exercise on rate of falls, the visual impression being confirmed by the statistically significant test for subgroup differences: \( \chi^2 = 17.18, df = 5, p = 0.004, I^2 = 70.9\%\).

Effects of different types of exercise

Exercise interventions that were classified as being primarily balance and functional reduce the rate of falls by 24% compared with control (RR 0.76, 95% CI 0.70 to 0.81; 7920 participants, 39 studies, I²=29%, high-certainty evidence).

Exercise interventions that include multiple categories of the ProFaNE taxonomy (most commonly balance and functional exercises plus resistance exercises) probably reduce the rate of falls by 34% compared with controls (RR 0.69, 95% CI 0.48 to 0.97; 1084 participants, eight studies; I²=72%).

Exercise interventions that were classified as 3D (Tai Chi or similar) may reduce the rate of falls by 19% compared with control (RR 0.81, 95% CI 0.67 to 0.99; 2655 participants, 7 studies, I²=74%; low-certainty evidence).

We are uncertain whether exercises, classified as being primarily 3D (dance) using the ProFaNE taxonomy, reduce the rate of falls compared with control (RR 1.34, 95% CI 0.98 to 1.83; 522 participants, one study; very low-certainty evidence).

We are uncertain whether interventions, classified as being primarily walking programmes using the ProFaNE taxonomy, reduce the rate of falls compared with control (RR 1.14, 95% CI 0.76 to 1.73; 481 participant, two studies; I²=67%; very low-certainty evidence).

Heterogeneity and risk of bias across studies

This review’s analyses display minimal to substantial heterogeneity with p<0.05 for the \( \chi^2 \) test and I² values up to 74%. This variability was not explained by our subgroup analyses. We consider this likely to represent between-study differences in the exact nature of programmes (eg, dose, intensity, adherence) and target populations, which requires ongoing investigation. Given the overall positive impact of the programmes and the stability of results, we do not consider this to preclude the meta-analyses we have undertaken. The funnel plots did show some asymmetry but we did not consider the asymmetry sufficient to downgrade the level of evidence (figure 2).

Sensitivity analysis, GRADE assessment

The nine sensitivity analyses (based on age of included participants, risk of bias, cluster trials, fixed-effect analyses and categorisation of interventions) made little difference to the results of the primary pooled analysis (full details shown in Appendix 18, Sherrington 2019). This indicates the robustness of the review’s primary findings and methods. In undertaking the GRADE assessment we downgraded the certainty of evidence based on sensitivity analysis (removal of trials with one or more items at high risk of bias) for the comparisons of resistance exercises, Tai Chi and walking programme versus control.

DISCUSSION

There is high-certainty evidence from 59 RCTs that exercise reduces the rate of falls in older adults living in the general community. Subgroup analyses did not reveal differences in effect according to whether trials were selected for high risk of falling or not but the absolute numbers of falls prevented will be greater in the higher risk populations. Subgroup analyses did not reveal differences in effect on falls according to whether interventions included younger and older populations based on a 75-year cut-off. There was, however, a greater reduction on the rate of falls from exercises (all types) in trials where interventions were delivered by a health professional than in trials where trained instructors who were not health professionals delivered the interventions; however, both approaches reduced the rate of falls. Subgroup analyses did not reveal differences in effect on falls according to whether interventions were delivered in a group setting or delivered individually. When subgrouped by exercise type there were significant subgroup differences for rate of falls, a finding that endorsed our prespecified intention to report separate analyses by primary exercise type. Exercise programmes that reduce falls primarily involve balance and functional exercises, while programmes that probably reduce falls include multiple exercise categories (typically balance and functional exercises plus resistance exercises). Tai Chi may also prevent falls but we are uncertain of the effect of resistance exercise (without balance and functional exercises), dance, or walking on the rate of falls.

Despite our thorough search strategy, we acknowledge the possibility that some relevant trials may have been missed, especially if they were published in languages other than English. Two review authors independently classified the exercise interventions using the ProFaNE guidelines (Lamb 2011), including assigning intervention categories to primary or secondary status. We recognise there is some subjectivity in this classification system, particularly for those interventions containing more than one category of exercise. Sensitivity analyses that tested the effects of recategorising primary balance and functional exercise trials with a secondary component of strength training indicated that this did not importantly affect the results.

Our review adds to the existing body of evidence and supports the findings of Gillespie 2012, whereby multiple component group-based exercise was found to reduce the rate of falls. We extended the findings of Gillespie 2012 by-recoding intervention programmes, in an attempt to identify a primary exercise component for each included study and reserving the ‘multiple component’ category for trials in which the intervention programme had...
an equal focus on each of the multiple components. As a result, more studies in our review are classified as balance and functional exercises and fewer as multiple component programmes. The present review also adds to our previous non-Cochrane review,\(^8\) that used different methodology (multivariable meta-regression) yet reached similar conclusions about the importance of the inclusion of exercises that safely challenge balance in fall prevention exercise programmes. Other recent analyses have reached similar findings, including a large network meta-analysis.\(^16\)

Further work is needed to understand the relative impact of different exercise programmes. Such studies will need to be very large to be adequately powered to detect effects between interventions. Large studies are also needed to establish the impact of fall prevention interventions on fall-related fractures and falls requiring medical attention, as such falls are particularly costly to health systems and impactful for individuals. When developing priority topics for future research, the current evidence base should be considered in conjunction with the areas studied in the ongoing trials. Individual participant data meta-analysis could contribute further to the investigation of differential effects of exercise in people of different ages and baseline fall risks, as these are individual-level rather than trial-level characteristics. We recommend researchers follow the ProFaNE guidelines for the conduct of falls trials.\(^19\) Further research is required to establish the effectiveness of fall prevention programmes in emerging economies, where the burden of falls is increasing more rapidly than in high-income countries due to rapidly ageing populations.\(^18\) There is an urgent need to investigate strategies to enhance implementation of effective exercise-based fall prevention interventions into routine care of older people by healthcare professionals and community organisations. As it is possible that interventions designed to increase physical activity could increase falls due to increased exposure to risk, we suggest that those undertaking trials of physical activity interventions in older people consider monitoring falls. Future studies should use the consensus definition of a fall developed for trials in community-dwelling populations by ProFaNE,\(^19\) consistent methods of falls ascertainment and consistent measurement of adverse events in both groups throughout the trial period. Future research should use the ProFaNE descriptors to categorise interventions,\(^1\) but should be clear how this was operationalised.

The importance of exercise in fall prevention suggests that greater attention be given to the widespread implementation of a life course approach to healthy ageing, that is lifelong exercise to maximise physical functioning in older age, as suggested by the WHO.\(^20\) Although trial follow-up ranged from 3 to 18 months in the main comparison, there are likely to be longer-term benefits of introducing fall prevention exercise habits in people in the general community. Notably too, the duration of most of the exercise programmes was 12 weeks or over and nearly one-third lasted a year or more. These findings highlight the importance of ongoing exercise.

In conclusion, this review provides high-certainty evidence that well-designed exercise programmes reduce the rate of falls among older people living in the community. Greater provision and implementation of these programmes is an urgent challenge for the global sport and exercise medicine community and broader health and social support systems.
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