angle ( $M_{diff}$ =4.81, SE=.51,  $t_{(268)}$ = 9.42, p=.000, 95% CI: 3.81 – 5.82) than non-ballet students. In contrast, ballet students had lesser mean than non-ballet students in tibiofemoral angle tibiofemoral angle ( $M_{diff}$ =-1.05, SE=.31,  $t_{(268)}$ =-3.39, p=.001, 95% CI: -1.64 – -0.44), prone rearfoot angle ( $M_{diff}$ =-8.65, SE=.56,  $t_{(254.04)}$ =-16.16, p=.000, 95% CI: -9.71 – -7.60), tibial varum ( $M_{diff}$ =-2.52, SE=.23,  $t_{(159.90)}$ =-10.98, p=.000, 95% CI: -2.96 – -2.06), hip anteversion ( $M_{diff}$ =-1.47, SE=.72,  $t_{(156.15)}$ =-15.87, p=.000, 95% CI: -12.90 – 10.04), and navicular drop ( $M_{diff}$ =-4.45, SE=.42,  $t_{(182.22)}$ =-10.44, p=.000, 95% CI: -5.29 – -3.61).

Conclusions Significant results from the alignment indicate that ballet movement and turn-out position may suggest changes in LEA, therefore, clinicians should consider these aspects while preventing and treating dancer injuries.

## 257

## INFLUENCE OF LOWER QUARTER Y-BALANCE TEST™ SCREENING PROTOCOL ON DYNAMIC BALANCE OUTCOMES

<sup>1</sup>Scott Lawrance, <sup>1</sup>Megan Jacobs, <sup>1</sup>Emily Boss, <sup>1</sup>Jennifer Popp, <sup>1</sup>Larry Leverenz, <sup>2</sup>Michael Weller. <sup>1</sup>Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, USA; <sup>2</sup>Cedarville University, Cedarville, OH, USA

10.1136/bjsports-2021-IOC.236

Background The Lower Quarter Y-Balance Test™ (LQYBT) is commonly used to determine risk of injury or readiness for return to sport. However, clinicians conduct the assessment with differing test protocols potentially altering test outcomes. Objective To determine if differences in reach distances, composite score, and limb symmetry exist between LQYBT testing protocols.

Design Prospective cohort study.

Setting Controlled laboratory research.

Participants 48 non-injured participants: 32 females, 16 males  $(21.4\pm0.3 \text{ years}, 170.6\pm9.2 \text{ cm}, 72.9\pm14.2 \text{ kg})$ .

Interventions Participants completed four testing protocols including barefoot with hands fixed at their hips, barefoot with hands free to move, shod with hands fixed at hips, and shod with hands free to move.

Main Outcome Measures Maximum reach distance was recorded for each limb in the anterior, posteromedial, and posterolateral directions. Limb length composite scores and limb symmetry index (LSI) were calculated for each LQYBT assessment.

Results No statistically significant differences were observed in reach distances, composite scores, or LSI between shod and barefoot protocols. Significant differences were observed in reach distances (p=0.00) and in limb length composite scores (right limb p=0.00, left limb p=0.00) between protocols comparing hands fixed at hips and hand free to move, although no differences were observed in LSI between these conditions when participants were shod (p=0.27) or barefoot (p=0.49).

When participants were snod (p=0.27) or bareroot (p=0.49). Conclusions No differences were observed when participants wore athletic shoes or were barefoot during assessments. Reach distance and limb composite score differences were present when participants were allowed to move their arms and counterbalance their movement during the LQYBT, although no differences in LSI was observed. Results suggest if LSI is used to make clinical decisions, any LQYBT testing protocol can be used. However, testing protocols could influence clinical decisions if reach distances or composite scores are used to make patient care decisions.

258

## SPORT PRE-PARTICIPATION HEALTH EVALUATION IN ELITE ATHLETES FROM A MULTISPORT CLUB: PROPOSAL FOR A PERSONALIZED PROTOCOL

<sup>1</sup>Ramon Pi, <sup>1,2</sup>María Sanz de la Garza, <sup>3</sup>Gonzalo Grazioli, <sup>1,2</sup>Gil Rodas, <sup>1</sup>Manel García, <sup>1,2</sup>Marta Sitges, <sup>1,4</sup>Francheck Drobnic. <sup>1</sup>FC Barcelona Medical Department, Barcelona, Spain; <sup>2</sup>Hospital Clínic, Barcelona, Spain; <sup>3</sup>Sports Medicine Unit, Consell Catalá de l'Esport, Barcelona, Spain; <sup>4</sup>Olympic Training Centre, Sant Cugat del Valles, Barcelona, Spain

10.1136/bjsports-2021-IOC.237

Background In 2009 the IOC published a Consensus Statement highlighting the value of periodic health evaluation in elite athletes.

Objective The aim of this study was to evaluate the prevalence of pathological findings, and the preventive value of the method used.

Design Retrospective epidemiological study.

Setting and Participants A total of 2574 elite and professional athletes from Football Club Barcelona (FCB). FCB is a sports club with 13 different sports, 5 professional and 8 amateur.

Interventions (or Assessment of Risk Factors) Between 2008 and 2018, a total of 2574 athletes, with range from 12 to 35 years old, were evaluated through a personalized sport preparticipation health evaluation protocol (SPPHE) at the FCB Medical Department.

Main Outcome Measurements The protocol used was the 'Guía de la Revisión Médica del Futbol Club Barcelona'. The SPPHE examination consisted of basic medical information, anthropometric data, physical examination, spirometry, basal 12-lead electrocardiography, submaximal cardiovascular exercise testing, and cardiac echocardiography.

Results In 2574 SPPHE, we recorded 750 medical findings (29.1%); including 495 (19.2%) pathological findings, 255 (9.9%) minor abnormal findings, and 958 (37.2%) previous sports injuries. Specific treatment was necessary in 6 cardio-vascular diseases. We found 3 endocrinological diseases (type 1e Diabetes Mellitus). Periodic follow-up was specified in all cases, although none prevented the athlete from competing.

Conclusions The SPPHE was effective in identifying a wide range of pathologies (66.3%) in elite athletes from this multisport club. This allow us to provide fast treatment and implement ad hoc strategic preventive programs. We found no alteration that affected the athlete's development or hindered them in reaching the highest level of competition.

259

## SHOULD THE SIDE-HOP TEST BE REDUCED FROM 40 CM TO 30 CM WHEN USED IN DEVELOPMENTAL ATHLETES?

<sup>1,2</sup>Isabel Schneider, <sup>3</sup>Nicolai Kammann, <sup>1,3</sup>Oliver Haertel. <sup>1</sup>German University of Health and Sport, Berlin, Germany; <sup>2</sup>Physio-Motion, Groebenzell, Germany; <sup>3</sup>Leichtathletik Foerderzentrum, Munich, Germany

10.1136/bjsports-2021-IOC.238

Background The side-hop test by Gustavsson et al. (2006) helps with return to play decision making after lower extremity injury.

Objective Determine whether a reduced width of 30cm (compared with 40cm) may be more suitable for use in developmental athletes.

Design Cross-sectional study.

Setting School gymnasium.