Evidence on the relevance and dissemination of these statements is important for the development of future statements.

Objective The objective of this project was to investigate the relevance and dissemination of the IOC consensus statements among sports medicine professionals directly involved in Olympic athlete health in a developing setting (South Africa).

Design Qualitative case study.

Methods Semi-structured interviews, document analysis and field-notes were utilised. Seven sports medicine professionals interviewed.

Results Awareness around consensus statement topics and perceived access to the statements was limited in South Africa, especially for clinicians who are not currently active within an academic or research setting. In terms of relevance, participants described the importance of practical relevance of the statements, emphasizing the need for inclusion of the athlete's voice and diversity in skills, experience and context of the consensus statement authors. Participants also described the need to align format and content of the information according to the target audience. The usability and utilisation of the statements were determined by the perception of relevance at the time, within the specific context, as well as the ability to provide a practical message. Healthcare inequities, poorly resourced national federations, as well as general resource and time restrictions when managing athletes (reactive versus proactive management) were also considered as barriers to utilisation.

Conclusions The statements were perceived by South African sports medicine professionals as being relevant and beneficial for the management of athlete health. However, issues around awareness, access, usability, and practical application in a developing country were also raised.

379

MAXIMISING THE RELEVANCE AND DISSEMINATION OF THE IOC MEDICAL CONSENSUS STATEMENTS: KEY STAKEHOLDER'S PERCEPTIONS OF THE IOC MEDICAL CONSENSUS STATEMENTS IN A DEVELOPED COUNTRY (AUSTRALIA)

²Marelise Badenhorst, ¹Lauren Fortington, ⁴Caroline Bolling, ⁵Kati Pasanen, ²Wayne Derman, ⁴Evert Verhagen, ³Martin Schwellnus, ⁵Carolyn Emery, ¹Caroline Finch. ¹Australian Centre for Research into Injury in Sport and its Prevention (ACRISP), School of Medical and Health Sciences, Edith Cowan University, Joondalup, Australia; ²Institute of Sport and Exercise Medicine, University of Stellenbosch, Cape Town, South Africa; ³Sport, Exercise Medicine and Lifestyle Institute (SEMLI), University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa; ⁴Amsterdam Collaboration on Health and Safety in Sports, Department of Public and Occupational Health, Amsterdam UMC, Amsterdam, Netherlands; ⁵Sport Injury Prevention Research Centre, University of Calgary, Calgary, Canada

10.1136/bjsports-2021-IOC.346

Background The IOC Medical and Scientific commission's mission is to provide a guiding reference for sports organisations on issues relating to the protection of the health of athletes. One strategy to achieve this mission is through supporting the development of consensus statements.

Objective This study investigates the relevance and dissemination of the IOC consensus statements among sports medicine professionals directly involved in Olympic athlete health in a developed setting (Australia).

Design Qualitative case study.

Methods Semi-structured interviews, document analysis and field notes were utilised. Seven sports medicine professionals were interviewed.

Results For clinicians (not active in an academic/research setting), knowledge of the statements was limited; invitation to the study was the first awareness of the statements. Participants in leadership roles (with an academic/research component) were generally more familiar with some, but not all, statements. Participants identified a wealth of competing information sources through professional networks in health and sport. Subsequently, participants tend to consider these other avenues as first access point for information. Where statements were identified and used, there were several benefits reported, particularly drawn from the perceived leadership, trust, brand and reach of the IOC. While there is no formal adoption process for the information contained within a consensus statement, where identified as relevant, the information is shared amongst peer networks, via email or face-to-face meetings/seminars. There was agreement that the IOC has a role in the development and dissemination of the statements. However, interviewees also stated that information that is current and tailored to the target audiences (clinicians, coaches or athletes) could enhance the IOC's objective of guiding reference on athlete health.

Conclusions A wealth of information is available to sports medicine professionals in Australia. Therefore, to enhance relevance and dissemination in a developed country, the IOC consensus statements must remain current and be tailored to target audiences.

380

THE ENGLISH INSTITUTE OF SPORT'S MENTAL HEALTH JOURNEY

Samuel Cumming, Craig Ranson. *English Institute of Sport, Manchester, UK*

10.1136/bjsports-2021-IOC.347

Background Following a number of reviews into Olympic and Paralympic sport in the UK it was identified that mental health would be an area of focus for the Tokyo cycle. This led to development and implementation of a specific mental health strategy consisting of four pillars; education, provision, communication and assurance.

Implementation of a strategy to better-support the mental health of all people within the UK's High Performance System.

Design Retrospective service evaluation of the implementation of UK Sport's Mental Health Strategy, using statistics relating to two of the strategy's four pillars – Education and Provision.

Setting Athletes and staff who are part of the UK's High Performance Sports System.

Participants Athletes and staff from the UK's High Performance Sports System.

Intervention A Mental Health Expert Panel was established in late 2018 to provide world-leading expert advice and guidance to the system on matters relating to mental health. In addition, an elite sport-specific mental health education programme was designed and delivered between 2019 and 2020.

Main outcome measurements The primary outcome measures focus on usage of and feedback on the newly implemented initiatives (i.e. the Mental Health Expert Panel and education programme).

Results We observed significant uptake of mental health services (e.g. requests to access EIS Mental Health Expert Panel;