LOW BACK PAIN IN BREAKERS/HIP-HOP DANCERS IN CYPRUS
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Background Breakers/Hip-hop dancers perform some of the most physically demanding dance genres. Research supports that these dances’ biomechanical forces and extreme range of motion exceed most athletes, which leads to injuries.

Objective To evaluate chronic low back pain (LBP), in relation to an injury definition: ‘to have low back injury which completely stopped the dancer from taking part in dance activity for 24+ hours, in 2+ incidents in the last 3 months’ (Brooks, 2005).

Design A non-experimental, descriptive study investigating the retrospective self-report injury epidemiology of breakers/hip-hop dancers in relation to LBP.

Setting The study took place initially in a dance studio (N=5), and partially via videocall (N=58) due to 2020 lockdown. The participants were Cypriot dancers of hip-hop and/or breaking, who danced professionally or recreationally, competing nationally or internationally in battles.

Participants The selection procedure took place via an online open call. Entry criteria consisted of being 18–45 years old, male or female dancers/teachers of hip-hop and/or breaking for 1+ years 3+ hours/week. 63 local dancers entered and finished the study (LBP N=7, No LBP N=56).

Assessment Data was collected by a physiotherapist who is a hip-hop dance scientist, via interview, questionnaires and Beighton score.

Main Outcome Measurements Beighton General Joint hypermobility Score, Oswestry Disability Index, Tampa Scale of Kinesiophobia, Visual Analogue Scale.

Results 84.1% of dancers were injured during data collection, 95% of which were women. 84.1% had some type of injury in the thoracic or low back area, 90.3% of which were breakers. 52.3% had positive Beighton score, and were all injured during data collection.

Conclusions This is the first ever study on low back pain injury epidemiology on hip-hop/breakers, and the LBP definition used may not have been appropriate for this group of dancers.
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Background Groin injuries are frequent injury commonly seen in any sport contain sudden changes in direction, rapid acceleration and deceleration, and kicking which are all available in soccer. The Copenhagen adduction exercise increases the eccentric hip adduction strength leads to reduce the incidence of groin injury.

Objective To assess the professional and semi-professional soccer players and coaches’ awareness, implementation, and opinion of the Copenhagen adduction exercise.

Design A cross-sectional study.

Setting An online survey for all continental football federations.

Patients (or Participants) A total of 1621 male and female professional and semi-professional soccer players and coaches completed the survey.

Interventions (or Assessment of Risk Factors) The questionnaire consisted of questions covering the awareness, implementation, and professional and semi-professional soccer players and coaches’ opinion of the Copenhagen adduction exercise.

Main Outcome Measurements The primary outcomes were awareness level, implementation rate, and opinion of the effectiveness of the Copenhagen adduction exercise in reducing groin injury.

Results A total of 584 (36%) of professional and semi-professional soccer players and coaches were aware of the Copenhagen adduction exercise, 487 (30%) were implementing the Copenhagen adduction exercise in their current practice. Participants who implemented the Copenhagen adduction exercise reported a positive perception about the program efficacy, with a score of 8±1.10 out of 10.

Conclusions Further work needs to be done to educate soccer players and coaches about the importance of implementing the Copenhagen adduction exercise and its effectiveness in reducing groin injury to enhance the Copenhagen adduction exercise’ implementation.
**Abstracts**

**Setting** An online survey for all continental football federations.

**Patients (or Participants)** A total of 1690 professional and semi-professional soccer players and coaches completed the survey.

**Interventions (or Assessment of Risk Factors)** The questionnaire consisted of questions relating to the awareness level, implementation rate, and opinion of the FIFA 11+ injury prevention program. Questions development was guided by several authors whose expertise is in sport medicine and injury prevention.

**Main Outcome Measurements** The primary outcomes were awareness level, implementation rate, and opinion of the effectiveness of the FIFA 11+ injury prevention program in reducing injuries.

**Results** A total of 824 (48.8%) professional and semi-professional soccer players and coaches reported awareness of the FIFA 11+ injury prevention program and 680 (40.2%) reported implementing the FIFA 11+ injury prevention program in their current practice at some level. Participants who implemented the FIFA 11+ injury prevention program reported a positive attitude towards program efficacy, with a score of 8.16±1.10 out of 10.

**Conclusions** The results suggest a relationship between the variables explored. Therefore, increasing awareness of the FIFA +11 injury prevention program may increase implementation rates around the world, which may lead to an increase in the reduction of soccer injury incidence.
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**Background** Salivary secretory immunoglobulin A (sIgA) is a non-invasive biological marker that may be used to monitor an athlete’s response to training and to identify athletes at risk for upper respiratory tract infection. However, its usefulness in elite athletes is unclear.

**Objective** To determine the variation of sIgA in male Olympic athletes during the preparation period and the Olympic football tournament.

**Design** Prospective observational study.

**Setting** Preparation period and the Olympic football tournament in Rio2016 (from July 18 to August 11, 2016).

**Participants** Twenty male elite football players (aged 22 ± 2 years) called up to the Olympic team.

**Intervention** Over 27 days, athletes had 19 training days, 2 traveling days, 2 days with friendly matches, and 4 days with official games.

**Main Outcome Measurements** sIgA was analysed using a real-time lateral flow device. Training and match internal loads were assessed using the session rating of perceived exertion (sRPE) method.

**Results** During the first 3 days of training, sRPE (p < 0.001), but not sIgA (p > 0.05), varied significantly; however, sIgA had a moderate negative within-subjects correlation with sRPE (r = -0.39; confidence interval 95%: -0.62 to -0.09). During the whole study period, daily sIgA ranged from 350 ± 242 to 517 ± 238 μg/mL (p > 0.05). The between-subjects coefficient of variation of sIgA ranged from 44.5% to 69.2%, whereas its within-subjects coefficient of variation ranged from 17.3% to 74.7%. Daily sRPE varied significantly throughout the whole study period (p < 0.001). No upper respiratory tract infection was reported.

**Conclusions** sIgA showed a high between- and within-subjects variation in male elite football players, which may limit its usefulness as a valid biological marker for response to training and risk of upper respiratory tract infection.