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Objectives
To examine the effect of ignoring versus imputing missing data on ACWR calculations.

Methods
Two datasets were created: missing data were ignored, and missing data were imputed using a machine learning algorithm based on typical jump counts for the individual, team and sex. The distribution of ACWR was compared between datasets using a two-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Pearson correlations were used to assess how the ACWR for the ignored and imputed datasets relate to the difference between the percent of missing acute and chronic data.

Results
The distribution of ACWR was significantly different between the ignored and imputed datasets (D=0.164, p<0.001). The ignored dataset had 40% more cases of ACWR<0.5 and 97% more cases of ACWR>2.0 than the imputed dataset. There was a significant moderate association between ACWR and the difference between the percent of missing acute and chronic data for the ignored dataset (rho=0.617, p<0.001). When more acute than chronic data are missing, ACWR is low; when more chronic than acute data are missing, ACWR is high. There was no relationship between missing data and ACWR for imputed data (rho=0.061, p=0.147).

Conclusions
When missing data are ignored, ACWR is dependent on the quantity of missing acute and chronic data. Additionally, ignoring rather than imputing missing data is likely to result in more extreme ACWR, which could influence evaluation of the relationship between workload and injury risk.
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The Impact of Overhead Sports: Assessment of Shoulder Range of Motion in 1st League Professional Volleyball Players
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Background
Throwing is a highly skilled movement performed at the extremes of glenohumeral motion. The constant micro-trauma in the throwing shoulder challenges the physiologic limits of the surrounding tissues and leads to modifications in range of motion, due to osseous and soft tissue adaptations.

Objective
We aimed to characterize the changes that occur in glenohumeral mobility in volleyball players, determining if these would be different compared to other overhead sports and if differences existed between the two shoulders.

Design
This was a cross-sectional study, with clinical data collected from questionnaires and functional evaluation using a goniometer.

Setting
The subject group consisted of volleyball players from the major league and/or the national team.

Participants
The selection criterion was being a volleyball masculine athlete of a major competition without shoulder complaints; this enrolled a total of 66 professional males.

Interventions
Bilateral range of motion (active and passive) was assessed with a goniometer, in both throwing and non-throwing shoulder.

Main Outcome Measurements
We measure forward elevation, extension, external and internal rotation. The specific tests were apprehension, anterior and posterior drawer, and the sulcus sign.
Results The dominant shoulder displayed significantly increased external rotation when compared with the non-dominant (120.92°±14.85 vs 106.78°±12.53). Internal rotation was decreased by 11.99° in the throwing shoulder (p=0.047). Concerning forward elevation, a tendency for greater values was noted (p=0.08), with a higher degree in the throwing arm.

Conclusions Range of motion was different between shoulders. Our athletes had an increase in external rotation and a loss of internal rotation in the throwing shoulder, being concordant with what is described in other overhead sports. Furthermore, the dominant shoulder had a significant increase in forward elevation. These findings support the need of performing these evaluations to monitor the development of injuries, so that preventive measures can be taken.

Conclusions Elite wheelchair athletes have a high prevalence of MSK-U pathology with low-moderate levels of SS and PEF. MSK-U findings do not correlate with SS or PEF. These findings are an important step to educate the development of targeted preventative measures.

Background Yet published values outlining the differences of sport-specific adaptations at the shoulder joint between symptomatic (S) and non-symptomatic (nS) overhead athletes vary widely. Information defining the link between overuse injuries in relation to both shoulder joint and core endurance is also lacking.

Objective To evaluate if sport-specific adaptations at the shoulder joint are greater in volleyball players with shoulder problems and core stability would be associated with sport-specific adaptations at the shoulder.

Design Cross-sectional study.

Setting This study was performed during the indoor volleyball season 2017/2018 in coordination with the Swiss Volleyball Federation.

Patients (or Participants) 60 female volleyball players with and without overuse shoulder problems playing in a National League volleyball team.

Interventions (or Assessment of Risk Factors) Standardized clinical field tests for passive shoulder ROM in IR and ER, isometric strength of shoulder IR and ER, scapular dyskinesis test and core endurance test were performed during a test session of 1 h. The assessor was blinded to information on the players’ current shoulder status.

Main Outcome Measurements Side, group and subgroup comparisons of ROM, strength, scapular control and core endurance and correlations between core endurance and ER strength deficit, strength ratio ER/IR and scapular dyskinesis were described.

Results All players showed significant adaptations in ROM, strength and scapular control of their dominant shoulder (Ds). Players in the S subgroup had significantly weaker IR strength than nS players (mean difference, 7 N; 95% CI, 0.54 to 13.05; P ≤ 0.034; r = 0.295) and tended to have ER strength deficit. Furthermore, the lower the ER strength deficit, the better the core endurance in the side plank position (Ds: r = 0.30; 95% CI, 0.11 to 0.53; P ≤ 0.035).

Conclusions Elite female volleyball players showed typical sport-specific adaptations in their dominant shoulder. Values of adaptations did only differ in strength and only between subgroups. Further studies need to quantify the association between core endurance and shoulder strength.