Design We used the CHAMPS-DK data, which includes prospectively collected weekly activity and pain data using SMS-texting in Danish schoolchildren.

Setting Recreational children’s sports.

Participants Parents of 1152 schoolchildren aged 5–10 in Svendborg, Denmark provided information on their child’s activity and pain during 5.5 years of follow-up.

Interventions/Assessment of Risk Factors We measured the coupled ACRatio using activity in the index week (week of new onset pain) divided by average activity in the index and past 3 weeks (total 4 weeks) and the uncoupled ACRatio using activity in the week before the index week divided by average of the 4 weeks before the index week.

Main Outcome Measurements Development of new onset pain.

Results The total incidence rate of new onset pain was 2 events per person-year. Coupled ACRatios ranged from 0 to 3.20 (mean 1.00) and uncoupled ACRatios ranged from 0 to 9.33 (mean 1.03). The risk ratio for new onset pain using the coupled ACRatio was 1.26 [1.18, 1.36] for each unit increase in ACRatio. The risk ratio using the uncoupled ACRatio was 1.21 [1.16, 1.27]. There was no U-shaped curve, unlike what has previously been observed in injury studies in adults (Figure 1).

Conclusion The risk of new onset pain in children increases relatively linearly with increases in the coupled and uncoupled ACRatio.

Background The acute: chronic workload ratio (ACWR) is a widely adopted training load aggregation tool to manage injury risk in sport. Recently, methodological concerns have been raised regarding this approach.

Objective To establish best-fit calculation methods for the ACWR when assessing injury risk, and to assess reproducibility of methods between professional Rugby Union teams playing in the same league.

Design Observational cohort study.

Setting Thirty professional rugby clubs over two seasons.

Participants (or Participants) During two seasons, 433 and 569 players were recruited, meaning 1002 player seasons from 696 unique players.

Interventions (or Assessment of Risk Factors) Calculation methods: rolling averages (RA) versus exponentially-weighted moving averages (EWMA), coupled versus uncoupled, acute time windows (3–9 day), and chronic time windows (14–35 day).

Main Outcome Measurements Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) and Area Under the Curve (AUC) of model fit to injury risk.

Results 129,448 training load values were collected and aggregated into ACWR values to assess and compare their model fit to 1718 recorded injuries. In the 13 clubs there were 8 different ‘best fit’ ACWR calculations according to AIC score, with 3-day acute loads, 14-day chronic loads, EWMA and coupled approaches being the most common ‘best fit’ models. When the data was pooled, an EWMA, coupled 3:14 day ACWR provided the best fit; this finding was supported using AUC. Irrespective of the averaging or coupling method used, there was very little support for the commonly cited 7:28 day ACWR values.

Conclusions The commonly described 7:28 day average ACWR value may not be the most appropriate in a rugby setting, with 3:14 day EWMA coupled ACWRs providing better model fits. In addition, the best-fitting ACWR is highly variable across a somewhat homogenous set of clubs. Therefore, teams wishing to use ACWRs should model their own data to identify the version that is most appropriate for their setting, while the limitations of this metric should be understood when interpreting the data produced.