Infographic. The first position statement of the Concussion in Para Sport Group
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BACKGROUND
A concussion is a common injury in many sports, including para sport. Aside from a more comprehensive need for concussion education, clinicians face difficulties applying concussion assessment and management guidelines to para athletes.1 At present, there is a lack of para-sport concussion research, and prior International Concussion in Sport (CIS) consensus papers have not addressed this specific population. To rectify this issue and improve concussion management provided to para athletes, the Concussion in Para Sport (CIPS) multidisciplinary expert group was created.2

METHODS
The CIPS group undertook an in-depth analysis of issues specific to the para athlete within the established key clinical domains of the current (2017) Consensus Statement on Concussion in Sport.3 The existing Sports Concussion Assessment Tool 5 (SCAT5) was evaluated as part of this process and helped identify para athlete-specific concerns. Four CIPS working groups were tasked with exploring the following key clinical areas of concussion in para sport described in the most recent consensus statement of concussion in sport4:

- Concussion assessment;
- Concussion management;
- Return-to-sport following concussion; and
- Specific considerations related to the different impairments in para athletes.

RECOMMENDATIONS
Regular preparticipation and periodic health examinations in the para athlete are essential to determine a baseline reference point for concussion symptoms but pose challenges for the interpreting clinician. Concussion in the para athlete population should be managed according to existing concussion consensus guidelines using the CIPS assessment tools (see https://bjsm.bmj.com/content/bjsports/suppl/2021/04/09/bjsports-2020-103696.DC1/bjsports-2020-103696.supp001_data_supplement.pdf). Paradoxically, while SCAT5 baseline testing cannot be mandated for para athletes, the clinician attending to a para athlete with a suspected concussion has a much greater need to have a comprehensive understanding of a para athlete’s preinjury cognitive function and physical abilities to make a diagnosis of concussion and manage the athlete more effectively.

Due to the lack of validity of the SCAT5 in general populations and even greater variability of baseline scores between different disability groups,5 para athletes may have a concussion even if his or her SCAT5 is deemed to be ‘normal’. Despite their limitations, regular preparticipation and periodic health examinations along with the SCAT5 help guide the assessment of a suspected concussion for each para athlete impairment group.

As part of the overall assessment, an attending medical professional may choose to seek a corroborative history from suitable family members, caregivers or members of the athlete’s entourage who are familiar with the athlete’s baseline level of function, if available, to assist in clinical decision-making. In addition, it is strongly recommended that a team clinician with prior knowledge of the athlete is involved in the acute assessment of the potentially concussed athlete. Further considerations for concussion management of the para athlete are required within the remove, rest, reconsider and refer consensus framework. Considering a return to sport (RTS), the 2017 CIS consensus statement has limitations when considering the RTS of the para athlete. Case-by-case decision-making related to RTS following concussion is imperative.

FUTURE DIRECTIONS
Additional challenges exist for the evaluation and management of concussion in para athletes. Looking ahead, further research is needed to develop a greater understanding of existing knowledge gaps and attitudes towards concussion among athlete medical staff, coaches and para athletes themselves. Future research should investigate the use, reliability and validity of common assessment tools in the para athlete population. Concussion prevention strategies and sport-specific rule changes, such as in Para Alpine Skiing,6 Cerebral Palsy Football7 and sports for athletes with visual impairment, should also be considered to reduce the occurrence of concussion in para athletes.
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