

Hosting international sporting events during the COVID-19 pandemic: lessons learnt and looking forward

Margo Mountjoy ^{1,2}, B McCloskey,^{2,3} R Bahr,^{2,4} James H Hull ⁵,
Joanne Kemp ⁶, Jane S Thornton ⁷, Jon Patricios ⁸

It is the ethical responsibility of the sports and exercise medicine (SEM) community to ensure that the health of participants at sporting events is protected.¹ The COVID-19 pandemic impacted the delivery of such events, initially stopping them completely, and then, adding layers of complexity in the delivery of health programmes to ensure participant safety. Any mass gathering, most notably those held indoors, risks cross-infection and contagion with SARS-CoV-2. In addition, the COVID-19 pandemic has exposed and magnified inequity in resources

between high-income and low-income and middle-income countries, including those hosting mass sporting events. It has also increased the mental health burden suffered by athletes.² Together, these difficulties make the safe and pragmatic hosting of sporting events an urgent priority. As the pandemic evolves, what lessons have we learnt and how should we adapt our health and safety programmes to mitigate COVID-19-related health risks at sporting events in the future?

LESSONS LEARNT

We can learn from the outcomes of sporting events held during the COVID-19 pandemic in the professional leagues, international

¹Family Medicine, McMaster University Michael G DeGroote School of Medicine, Waterloo, Ontario, Canada

²International Olympic Committee Games Group, Lausanne, Switzerland

³Chatam House, Senior Consulting Fellow Global Health Programme, London, UK

⁴Oslo Sports Trauma Research Center, Norwegian School of Sports Sciences, Oslo, Norway

⁵Institute of Sport, Exercise and Health (ISEH), Division of Surgery, UCL, London, UK

⁶Latrobe Sports Exercise Medicine Research Centre, School of Allied Health, Human Services and Sport, La Trobe University, Bundoora, Victoria, Australia

⁷Western Centre for Public Health and Family Medicine, University of Western Ontario Schulich School of Medicine and Dentistry, London, Ontario, Canada

⁸Wits Sport and Health (WISH), School of Clinical Medicine, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg-Braamfontein, South Africa

Correspondence to Dr Margo Mountjoy, Family Medicine, McMaster University Michael G DeGroote School of Medicine, Waterloo, ON N2G 1C5, Canada; mountjm@mcmaster.ca

federations and the summer and winter Olympic Games.³ For example, at the Tokyo Olympic Games, impactful countermeasures included (1) physical distancing, hand hygiene, mask wearing and enhanced room ventilation; (2) rigorous testing, contact tracing and isolating of positive cases and (3) a worldwide vaccination distribution programme for National Olympic Committees. Ultimately, there were 33 COVID-19-positive athletes, 34 cases in team officials and 417 confirmed close contacts; only 7 of whom tested positive (<2%).⁴ Notably, 96% (Tokyo) and 95% (Beijing) of close contacts, who were isolated and monitored, but not placed in quarantine hotels, continued to train and compete. The genomic sequencing of positive cases showed that there was no evidence of spread between international and domestic populations. Most importantly, the local subvariants were not discovered in countries beyond Japan or China after the Olympic Games, confirming that returning participants did not bring COVID-19 infection back to their home countries. These results demonstrate that with appropriate resourcing and mitigation measures in place, large sporting events could be held safely. However, while isolation hotels for infected participants stemmed the spread of COVID-19, unintended outcomes emerged with lack of access to fresh air, designated training space, adequate nutrition, mental health support and medication for those with pre-existing conditions. Little opportunity to socialise further intensified pandemic stress and the loss of the 'Games experience',⁵ in addition to the emotional toil of the lost opportunity to compete. Greater flexibility in clinical reasoning and policy making, particularly for healthy athletes in low-risk (minimal contact/outdoor) environments, may reduce these unintended outcomes.

LOOKING FORWARD WITH FLEXIBILITY

COVID-19 has not gone away and although cases have risen again globally, severity has reduced with fewer hospitalisations and deaths, almost certainly due to vaccinations, natural immunity, improved diagnostics and treatment options and the evolution of SARS-CoV-2 variants.⁶ Many countries are moving away from an active COVID-19 response to a strategy of 'living with COVID-19' with a decrease in public health measures, testing and travel restrictions. The perceived risk to international sporting events has reduced and the focus now is on how to safely remove COVID-19 mitigation measures. At the same time, it is recognised that these measures have reduced the risk of COVID-19 at major sporting events, and have reduced the prevalence of other infectious diseases such

as respiratory illnesses and norovirus infections.⁷ Thus, it is important that the benefits of an increased focus on infectious disease prevention are not lost.

Moving forward, international team physicians and public health officials should partner with organising committees in creating infectious disease prevention and management protocols. The scale and scope of precautions should match the risk assessment and adapt to risk changes. The focus of these precautions should be on public health measures that can easily be implemented at events as well as a more tiered approach to testing, monitoring of symptoms, isolating and returning to sport that is risk-based, rather than the blanket approach that was originally used for COVID-19. Policy and guidance may need to change and cannot be fixed months ahead.

GUIDING PRINCIPLES

The 'lessons learnt' arise from real-life experience. As studies to evaluate the efficacy of interventions were not ethically feasible, decisions on COVID-19 mitigation strategies were made by leaders in SEM based on the best available data at the time. Despite this limitation, valuable lessons have been learnt that inform the way forward: risk of infection and disease outcome vary considerably, and public health measures are effective, however a blanket approach may result in unintended adverse outcomes for the athlete. It is important to continue the preventive measures supported by evidence, while remaining flexible to tailor our mitigation strategies to an evolving pandemic. We pose the following biosecurity recommendations to guide team physicians, governing sport medicine committees and host event organisers and medical teams:

- ▶ Include public health expertise on sport governing body and host medical committees.
- ▶ Develop a predetermined risk-based pandemic mitigation strategy with contingency planning that is communicated in advance of the event to all stakeholders.
- ▶ Ensure provision of financial and logistical resources across all participants to support the strategy.
- ▶ Apply public health principles that have proven effective (handwashing, masks, physical distancing, vaccination).
- ▶ Facilitate individual responsibility by educating event participants on public health principles relating to infectious disease mitigation (prevention, detection, management).

- ▶ Ensure adequate access to training, nutrition, mental and physical health support of participants in isolation.
- ▶ Evaluate the effectiveness of COVID-19 mitigation protocols with robust scientific rigour.

Looking forward, a key guiding principle promoted by WHO should be adopted by the SEM community: 'Be smart, be safe, be kind'.⁸ Strategic and flexible clinical reasoning allow us to achieve all three.

Correction notice This article has been corrected since it published Online First. The acknowledgement statement has been added.

Twitter Margo Mountjoy @margo.mountjoy, Joanne Kemp @JoanneLKemp, Jane S Thornton @janesthornton and Jon Patricios @jonpatricios

Acknowledgements This article is part of a series commissioned by the BJSM for the World Innovation Summit for Health (WISH) 2022. The BJSM peer reviewed, edited and made the decision to publish. The series, including open access fees, is funded by WISH, which is an initiative of the Qatar Foundation.

Contributors All authors were involved in conception, design, drafting, revising, and approval of the final manuscript prior to submission.

Funding World Innovation Summit for Health (WISH) 2022.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Ethics approval Not applicable.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.



OPEN ACCESS

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2023. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.



To cite Mountjoy M, McCloskey B, Bahr R, et al. *Br J Sports Med* 2023;**57**:3–4.

Accepted 13 August 2022
Published Online First 19 August 2022

Br J Sports Med 2023;**57**:3–4.
doi:10.1136/bjsports-2022-106096

ORCID iDs

Margo Mountjoy <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8604-2014>
James H Hull <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4697-1526>
Joanne Kemp <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9234-1923>

Jane S Thornton <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3519-7101>
 Jon Patricios <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6829-4098>

REFERENCES

- 1 Mountjoy M, Moran J, Ahmed H, *et al.* Athlete health and safety at large sporting events: the development of consensus-driven guidelines. *Br J Sports Med* 2021;55:191–7.
- 2 Gouttebauge V, Bindra A, Drezner J, *et al.* Minds matter: how COVID-19 highlighted a growing need to protect and promote athlete mental health. *Br J Sports Med* 2022;1. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2022-106017. [Epub ahead of print: 04 Jul 2022].
- 3 Murray A, Pluim B, Robinson PG, *et al.* The journey so far: professional sport during the COVID-19 pandemic. *BMJ Open Sport Exerc Med* 2022;8:e001362.
- 4 Al-Tawfiq JA, El-Kafrawy SA, McCloskey B, *et al.* COVID-19 and other respiratory tract infections at mass gathering religious and sporting events. *Curr Opin Pulm Med* 2022;28:192–8.
- 5 Olin JT, Fredericson M, Nichol C, *et al.* Lessons learned from the Tokyo games isolation hotel experience. *Br J Sports Med* 2022;56:597–8.
- 6 WHO. Statement on the twelfth meeting of the International Health Regulations (2005) Emergency Committee regarding the coronavirus disease (COVID-19) pandemic. Available: [https://www.who.int/news/item/12-07-2022-statement-on-the-twelfth-meeting-of-the-international-health-regulations-\(2005\)-emergency-committee-regarding-the-coronavirus-disease-\(covid-19\)-pandemic](https://www.who.int/news/item/12-07-2022-statement-on-the-twelfth-meeting-of-the-international-health-regulations-(2005)-emergency-committee-regarding-the-coronavirus-disease-(covid-19)-pandemic) [Accessed 13 Jul 2022].
- 7 McCloskey B. Private communication. (in publication).
- 8 World Health Organization. WHO official urges people to 'be smart, safe and kind' in holiday season. Available: <https://www.aa.com.tr/en/health/who-official-urges-people-to-be-smart-safe-and-kind-in-holiday-season/2449447> [Accessed 12 Jun 2022].