Healthspan and chronic disease burden among young adult and middle-aged male former American-style professional football players


ABSTRACT

Objective To examine the relationships between age, healthspan and chronic illness among former professional American-style football (ASF) players.

Methods We compared age-specific race-standardised and body mass index-standardised prevalence ratios of arthritis, dementia/Alzheimer’s disease, hypertension and diabetes among early adult and middle-aged (range 25–59 years) male former professional ASF players (n=2864) with a comparator cohort from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey and National Health Interview Survey, two representative samples of the US general population. Age was stratified into 25–29, 30–39, 40–49 and 50–59 years.

Results Arthritis and dementia/Alzheimer’s disease were more prevalent among ASF players across all study age ranges (all p<0.001). In contrast, hypertension and diabetes were more prevalent among ASF players in the youngest age stratum only (p<0.001 and p<0.01, respectively). ASF players were less likely to demonstrate intact healthspan (ie, absence of chronic disease) than the general population across all age ranges.

Conclusion These data suggest the emergence of a maladaptive early ageing phenotype among former professional ASF players characterised by premature burden of chronic disease and reduced healthspan. Additional study is needed to investigate these findings and their impact on morbidity and mortality in former ASF players and other athlete groups.

INTRODUCTION

Advancing age is a potent independent and non-modifiable risk factor for the development of many chronic diseases. General population studies consistently associate increases in cardiometabolic, neurocognitive and musculoskeletal pathology with increasing age. Although the precise mechanisms linking ageing to an increasing burden of chronic disease remain incompletely understood, biological mechanisms involving inflammation, proteostasis, macromolecular damage, dysregulated cellular metabolism and epigenetic influence have been proposed. While these mechanistic underpinnings of chronic disease are common consequences of ageing, early life environmental factors may dictate their magnitude and age of onset. To date, the degree to which specific early life exposures accelerate ageing, precipitate the premature onset of chronic disease, and thereby reduce healthspan (defined as the duration of life without chronic disease) remains incompletely understood.

Participation in American-style football (ASF) has been associated with the emergence of a multiorgan, maladaptive phenotype early in life. Specifically, ASF participation has been linked to the development of hypertension, pathological cardiac remodelling, vascular stiffening, and obesity. Studies establishing adverse cardiovascu lar relationships have focused almost exclusively on youthful men during their years of active ASF participation. Cognitive impairment also has been identified during active play and in postcareer years. In addition, high physical demands and associated traumatic joint injuries in ASF contribute to elevated rates of early total knee and hip arthroplasty in former ASF players compared with the general population. Accordingly, the impact of
ASF participation on later life healthspan remains incompletely characterised and data comparing chronic disease burden among former ASF participants to people with no prior ASF exposure are lacking.

We hypothesised that ASF participation represents a risk factor for early ageing as reflected by the presence of premature chronic disease and reduced healthspan. Specifically, we hypothesised that former ASF participants would harbour a higher prevalence of common age-related chronic diseases compared with similarly aged men without ASF exposure. To address this hypothesis, we compared race-adjusted and body mass index (BMI)-adjusted disease prevalence estimates of self-reported arthritis, dementia/Alzheimer’s disease, hypertension and diabetes mellitus in a large cohort of former professional ASF participants to those derived from two independent, well-validated general community reference populations.

**METHODS**

**ASF sample**

Former players who received compensation from the National Football League (NFL) after 1960 (the year hard plastic helmets were formally adopted) were considered eligible for the Football Players Health Study (FPHS) at Harvard University. Home and email addresses were obtained from the NFL Players Association. A total of 15,070 former players received invitations to participate in either a hard copy or online questionnaire, out of which 4,174 (27.7%) enrolled. A former player advisory board that represents the distribution of age, race and geographical region of professional ASF players offered feedback on the conceptual framework of chronic disease burden and healthspan.

**General population samples**

We used two surveys to capture general population distributions of four age-associated chronic illnesses. The first was the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES), a multi-year, stratified, clustered, four-stage sample that releases data in 2-year cycles. NHANES uses a probability-based sampling design that oversamples low-income individuals, non-Hispanic black and Mexican Americans, adults over age 60 and adolescents. We used NHANES demographic and health survey data from 2015 to 2016 and 2017 to 2018. We also accessed the 2019 National Health Interview Survey (NHIS), which collects demographic, socioeconomic, healthcare and mental and physical health data via interview. NHIS uses a multistage stratified and geographically clustered sampling strategy to ensure that NHIS is nationally representative of the most recent decennial census.

**Measures**

Age was determined using date of birth for football participants, and survey age for general population participants. We restricted datasets to men between the ages of 25–59. The minimum age was chosen to match the youngest FPHS participant to ensure full capture of pathology related to ASF exposure. A maximal age of 59 was selected to reflect the end of ‘middle-age’, and to minimise the powerful impact of older age on disease prevalence. Age was stratified into 25–29, 30–39, 40–49 and 50–59 years. ASF players responded to survey questions that queried professional playing career length. Position was divided into linemen (defensive line, linebacker and offensive line) and non-linemen (defensive back, kicker/punter, quarterback, running back, tight end, wide receiver and special teams only). Race for former football players was assigned as previously described, whereby players chose the category that best described their race/ethnicity and were further classified into black, white or other. Due to the low representation of non-black and non-white football players in the NFL (and consequently FPHS), we restricted datasets to black and white individuals, excluding Asian, Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander, Latino, American Indian/Alaskan Native, other or ‘declined to answer’ participants. BMI was calculated using the self-reported weight and height for ASF players and NHIS participants. For NHANES, height and weight were collected during the clinical assessment. BMI was categorised into <23.0, 25.0–30.0 and >30.0.

We used self-reported data on four chronic diseases, including arthritis, hypertension/high blood pressure and diabetes mellitus from FPHS, NHANES and NHIS, and dementia/Alzheimer’s disease captured in FPHS and NHIS. Disease status phrasing across the cohorts is summarised as follows. For dementia/Alzheimer’s disease, both FPHS and NHIS identically asked ‘Has a healthcare provider ever told you that you have had dementia (Alzheimer’s disease)?’, thus combining dementia and Alzheimer’s Disease into a single outcome. The arthritis question in FPHS, NHANES and NHIS were all phrased as ‘Has a health-care provider ever told you that you have had arthritis?’

For high blood pressure/hypertension in NHANES, we used: (1) ‘Because of your high blood pressure/hypertension, have you ever been told to take prescribed medicine?’ and (2) ‘Are you now taking prescribed medicine?’. For NHIS, high blood pressure/hypertension was queried as: (1) ‘Have you ever been told by a doctor or other health professional that you had hypertension, also called high blood pressure?’ and (2) ‘Are you now taking any medication prescribed by a doctor for your high blood pressure?’. For diabetes mellitus, NHANES asked the following: (1) ‘Have you ever been told by a doctor or health professional that you have diabetes or sugar diabetes?’, (2) ‘Are you now taking diabetic pills to lower your blood sugar?’ and (3) ‘Are you using injectable insulin to lower your blood sugar?’

NHIS phrased questions on diabetes mellitus status as: (1) ‘Has a doctor or other health professional EVER told you that you had diabetes?’, (2) ‘Are you NOW taking diabetic pills to lower your blood sugar?’ and (3) ‘Insulin can be taken by shot or pump. Are you NOW taking insulin?’. FPHS participants were asked ‘Has a medical provider ever recommended or prescribed medication for [condition]’ and ‘Are you currently taking medication for that condition?’ We used their responses to the conditions ‘high blood pressure’ and ‘diabetes or high blood sugar.’ For both diabetes/high blood sugar and high blood pressure/hypertension, participants who answered affirmatively to any disease-specific question were assigned that diagnosis.

NHIS was used to compare race-adjusted and BMI-adjusted dementia/Alzheimer’s disease prevalence between FPHS and the general population, since this was not asked in NHANES. For the other three individual conditions we compared with NHANES because the question phrasing to define the outcome in NHIS was somewhat different from FPHS. We used the NHIS dataset to study race-adjusted and BMI-adjusted healthspan (defined as the duration of lifespan free from chronic disease), because it contained data on all conditions. To assess the effect of the different wording between NHIS and NHANES to define hypertension and diabetes, we compared race-adjusted and BMI-adjusted prevalence estimates in NHANES and NHIS in the subset used for our analyses.

**Statistical analysis**

We calculated age-specific, race-specific and BMI-specific prevalence rates for outcomes in NHANES, NHIS and FPHS.
Raw NHIS and FPHS data were scaled to match the general population distribution captured by applying NHANES study-specified weights (combining two NHANES cycles according to NHANES procedures25) that reflect the general US male population between the ages of 25–59. Each age-specific, race-specific and BMI-specific prevalence was adjusted to the age, race and BMI distribution of the weighted NHANES population by scaling the age-specific prevalence rates to the distribution of race (black or white) and BMI (<25.0, 25.0–30.0 and >30.0 kg/m²) in NHANES. Significance was calculated using z-statistics for observed to expected ratios.26 To prevent overinflation of degree of observed significance that would occur if p values were based on a pseudopopulation that was larger than the original, we treated the NHANES-scaled general population estimates as the source of observed outcomes. Analyses were conducted using R Statistical Software27 and statistical significance was set at 0.0063 (p<0.05 divided by 8) to account for multiple comparisons.28

RESULTS
At the time of entry, 2684 out of 4174 (68.6%) FPHS participants met inclusion criteria of being between the ages of 25 and 59. This former ASF player study population was 44±10 years of age, similar to both NHANES (42±10 years) and NHIS (43±10 years, table 1). In our study populations, 48.1% of FPHS participants were black, compared with 40.1% in NHANES and 13.5% in NHIS. BMI among the former ASF players (32.5±5 kg/m²) was higher than among both the NHANES (30±7) and NHIS (28±5) participants. The ASF players reported 6±4 years in the NFL with a majority (66%) playing a non-lineman position. In comparisons of the prevalence of the conditions by age in NHANES and NHIS, we found no statistical differences between prevalence by age group (online supplemental table 1), although the difference in hypertension among 40–49 years old was 6% higher in NHIS, which approached significance (p=0.06). The second largest difference in prevalence in any category between NHANES and NHIS was for diabetes among 50–59 years old that was 2.6% lower in NHIS.

The overall unadjusted prevalence of freedom from chronic disease and disease-specific unadjusted prevalence estimates for arthritis, dementia/Alzheimer’s disease, hypertension and diabetes mellitus for each cohort are shown in table 1. Age-stratified prevalence estimates of each race-adjusted and BMI-adjusted chronic condition are shown in figure 1 and standardised prevalence ratios are listed in online supplemental table 2. Arthritis (figure 1A) and dementia/Alzheimer’s disease (figure 1B) were directly related to age and were more prevalent among former ASF athletes than among the general population across all age groups, except in the 25–29 age group among whom neither cohort reported dementia/Alzheimer’s disease cases. Hypertension and diabetes mellitus followed a pattern across all age groups, except in the 25–29 age group where prevalence estimates were similar until the highest age group (50–59 years) where the prevalence in the general population was significantly higher than in former ASF players (figure 1D).

Freedom from the four chronic diseases of interest—that is, intact healthspan adjusted for race and BMI—is shown in figure 2 and online supplemental table 2. As anticipated, intact healthspan declined with increasing age among former ASF players and the general population. However, the prevalence of intact healthspan was significantly lower among former ASF players of all ages compared with the general population.
except the youngest age group. The decline in prevalent intact healthspan appeared to be shifted roughly a decade earlier among former ASF players. Specifically, the 66% intact healthspan prevalence among former ASF players in the 30–39 years age group was similar to 40–49 years old men in the general population (62%). Similarly, the 48% prevalence of intact healthspan of former ASF players in their 40s mirrored that among men from the general population who were a decade older (44% among NHIS participants aged 50–59). Among football players only, linemen showed significantly diminished healthspan compared with non-linemen in all but the 50–59 age group (figure 3).

**DISCUSSION**

This study compared chronic disease burden among former professional ASF players ages 25–59 with aged-matched estimates from the general population. As anticipated, we observed increasing race-adjusted and BMI-adjusted prevalence estimates of arthritis, dementia/Alzheimer’s disease, hypertension and diabetes mellitus with increasing age among both groups. However, comparative relationships between age and disease prevalence between the two groups differed by condition type. Arthritis and dementia/Alzheimer’s disease, chronic diseases associated with morbidity (ie, reduced quality of life), were consistently higher among former ASF players than the general population across the entire age span studied. In contrast, former ASF players harboured more hypertension and diabetes mellitus,

Our finding that arthritis and dementia/Alzheimer’s disease, among former ASF athletes, is the most common cause of death among former professional athletes. This is particularly important as former ASF players appear to be at increased risk for premature cessation of healthspan compared with men without professional ASF exposure even if they have been shown to live as long or longer than the general population. Thus, former ASF players may spend higher percentages of their lifespans living with clinically relevant infirmities that have adverse impacts on daily function, healthcare utilisation and quality of life. This important finding raises the imperative to identify potential health consequences of professional ASF participation, to understand the pathophysiological underpinnings of these diseases, and to initiate both primary preventive and secondary therapeutic opportunities for intervention.

While our study was not designed to identitify mechanisms underlying the premature emergence of chronic disease among former ASF players, several speculative comments are warranted. Potential ‘on the field’ risk factors including repetitive head impact and traumatic musculoskeletal injury may predispose players to subsequent neurocognitive impairment and arthritis, respectively. In addition, there are ‘off the field’ elements of professional ASF participation including deliberate weight gain, performance-enhancing supplement and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory use, and exposure to high intensity strength training that may contribute to an increased susceptibility to early life pathology. Race, while largely a social construct, has been shown to be a covariate associated with the cardiovascular response to ASF and may serve as an independent risk factor for aging. The extent to which ‘weathering’ stress, allostatic load and structural racism impact biological age of minority athletes merits special investigation.

We acknowledge several limitations. First, outcome data are based exclusively on self-report without medical record or clinician confirmation, which could introduce bias via inaccurate reporting across all cohorts. However, we deliberately applied consistently conservative trait definitions which would result in a balanced underestimation of disease prevalence across player and general cohorts. Second, the FPHS chronic condition survey deliberately used identical terminology related to chronic illness (eg, ‘arthritis’ instead of osteoarthritis or rheumatoid arthritis; ‘diabetes or high blood sugar’ instead of type I or type II diabetes mellitus) as that employed in the general population cohorts to facilitate meaningful comparisons. Accordingly, we were unable to explore potentially important subtypes of pathology. Third, we acknowledge the possibility of selection bias within the ASF cohort and cannot exclude the possibility that ASF players harbouring more illness may have been more likely to enroll. However, prior work has shown that people with severe illness are less likely to join health studies, and we did not find universally increased chronic disease prevalence across all age strata but rather patterns that varied by condition. Fourth, we cannot exclude the possibility that the observed relative reduction of cardiometabolic disease among ageing former ASF players was in part driven by attrition due to earlier mortality among those most affected. Finally, we acknowledge that BMI represents an imperfect measure of body habitus and may have

led to overestimates of obesity in the former professional football cohort. However, given that more accurate body composition variables were not available, BMI offered the best opportunity to account for the influence of body habitus differences despite the potential to introduce bias into BMI-adjusted estimates.

In conclusion, findings suggest that participation in professional ASF is associated with the premature onset of key chronic diseases that may increase both morbidity and risk for mortality. Further, the approximate 10-year reduction in intact healthspan in former ASF players suggests that professional ASF participation may represent a previously unidentified risk factor for early ageing. While our data suggest a link between ASF participation and a maladaptive early ageing phenotype, future work examining established biochemical, cellular and physiological signatures of ageing in this population is warranted.
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