

SUPPLEMENTARY FILE 5

Summary of the results of the in-person consensus meeting

		<i>Should this measurement instrument be part of the core outcome set for Achilles tendinopathy?</i>		
Outcome measurement instrument	Domain	Yes (%)	No (%)	Endorsement
A 6-point Likert scale	Patient overall rating	25%	75%	Not endorsed
Global ratings of change scale (GROC)	Patient overall rating	50%	50%	Inconclusive
Clinical Global Impression	Patient overall rating	25%	75%	Not endorsed
Patient Global Impression of Change Scale	Patient overall rating	0%	100%	Not endorsed
Perception of Treatment Effectiveness	Patient overall rating	42%	58%	Inconclusive
Perceived improvement	Patient overall rating	42%	58%	Inconclusive

Return to sports	Participation	33%	67%	Inconclusive
Time to return to pre-injury levels	Participation	17%	83%	Not endorsed
A 100 mm Visual Analogue Scale (VAS)	Pain on activity/loading	42%	58%	Inconclusive
A VAS scale from 0-10	Pain on activity/loading	75%	25%	Endorsed
Single Hop Test	Physical function capacity	17%	83%	Not endorsed
Gastrocnemius and soleus flexibility	Physical function capacity	0%	100%	Not endorsed
Foot Function Index (FFI)	Disability	25%	75%	Not endorsed
Pain first thing in the morning (VAS 0-100)	Pain over a specified time frame	33%	67%	Inconclusive

Morning stiffness severity (VAS 0-100)	Pain over a specified time frame	33%	67%	Inconclusive
Location of pain	Pain over a specified time frame	42%	58%	Inconclusive
Measuring full range of motion of the ankle	Range of motion	0%	100%	Not endorsed
Use of co-interventions	Other	42%	58%	Inconclusive
Adherence	Other	33%	67%	Inconclusive