Effects of yoga on depressive symptoms in people with mental disorders: a systematic review and meta-analysis
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ABSTRACT
Objective To assess whether physically active yoga is superior to waitlist control, treatment as usual and attention control in alleviating depressive symptoms in people with a diagnosed mental disorder recognised by the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM).

Design Systematic review and meta-analysis following the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines.

Data sources Data were obtained from online databases (MEDLINE, EMBASE, PsychINFO, CENTRAL, EMCARE, PEDro). The search and collection of eligible studies was conducted up to 14 May 2019 (PROSPERO registration No CRD42018090441).

Eligibility criteria for selecting studies We included randomised controlled trials with a yoga intervention comprising ≥50% physical activity in adults with a recognised diagnosed mental disorder according to DSM-3, 4 or 5.

Results 19 studies were included in the review (1080 participants) and 13 studies were included in the meta-analysis (632 participants). Disorders of depression, post-traumatic stress, schizophrenia, anxiety, alcohol dependence and bipolar were included. Yoga showed greater reductions in depressive symptoms than waitlist, treatment as usual and attention control (standardised mean difference=−0.41; 95% CI −0.65 to −0.17; p<0.001). Greater reductions in depressive symptoms were associated with higher frequency of yoga sessions per week (β=−0.44, p<0.01).

INTRODUCTION
Globally, mental disorders are responsible for 32.4% of disability adjusted life years (a year of ‘healthy’ life lost), placing mental disorders at a distant first in the global burden of disease in terms of years lived with disability.1 Depressive disorders, such as major depressive disorder, are the leading cause of disability worldwide, affecting more than 340 million people.2 They are predicted to be the second largest contributor to the global burden of disease by the year 2020.3 Depressive disorders are highly comorbid with other mental disorders, such as anxiety disorders and psychotic disorders.4 Physical comorbidities are also present in 65% of people with depressive disorders,5 commonly including obesity, type 2 diabetes, metabolic syndrome and cardiovascular disease.6 This is driven in part by modifiable lifestyle related risk factors, such as low levels of physical activity and high levels of sedentary behaviour.7 Physical inactivity is associated with higher levels of depressive symptoms in the general population7 and in those with major depressive disorder.8 There is a clear need for interventions which are efficacious in improving both physical activity and depressive symptoms9 10 and multicomponent lifestyle interventions incorporating a combination of physical activity, exercise and diet.11 12 Treatment guidelines for mental disorders from leading international organisations now recommend the integration of physical activity as part of routine psychiatric care.11 13–15 Despite these recommendations, translation of evidence into clinical practice and routine implementation of exercise interventions as part of standard care is limited.16

One form of exercise that is increasing in popularity in western culture, particularly among psychiatric patients,17 is yoga. Yoga is a multicomponent mind–body practice comprising physical postures, movement, breathing control and techniques, relaxation, mindfulness and meditation.18 Mindfulness, meditation and exercise are all effective in reducing depressive symptoms.19 20 Yoga practice often includes a combination of each and therefore may have additional benefits beyond a single component (eg, mindfulness, meditation or exercise alone). Furthermore, yoga has demonstrated efficacy in improving exercise adherence and may provide an alternative engagement strategy for people who do not want to engage in conventional forms of exercise.21

People living with mental disorders commonly experience depressive symptoms—for example, 25% of people diagnosed with schizophrenia and 81% of people diagnosed with generalised anxiety disorder also have depression.22 23 Several systematic reviews and meta-analyses have assessed a range of yoga interventions, using various styles of yoga, and have shown improvements in mental health across various mental disorders24 25 (see table 1). However, no previous review has investigated the transdiagnostic benefits of yoga. Earlier reviews that focused on yoga for people with depression reported positive effects but all conclude that findings must be interpreted with caution due to the heterogeneity of the yoga interventions and poor methodological reporting.26 27 This review differs from previous reviews as it includes a range of mental disorder...
diagnoses and considers the transdiagnostic potential for yoga across all mental disorder groups, thus allowing for a more comprehensive assessment of the potential benefits for depressive symptoms across the range of mental disorders.

Hence the primary aim of this review was to determine the effects of physically active yoga on depressive symptoms compared with control in people with any type of mental disorder. Additionally, the review examines the physical health outcomes of yoga interventions for people with mental disorders. We also sought to identify the key components of yoga based interventions that are associated with better outcomes.

METHODS
This systematic review and meta-analysis was conducted according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines and was prospectively registered with the PROSPERO database (ID: CRD42018090441).

Data sources and searches
The following sources were searched from database inception to 14 May 2019: MEDLINE (Ovid), EMBASE (Ovid), PsychINFO (Ovid), the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), EMCARE (Ovid) and the Physiotherapy Evidence Database (PEDro). MeSH headings used were ‘mental disorders’ or ‘mental disease’ AND ‘yoga’, with all branches entered as keywords (Supplementary Table 1). Manual searches were conducted using the reference lists from recovered articles and relevant systematic reviews and meta-analyses.

Study selection/inclusion criteria
Participants
Studies of adults aged 18 years or older with a current Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM)-3, 4 or 5 diagnosis of any mental disorder, including depressive disorders, anxiety disorders, affective disorders, trauma and stress related disorders, psychotic disorders, panic disorders and alcohol and substance use disorders were included. Participants with other clinical health conditions in addition to a mental disorder were not excluded.

Interventions
Yoga interventions were defined as a structured, formal and premeditated form of physical activity involving the integration of specific body movements (asana) with breathing (pranayama) and/or mindfulness (including meditation), where the movement component (physical activity) made up more than 50% of the total intervention.

Control conditions
Treatment as usual, waitlist or attention controls were included. Trials that compared one type of physical activity with another (eg, yoga vs aerobic exercise) without an adequate control group were excluded.

Outcome measures
The primary outcome measure was change in depressive symptoms across all mental disorder groups (mean±SD), measured on validated scales, scored from baseline to post-intervention. Secondary outcomes of interest were exercise capacity (eg, measures of cardiorespiratory fitness and strength), biomarkers (eg, cortisol), quality of life, cognition, sleep, anthropometry (eg, body mass index, waist circumference), remission rates, attendance, dropout rates and adverse events.

Study design
Only randomised controlled trials (RCTs) were eligible.

Exclusion criteria
In the case of multiple publications from the same study, outcome measures were collated to create a ‘complete study’. Trials were
not excluded because of nationality or clinical setting (inpatient, outpatient, community setting or mixed). Studies not available in English were excluded.

Data extraction
After removal of duplicates, two researchers (JB and OL) independently screened titles and abstracts using Covidence (Covidence systematic review software, Veritas Health Innovation, Melbourne, Australia). Both authors applied eligibility criteria, and a final list of included articles was developed through consensus. A third reviewer (SR) was available for mediation throughout this process.

Data were extracted from the included articles using a predetermined data extraction form by two authors (JB and DG) and cross-checked for accuracy by a third author (OL). The data extracted included participant and trial characteristics (diagnosis, type of yoga, control condition, programme length, session duration, frequency, intensity, supervision) and yoga effects on mental disorder severity, as per disorder specific scales. Information regarding the yoga interventions was extracted for meta-regression analyses. The type of yoga was determined by the authors’ specifications in the protocol. A simple ‘yes’, ‘no’ or ‘not specified’ was used to determine whether the following aspects of yoga were reported in the intervention: specific yoga postures, consistent sequencing of postures, yogic philosophy, meditation, mindfulness (attention to breath) met this criterion, breathing techniques (pranayama’ or other controlled breathing exercises met this criterion) and/or encouragement of home practice.

Study quality
Study quality was reported using the PEDro checklist and scores as reported on the PEDro database. Two authors cross-checked 35% of the included studies against PEDro scoring for quality assurance. A study was deemed to be of high quality with a score of 6–10, of fair quality with a score of 4–5 and of low quality with a score <4. The PEDro scores for articles included in this review are presented in Table 2.

Data analysis
Random effects meta-analysis was conducted with Comprehensive Meta-Analysis software (CMA, V3) in the following steps. Firstly, changes in mental disorder symptoms were calculated using pre- and post-means (SD) of depressive symptom severity scores of the yoga and control groups, calculating the standardised mean difference (SMD) and 95% CI as the effect size. Secondly, subgroup analyses of yoga modality (eg, vinyasa, chair, kripalu), diagnoses, intervention supervision (yes vs home practice) and intensity, as specified by the authors (eg, gentle hatha) were conducted. In the final step, exploratory meta-regression analyses investigating the moderating role of trial duration, number of sessions per week, trial quality, session length and number of components of yoga used in the intervention on the effect size were conducted. In the absence of sufficient data to conduct meta-analyses, a narrative synthesis of results was conducted. Statistical heterogeneity was assessed using the I² statistic. An I² value >75% was considered to indicate considerable heterogeneity, I² 50–75% was considered to indicate substantial heterogeneity and I² <40% was considered to indicate limited heterogeneity. Publication bias was assessed with Egger and Begg and Mazumdar, and corrected using Duval and Tweedie trim and fill analysis.

RESULTS
Search results and flow of trials through the review
A total of 3880 records (excluding duplicates) were identified, and the titles and abstracts were screened. Full text versions were retrieved for 80 articles, of which 19 were eligible for inclusion. Thirteen of those reported changes in depressive symptoms and were included in the meta-analysis. Six studies were excluded from the quantitative analyses as they did not report depression symptom scores. Figure 1 shows the flow of studies through the review and reasons for exclusion.

Characteristics of included trials
Characteristics of participant sample, primary diagnosis, diagnostic criteria, yoga intervention and control group are shown in Table 3. Analyses of physical health outcomes were not performed because of insufficient data.

Of the 19 included RCTs, 12 originated from the USA, two from India, two from Japan and one each from China, Germany and Sweden. Nine trials included patients with a depressive disorder (antenatal=5; bipolar depression=1; major depressive disorder=3), five included a diagnosis of schizophrenia, three with a post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) diagnosis, one with diagnosed alcohol dependence and one with a range of psychiatric disorders. A total of 1080 participants were included across the 19 RCTs; sample sizes of individual studies ranged from 18 to 122 (median=57; mean=57), with 69% of the total sample being women.

Nine studies reported using the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM for diagnostic purposes. One study used the Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview, one used the Clinician Administered PTSD Scale for DSM and another used scores above 57 on the PTSD Checklist for DSM. One study used the Structured Clinical Interview for the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS), two studies used the International Classification of Diseases-10, two studies used psychiatrist interviews to confirm DSM criteria and two studies did not specify how the diagnosis was made. Tools used to measure changes in depression symptoms were the Quick Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology (QIDS SR-16) and Depression Anxiety and Stress Scale (DASS-21). Two Centre for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CES-D) and Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HAM-D/HDRS), Beck Depression Inventory (BDI), Hospital Anxiety and Depression scale (HAD), PANSS Depression subscale and the Calgary Depression Scale (CDS).

Interventions
In total, n=578 participants were assigned to yoga and n=502 to control conditions. Seven RCTs utilised attention control groups, including health education, social support and bibliotherapy. Seven RCTs utilised waitlist control and five utilised treatment as usual. Yoga interventions were on average 2.4 months long (range 1.5–2.5 months) with 1.6 sessions per week (range 1–3 sessions) of a duration of 60 min (range 20–90 min). Types of yoga were hatha, vinyasa, SVYASA (Swami Vivekananda Yoga Anusandhana Samsthana), kundalini, kripalu or were unspecified. Seventeen interventions were supervised by yoga practitioners and two interventions were primarily unsupervised as they were home practices.
Table 2  Physiotherapy Evidence Database table for included reviews

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trial</th>
<th>Eligibility criteria specified</th>
<th>Random allocation</th>
<th>Concealed allocation to groups</th>
<th>Groups similar at baseline</th>
<th>Blinding of all subjects</th>
<th>Blinding of therapists who administered therapy</th>
<th>Blinding of assessors who measured at least one key outcome</th>
<th>Measures obtained for more than 85% of subjects/adequate follow-up</th>
<th>Intention to treat analysis</th>
<th>Between group statistical comparisons reported</th>
<th>PEDro score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Behere et al51</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buttner et al45</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field et al40</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field et al46</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Halgren et al44</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ikai et al52</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ikai et al53</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jindani et al41</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lin et al, 201554</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitchell et al43</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prathikanti et al50</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reinhardt et al46</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarubin et al47</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uebelacker et al48</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uebelacker et al49</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van der Kolk et al49</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visco et al47</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weinstock et al48</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veloso et al49</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PEDro, Physiotherapy Evidence Database.
Diagnosis of overall effect

Primary outcomes: effect of yoga on depressive symptoms in people with a mental disorder

A moderate effect of yoga on depressive symptoms compared with all control groups was found (SMD = −0.41; 95% CI −0.65 to −0.17, p < 0.001; heterogeneity: I² = 50%; Q = 23.86; p = 0.02; RCT = 13; yoga n = 333; control n = 299) (figure 2).

Subgroup analyses

Control group

Subgroup analyses based on control groups revealed evidence for a moderate effect of yoga on depressive symptoms compared with waitlist control (n = 4, SMD = −0.58; 95% CI −1.03 to −0.12, p < 0.05), a small, but not statistically significant, effect compared with treatment as usual (n = 2, SMD = −0.39; 95% CI −1.14 to 0.36, p = 0.31) and to attention controls (n = 8, SMD = −0.21; 95% CI −0.54 to 0.12, p = 0.22).

Secondary outcomes: effect of yoga on physical health

Insufficient data were available to pool the effects of yoga on physical health outcomes. Seven studies included measures of physical health. One study examined cardiometabolic health (fasting blood glucose and total cholesterol) and found no significant differences between the yoga and control groups over the 8 week intervention. Another study by the same authors examined physical fitness (strength) by measuring hand grip strength, lower limb muscle endurance and knee flexion strength to body weight ratio. The yoga group had significantly greater improvements across all three measures compared with the treatment as usual group. Lin et al. assessed aerobic fitness with a VO2max test and found no significant changes between groups. While not statistically significant, Field et al. reported reduced cortisol levels within a single session (acute response) for both yoga and social support groups, and increased cortisol levels from baseline to last session (chronic response). Three studies measured physical health/functioning with self-report questionnaires. Uebelecker et al. reported no significant improvements for Short Form Health Survey (SF-20) scores between groups. Buttner et al. however used the SF-36 to measure health related quality of life and reported that the yoga group experienced a steeper linear increase in scores compared with the waitlist control. Visceglia et al. reported that the yoga group had significant improvements in the physical health domain of the World Health Organization Quality of Life Instrument (WHOQOL-BREF) whereas no improvement was reported for the control group.

Meta-regression

Meta-regression analyses using a mixed effects model revealed that number of sessions per week had a significant effect on depressive symptoms, with higher session frequency leading to a greater improvement in symptoms (β = −0.44; p < 0.001; CI −0.66 to −0.21) (Supplementary Table 2). Other intervention variables, such as trial quality, session duration, intervention length, supervision and number of yoga components included (as reported) did not influence depressive symptoms.

Remission rates

Three studies reported remission rates where symptom severity scores returned to below the diagnostic threshold. van der Kolk et al. reported that 52% of participants no longer had clinically significant PTSD symptoms compared with 21% in the health education control group; Prathikanti, et al. reported that 60% of participants reached remission in the yoga group compared with 10% in the control group; Uebelacker et al. reported no significant difference in remission rates between the groups at the end of the intervention but at the 3 month follow-up, 39% of participants in the yoga group were in remission compared with 24% in the control group.

Adverse events, attendance and dropout rates

Seven studies reported on adverse events. All reported that no adverse events occurred from the intervention. Four studies...
Table 3  Description of the 19 included trials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author, year</th>
<th>Location, setting</th>
<th>Diagnosis; diagnostic tool</th>
<th>Age (years) (mean (SD))</th>
<th>% Women</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Duration and frequency</th>
<th>Home practice</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Outcome(s)/statistic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Behere et al., 2011</td>
<td>India, psychiatric outpatients</td>
<td>Schizophrenia; psychiatrist interview</td>
<td>31.3 (9.3) 33.6 (9.9)</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>SVYASA yoga*</td>
<td>60min, 5x week for 12 weeks</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>WLC</td>
<td>PANSS; mean, SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buttner et al., 2015</td>
<td>USA, community</td>
<td>Postpartum depression; SICI; HDRS (&gt;12)</td>
<td>29.8 (5.2) 32.5 (4.8)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Vinyasa yoga†</td>
<td>60min, 2x week for 12 weeks</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>WLC</td>
<td>HDRS; mean, SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field et al., 2013</td>
<td>USA, community</td>
<td>Prenatal depression; SCID</td>
<td>24.4 (4.7) 24.5 (5.0)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Yoga</td>
<td>20min, 1x week for 12 weeks</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>Social support</td>
<td>CES-D; salivary cortisol; mean, SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field et al., 2012</td>
<td>USA, community</td>
<td>Prenatal depression; SCID</td>
<td>– – –</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Yoga</td>
<td>20min, 1x week for 12 weeks</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>TAU</td>
<td>CES-D; mean, SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hallgren et al., 2014</td>
<td>Sweden, outpatient</td>
<td>Alcohol dependence; DSM criteria</td>
<td>– – –</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Yoga</td>
<td>90min, 1x week for 10 weeks</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>TAU</td>
<td>HAD-D; mean, SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ika et al., 2014</td>
<td>Japan, outpatients</td>
<td>Schizophrenia; F20-F25; ICD-10</td>
<td>53.5 (9.9) 48.2 (12.3)</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Hatha yoga§</td>
<td>60min, 1x week for 8 weeks</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>TAU + waitgroup</td>
<td>PANSS, FBS, cholesterol; mean, SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ika et al., 2017</td>
<td>Japan, inpatients</td>
<td>Psychiatric disorders F0–F9; ICD-10</td>
<td>55.5 (11.4) 55 (15.8)</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Chair hatha yoga</td>
<td>20min, 2x week for 8 weeks</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>TAU</td>
<td>PANSS, hand grip strength, lower limb endurance; mean, SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jindal et al., 2015</td>
<td>USA, community</td>
<td>PTSD; PCL-17 (&gt;57)</td>
<td>– – –</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>Kundalini yoga¶</td>
<td>90min, 1x week for 8 weeks</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>WLC</td>
<td>DASS-21; mean, SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lin et al., 2015</td>
<td>China, community</td>
<td>Psychosis; DSM-V criteria</td>
<td>23.8 (6.8) 25.3 (8.1)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Integrated yoga therapy</td>
<td>60min, 3x week for 7 weeks</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>WLC</td>
<td>CDS total, PANSS, Vo; max, mean, SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitchell et al., 2012</td>
<td>USA, community</td>
<td>Prenatal depression; SCID</td>
<td>– – –</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Yoga</td>
<td>20min, 2x week for 8 weeks</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>Education</td>
<td>CES-D total; mean, SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patthakanti et al., 2017</td>
<td>USA, community</td>
<td>Major depressive disorder; MINI and BDI (14-28)</td>
<td>43.1 (15.2) 43.8 (14.7)</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>Hatha yoga</td>
<td>90min, 1x week for 8 weeks</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>Education</td>
<td>BDI; mean SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reinhardt et al., 2018</td>
<td>USA, military</td>
<td>PTSD; SCID-CT</td>
<td>44.1 (14) 46.6 (12.7)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Kripalu yoga**</td>
<td>90min, 2x week for 10 weeks</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>WLC</td>
<td>CAPS total; mean, SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saradin et al., 2014</td>
<td>Germany, inpatient</td>
<td>Major depressive disorder; SCID</td>
<td>37.3 (11.9) 42.4 (12.9)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Hatha yoga</td>
<td>60min, 1x week for 5 weeks</td>
<td>TAU</td>
<td>TAU</td>
<td>HAM-D-21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uebelacker et al., 2017</td>
<td>USA, community</td>
<td>Major depressive disorder; DSM and SCID</td>
<td>46.8 (12.3) 46.2 (12.1)</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>Hatha yoga</td>
<td>80min, 1–2x week for 10 weeks</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Healthy living workshop</td>
<td>QIDS, SF-20; mean change?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uebelacker et al., 2016</td>
<td>USA, community</td>
<td>Antenatal depression; SCID</td>
<td>28.0 (5.9) 28.9 (6.0)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Yoga</td>
<td>75min, 1x week for 9 weeks</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Education</td>
<td>QIDS; mean, SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van der Kolk et al., 2014</td>
<td>USA, community</td>
<td>PTSD; CAPS</td>
<td>41.5 (12.2) 44.3 (11.9)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Trauma informed yoga</td>
<td>60min, 1x week for 10 weeks</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>Education</td>
<td>BDI; mean, SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vancak et al., 2012</td>
<td>India, outpatient</td>
<td>Schizophrenia; psychiatrist interview (DSM criteria)</td>
<td>32.8 (10) 33.6 (9.5)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Yogasana</td>
<td>45min, 6x week for 12 weeks</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>WLC</td>
<td>PANSS; median, IQR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visaccia et al., 2011</td>
<td>USA, inpatient</td>
<td>Schizophrenia (axis I or II); SCID-PANSS</td>
<td>37.4 (13.7) 48.1 (11.2)</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Yoga therapy</td>
<td>45min, 2x week for 10 weeks</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>WLC</td>
<td>PANSS Depression; mean, SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weinstock et al., 2016</td>
<td>USA, community</td>
<td>Bipolar depression; SCID</td>
<td>41.3 (14.3) 33.6 (13.3)</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>Hatha yoga</td>
<td>80min, 2x week for 10 weeks</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>WLC</td>
<td>Bibliotherapy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* SVYASA (Swami Vivekananda Yoga Anusandhana Samsthana) refers to the yoga practised from the University Research Institute influenced by Swami Vivekananda.  
† Included in meta-analysis for depressive symptoms.  
‡ Vinyasa translates to “to place the body in a special way”; practices are typically flowing and synchronised with breath.  
§ Any type of physical yoga practice.  
¶ Kundalini yoga focuses on awakening Kundalini energy through practices comprising gentle yoga postures, breathing techniques, meditation and chanting of mantras.  
** Kripalu yoga is a gentle form of hatha yoga, with attention to modifying poses in a way that is best for your body.  
†† Beck Depression Inventory; CAPS, Clinician-Administered PTSD Scale for DSM-5; CDS, Carroll Depression Scale; CES-D, Centre for Epidemiological Studies Depression; DASS, Depression, Anxiety and Stress Scale; DSM, Diagnostic and Statistical Manual for Mental Disorders; FBS, fasting blood glucose levels; HAD, Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale; HAM-D/HDRS, Hamilton Depression Rating Scale; ICD-10, International Classification of Diseases 10th edition; MINI, Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview; NS, not stated; PANSS, Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale; PCL-17, PTSD checklist-17; PTSD, post-traumatic stress disorder; QIDS, Quick Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology; SCID, Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-5; SCID-CT, Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-5–Clinical Version; SCID-PANSS, Structured Clinical Interview–Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale; SF-20, Short Form Health Survey; TAU, treatment as usual; WLC, waitlist control.
reported on some form of intervention fidelity (e.g., participant fidelity such as attendance or adherence, or instructor fidelity, such as adherence to intervention protocol) (Table 4). Dropout rates are reported in Table 4. Total dropout rate ranged from 9% to 48%. All but two studies reported dropout rates. 

**DISCUSSION**

The purpose of this systematic review and meta-analysis was to examine the effects that a predominantly physical yoga practice has on depressive symptoms in people with a diagnosed mental disorder. The results demonstrated that yoga has a moderate effect on reducing depressive symptoms across a range of diagnosed mental disorders, thus suggesting yoga may be a viable transdiagnostic intervention for the management of depressive symptoms in people with mental disorders.

The magnitude of the effect of yoga on depressive symptoms compared with treatment as usual, waitlist and attention control combined (SMD = -0.41) was comparable with findings in previous meta-analyses of yoga for depressive symptoms in people with depressive disorders (Cramer et al., SMD = -0.69, 95% CI = -0.99 to 0.39; Gong et al., SMD = -0.59, 95% CI = -0.94 to -0.25). Gong and colleagues included six trials in their meta-analysis, of which four were also included in the current review. Cramer and colleagues included nine trials in their meta-analysis, of which four were also included in the current review. The variance in results is likely a reflection of the larger sample size with additional studies of high methodological quality, resulting in a more conservative effect. However, the current review has reported the most precise estimate so far, as indicated by narrower confidence intervals. Subgroup analyses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study name</th>
<th>Std diff in means</th>
<th>Statistics for each study</th>
<th>Std diff in means and 95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Standard error</td>
<td>Lower limit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buttner, 2015</td>
<td>-0.810</td>
<td>0.278</td>
<td>-1.355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field, 2012</td>
<td>-0.470</td>
<td>0.271</td>
<td>-1.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field, 2013</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>0.225</td>
<td>-0.370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hallgren, 2014</td>
<td>-0.238</td>
<td>0.542</td>
<td>-1.300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jindani, 2015</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>0.287</td>
<td>-0.348</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lin, 2015</td>
<td>-0.879</td>
<td>0.229</td>
<td>-1.327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitchel, 2012</td>
<td>-0.928</td>
<td>0.430</td>
<td>-1.770</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prathikanti, 2017</td>
<td>-0.947</td>
<td>0.343</td>
<td>-1.619</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uebelacker, 2017</td>
<td>-0.197</td>
<td>0.182</td>
<td>-0.553</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uebelacker, 2016</td>
<td>-0.251</td>
<td>0.509</td>
<td>-1.250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visceglia, 2011</td>
<td>-0.968</td>
<td>0.501</td>
<td>-1.950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>van der Kolk, 2014</td>
<td>-0.218</td>
<td>0.259</td>
<td>-0.726</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weinstock, 2016</td>
<td>0.187</td>
<td>0.559</td>
<td>-0.909</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4 Dropout, attendance and adverse events of the included studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study name</th>
<th>Dropout</th>
<th>Intervention fidelity</th>
<th>Adverse events</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Behere et al**</td>
<td>Total 18% (20% yoga; 15% control)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buttner et al**</td>
<td>Total 11% (14% yoga; 7% control)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field et al**</td>
<td>Total 14%</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field et al**</td>
<td>Total 25%</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hallgren et al**</td>
<td>Total 22% (33% yoga; 11% control)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ikai et al**</td>
<td>Total 28%</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ikai et al**</td>
<td>Total 9% (7% yoga; 5% control)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jindani et al**</td>
<td>37.5% (all from yoga)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lin et al, 2015**</td>
<td>Total 23.40%</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitchell et al**</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prathikanti et al**</td>
<td>Total 44%</td>
<td>Total 65% adherence rate (74% yoga; 51% control)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reinhardt et al**</td>
<td>Total 48%</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarubin et al**</td>
<td>Total 12%</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uebelacker et al**</td>
<td>Total 15% (8% yoga; 22% control)</td>
<td>Mean 8.9 (SD 5.1) classes attended/10 min (20 max)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uebelacker et al**</td>
<td>Total 15%</td>
<td>Average 92% fidelity of yoga instructor to intervention protocol, 18 item checklist (yoga group only)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van der Kolk et al**</td>
<td>1.6% yoga; 4.7% control</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Varambally et al**</td>
<td>Total 20%</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visceglia et al**</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weinstock et al**</td>
<td>Total 22%</td>
<td>Average 4.8 (SD 5.12) classes attended/10 min (20 max)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

–, not specified.
in the reviews of both Gong et al (2015) and Cramer et al (2013) indicated larger effects on depressive symptoms for meditation based yoga interventions compared with physically active yoga interventions. This may partially explain the smaller overall effect seen in the present study, as only physically active yoga interventions were included. While the present review yields a smaller result than physical activity reviews, a moderate effect size indicates that yoga is an efficacious modality of physical activity that should be considered when offering interventions to psychiatric populations, particularly considering the importance of preference and enjoyment for long term adherence and behaviour change. Determining the benefits of mindful exercise, such as yoga, against conventional exercise should be explored further. Preliminary data suggest that combining mindfulness with conventional exercise is effective for reducing depressive symptoms. There is strong evidence to support that both mindfulness and aerobic exercise independently reduce depressive symptoms. Exploring whether the effects are strengthened when these are combined, or whether movement allows for greater engagement in mindfulness for novices, is a novel and integral topic. Identifying the mechanisms by which yoga may reduce depressive symptoms is of clinical importance yet is beyond the scope of this review. Nonetheless, a recent comprehensive review of the potential mechanisms by which physical activity may reduce depressive symptoms identified a range of biopsychosocial pathways which are likely to have broad relevance to understanding the mechanisms of yoga. 

Extrapolating an effective, and even optimal 'dose' of yoga to facilitate clinically significant change would help inform clinical practice. Further exploration of yoga in comparison with conventional or purposefully non-mindful exercise may help determine effective components of yoga practice. In order to explore a dose–response relationship between yoga practice and the longevity of its benefits, future research should consider collecting follow-up data at varying time points post-intervention. Only six included articles reported follow-up data, with time points ranging from 12 weeks (2 weeks post intervention), 16 weeks (8 weeks post intervention), 18 weeks (6 weeks post intervention), 6 months (14 weeks post intervention) and 18 months (15 months post intervention). Therefore, conclusions about the potential long term effects of yoga on depressive symptoms were unable to be made.

We found that the number of sessions per week moderated the effect of yoga on depressive symptoms. This is an important finding and should be considered in the design of future yoga interventions targeted at depressive symptoms in people with mental disorders. Interventions should aim to increase the frequency of their sessions per week, as opposed to the duration of each session or the overall duration of the intervention. Further investigation into the duration of effects, and whether particular types of yoga elicit better effects, would be a valuable research question to explore.

Structured exercise in depression and schizophrenia has been shown to have better outcomes when delivered by a qualified health professional. However, the impact of qualification in yoga practice and outcomes is unclear. The qualification of yoga practitioners who delivered the interventions in the included RCTs was not reported. Currently, yoga teacher training and education courses are not regulated or standardised, nor accredited within any institutes of higher education. This may have implications when dealing with clinical populations who have chronic and complex conditions that require indepth understanding of pathology and psychopathology as well as contraindications and safe prescription of exercise. Furthermore, additional training and upskilling in mental health first aid should be considered for any exercise professional or yoga practitioner delivering interventions for this population. Future research should report the qualification level and type, as well as the experience of the yoga practitioner delivering the intervention, and further analyses should explore the effect this has on intervention outcomes/effectiveness.

Along with efficacy, this review also demonstrated the feasibility of yoga for people with mental disorders. Attendance and dropout did not differ significantly from control groups, with some studies reporting better adherence to yoga than control condition. A common barrier included ‘difficulties making it to classes’, thus having yoga services available within inpatient and outpatient mental health facilitates may address this barrier and is likely to have positive effects on symptoms of depression, as well as increasing physical activity levels and promoting greater physical health.

**Limitations**

The present review included a range of yoga interventions but due to the relatively small number of trials identified and the poorly defined interventions, it was not possible to conduct separate analyses based on the type and intensity of the interventions. Results from the control and diagnostic category subgroup analyses must also be interpreted with caution because of the small number of studies included. This review did not look at comparisons with true active bona fide interventions and only a small number of studies were available for each kind of control group, thus limiting the comparability of yoga against other interventions for depressive symptoms.

This review has limitations that relate to the primary trials included, such as non-standardised reporting of intervention protocols, lack of intervention description (eg, type of yoga and components of yoga prescribed), poor methodological quality (eg, inadequate blinding of assessors, inadequate follow-up, lack of intention to treat analysis), mix of interviewer rated and self-report outcome measures, and lack of reporting intervention adherence and adverse events. More high quality studies with sufficient sample sizes based on predetermined power analyses are required.

It is essential that future yoga research is reported in a standardised manner whereby the components of yoga can be clearly determined; mindfulness, meditation and physical activity. For further understanding of the mechanism by which yoga has an effect on mental and physical health, intervention variables such as type of yoga, intensity, environment, instructor qualification, specific postures, cueing, philosophical focuses, mindfulness techniques and breathing techniques should be adequately reported. Additionally, the question of longevity of the effects of yoga following intervention cessation requires further investigation; future studies should include long term follow-up. The effects of yoga may be moderated by participant specific factors, such as comorbidities and time since diagnosis, and therefore these factors warrant reporting and investigation in future studies.

Meaningful measures of physical health should be measured so that yoga intervention data can be compared with other modalities of physical activity and independently examined with regard to its physical health benefits, particularly among individuals with a mental disorder.
CONCLUSION
This review found evidence of a positive effect of yoga beyond usual care for reducing depressive symptoms in people with a range of mental disorders. There was a dose–response relationship between the number of yoga sessions per week and improvements in depressive symptoms. Consideration of yoga as an evidence based exercise modality alongside conventional forms of exercise is warranted given the positive results of this review. Yoga may provide an additional or alternative strategy to engage people experiencing depression in meaningful physical activity.

What are the new findings?
► Physically active yoga has a moderate positive effect on improving depressive symptoms in people with a range of mental disorders.
► Session frequency per week significantly influences the magnitude of depressive symptom reduction.

REFERENCES


33 Honey EP. I shrank the pooled SMD! Guide to critical appraisal of systematic reviews and meta-analyses using the Cochrane review on exercise for depression as example. Ment Health Phys Act 2015;8:21–36.


