Persisting Externalising Problems (3-12 months)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>TE</th>
<th>seTE</th>
<th>Standardised Mean Difference</th>
<th>SMD</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>Weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parent</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.1429</td>
<td>1.01 [0.73; 1.29]</td>
<td>12.3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antshel et al.</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.2857</td>
<td>0.35 [0.21; 0.91]</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barker-Collo et al.</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.1020</td>
<td>0.32 [0.22; 0.72]</td>
<td>14.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bellero et al. &amp; Ganger et al.</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.1684</td>
<td>0.23 [0.10; 0.56]</td>
<td>11.2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bernard et al.</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.2551</td>
<td>0.17 [0.03; 0.67]</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fischer et al.</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.3090</td>
<td>0.30 [0.28; 0.94]</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gomall et al.</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.0714</td>
<td>0.32 [0.18; 0.46]</td>
<td>15.2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keenan et al.</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.5255</td>
<td>1.07 [0.04; 2.10]</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Random effects model
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 70\%$ [39\%, 86\%], $t^2 = 0.0689$, $p < 0.01$

Respondent = Medical records

Massagi et al. | 0.21 | 0.1443 | 0.21 [0.07; 0.50] | 12.2% |

Random effects model
Heterogeneity: not applicable

Respondent = Child & Parent

Theadom et al. | 0.62 | 0.1757 | 0.62 [0.28; 0.96] | 10.9% |

Random effects model
Heterogeneity: not applicable

Random effects model
Prediction interval

Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 67\%$ [36\%, 83\%], $t^2 = 0.0600$, $p < 0.01$

Residual heterogeneity: $I^2 = 70\%$ [39\%, 86\%], $t^2 = 0.035$, $p = 0.15$

Chronic Externalising Problems (>12 months)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>TE</th>
<th>seTE</th>
<th>Standardised Mean Difference</th>
<th>SMD</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>Weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parent</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>0.4748</td>
<td>1.15 [0.22; 2.08]</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catroppa et al.</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.3316</td>
<td>0.11 [0.54; 0.76]</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crowe et al.</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.5051</td>
<td>0.44 [0.55; 1.43]</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Random effects model
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 38\%$ [0\%, 81\%], $t^2 = 0.1447$, $p = 0.26$

Respondent = Parent & Teacher

Kongs et al. | 0.19 | 0.1735 | 0.19 [0.15; 0.53] | 8.7% |

Random effects model
Heterogeneity: not applicable

Respondent = Medical records

Massagi et al. | 0.12 | 0.1327 | 0.12 [0.14; 0.38] | 10.3% |

Random effects model
Heterogeneity: not applicable

Respondent = Child, Mother & Teacher

McKinlay et al. | 0.37 | 0.0969 | 0.37 [0.18; 0.56] | 11.8% |

Random effects model
Heterogeneity: not applicable

Respondent = Child

McKinlay et al. | 0.54 | 0.5194 | 0.54 [0.48; 1.56] | 2.1% |
| Plourde et al. | 0.00 | 0.1429 | 0.00 [0.28; 0.28] | 9.9% |
| Schachar et al. | 0.42 | 0.1837 | 0.42 [0.06; 0.78] | 8.3% |
| Scott et al. | 0.52 | 0.0269 | 0.52 [0.47; 0.58] | 13.8% |

Random effects model
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 77\%$ [38\%, 92\%], $t^2 = 0.0415$, $p < 0.01$

Respondent = Child & Parent

Stojanovski et al. | 0.09 | 0.0707 | 0.09 [0.05; 0.23] | 12.7% |

Random effects model
Heterogeneity: not applicable

Respondent = Clinician

Yang et al. | 0.02 | 0.0470 | 0.02 [0.07; 0.11] | 13.4% |

Random effects model
Heterogeneity: not applicable

Random effects model
Prediction interval

Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 91\%$ [86\%, 94\%], $t^2 = 0.0489$, $p < 0.01$

Residual heterogeneity: $I^2 = 70\%$ [29\%, 97\%], $t^2 = 0.0030$, $p = 0.01$